

Beyond the Steam Engine: Toward a Vacuum-Coherence Energy Paradigm

J. Konstapel, Leiden, April 2026

The Hidden Assumption in Every Power Plant

Every energy technology humanity has deployed at scale — coal, gas, nuclear fission, even most solar thermal systems — operates on the same underlying principle. A temperature differential is created. Heat flows from high to low. A fraction of that flow is captured as mechanical work. The rest is wasted.

This is the Carnot framework, formalised in the 1820s. It is, structurally, a steam engine. The fuel changes. The working fluid changes. The efficiency improves incrementally. But the cognitive model has not changed in two hundred years.

The question this essay poses is not "how do we build a better steam engine?" but something more fundamental: **is the steam engine the correct model for energy at all?**

The answer, grounded in the physics of the quantum vacuum and in the algebraic structures developed by Peter Rowlands and Louis Kauffman, is: **no**. The steam engine is a special case of a much more general energetic reality — and the special case we have chosen is among the least efficient expressions of that reality imaginable.

I. What Thermodynamics Actually Describes

Classical thermodynamics is a theory of *gradients in closed systems*. It describes how energy flows when a system is out of equilibrium with itself, and how that flow degrades toward a uniform, featureless ground state.

The Second Law — entropy always increases in a closed system — is not a law of nature in the deepest sense. It is a statistical consequence of how we have chosen to define systems: as bounded, closed, isolated from their environment. In that framing, disorder always wins.

But the universe is not a closed system in this sense. It is, at its deepest level, a **self-organising structure** in which order and complexity continuously emerge. Life exists. Consciousness exists. Galaxies form. None of this is a violation of thermodynamics — but all of it points to something thermodynamics, as classically formulated, does not explain: the continuous generation of **negentropy** from the vacuum.

Erwin Schrödinger noted this in 1944: living systems survive by feeding on negentropy. They do not violate the Second Law; they are open systems coupled to an environment that supplies ordered energy. The question for energy technology is: **what is the correct environment to couple to?**

The answer current technology gives is: fossil fuels, uranium, sunlight. All of these are *stored* negentropy — order that was generated elsewhere, at some earlier time, and is now being spent.

The answer this essay proposes is: **the vacuum itself.**

II. The Vacuum Is Not Nothing

The quantum vacuum is the ground state of all fields. It is not empty. It seethes with zero-point fluctuations — virtual particle pairs continuously appearing and annihilating, field modes oscillating at their minimum energy even at absolute zero.

The total zero-point energy density of the vacuum is, depending on the cutoff frequency assumed, enormous. The Casimir effect — the measurable attractive force between two uncharged conducting plates in vacuum — provides direct experimental confirmation that this energy is not merely a mathematical artefact. It is physically real and mechanically exploitable, at least in principle.

The obstacle to large-scale exploitation is not the absence of energy. It is the absence of *asymmetry*. In an isotropic vacuum, there is no preferred direction, no gradient, no differential to drive flow. A system fully embedded in the vacuum is in equilibrium with it and cannot extract net work — for the same reason a ship cannot sail in perfectly still water.

The key insight is this: **spontaneous symmetry breaking creates exactly such an asymmetry**, at the foundational level of physical law. The vacuum does not have to be perturbed from outside to generate a gradient. The gradient is already there, baked into the geometry of the ground state itself.

III. Rowlands: The Energy Is in the Nilpotent Structure

Peter Rowlands' reformulation of fundamental physics begins from a single algebraic requirement. The wavefunction of any real physical entity must be **nilpotent** — it must equal zero when squared:

$$(\mathbf{kE} + \mathbf{ip} + \mathbf{jm})(\mathbf{kE} + \mathbf{ip} + \mathbf{jm}) = \mathbf{0}$$

This is not a constraint imposed from outside. It is the condition that makes the entity *real* — that distinguishes a genuine physical state from a mere mathematical possibility. The nilpotent condition ensures that every state is dual: it exists precisely because it simultaneously generates its own mirror structure, its own "vacuum space," in Rowlands' terminology.

The energy implications are profound. In the standard picture, a particle exists in a field, and the field is background. In Rowlands' picture, the particle and its vacuum complement are *co-generated*. The particle does not sit in the vacuum; it is constituted by its relationship with it. The energy that sustains the particle is not stored in the particle — it is continuously generated by the phase relationship between the nilpotent state and its complement.

This suggests a radically different approach to energy technology. Instead of asking "what fuel can we burn," the Rowlands framework suggests asking: "**how can we engineer a structure whose nilpotent phase relationship with the vacuum is asymmetric — so that more energy flows in one direction than the other?**"

This is not a perpetuum mobile. It does not violate conservation of energy. The energy comes from the vacuum, which in an eternal universe is inexhaustible. What is required is not a source but a **coupling geometry** — a structure that breaks the isotropy of the vacuum locally and channels the resulting asymmetric flow.

IV. Kauffman: Oscillation as the Primitive Energy Form

Louis Kauffman's mathematics of self-reference provides a complementary perspective. Starting from Spencer-Brown's *Laws of Form*, Kauffman demonstrates that any system which makes a distinction and applies it recursively to itself does not settle into a stable state. It **oscillates**.

The oscillation is not a perturbation of an underlying equilibrium. It is the primary phenomenon. In Kauffman's framework, the wave — the periodic, self-referential process — is more fundamental than the particle. The particle is a stable pattern *within* an oscillatory field, not the other way around.

For energy technology, this inverts the standard picture. Current technology treats oscillation (AC current, sound, vibration) as either a carrier of energy or a nuisance to be damped. The Kauffman perspective suggests that **coherent oscillation is energy** — not a representation of it, not a transport mechanism for it, but the thing itself.

A technology built on this principle would not generate oscillation from a fuel source. It would **maintain and harvest coherent oscillation** from the vacuum's intrinsic self-

referential dynamics. The engineering challenge is not energy generation but *phase locking*: coupling a macroscopic oscillatory structure to the vacuum's natural frequencies with sufficient coherence that net energy transfer occurs in the desired direction.

V. The Thermodynamics of Phase, Not Temperature

What emerges from the Rowlands-Kauffman perspective is a thermodynamics that is not organised around temperature differentials but around **phase differentials**.

In classical thermodynamics, the useful parameter is ΔT — the temperature difference between a hot reservoir and a cold reservoir. In the vacuum-coherence framework, the useful parameter is $\Delta\phi$ — the phase difference between a structured oscillatory system and the vacuum modes it is coupled to.

This is not purely speculative. Several known phenomena already point in this direction:

Bose-Einstein condensates achieve macroscopic phase coherence — millions of atoms locked into a single quantum state — and exhibit properties that classical thermodynamics cannot account for. They represent a regime in which phase, not temperature, governs energy behaviour.

Superconductivity is a phase-coherent state in which electrical resistance vanishes. The energy "cost" of maintaining the coherent state is offset by the elimination of dissipation. The net energy balance of a superconducting system is radically different from that of a classical conductor.

Biological systems — particularly at the level of photosynthesis — appear to use quantum coherence to route energy with near-perfect efficiency. The Flemming group's 2007 experiments on the Fenna-Matthews-Olson complex showed quantum coherence persisting at physiological temperatures, enabling energy transfer efficiencies that classical models cannot explain.

These are not isolated anomalies. They are glimpses of a coherence-based energy regime that classical thermodynamics, with its steam-engine ontology, is structurally unable to describe.

VI. Topological Defects as Energy Structures

The vacuum symmetry-breaking that generates the Higgs field — and thus mass — also generates topological defects at the boundaries between domains of different ground-state configurations. These defects — cosmic strings, monopoles, domain walls — are not imperfections in an otherwise perfect vacuum. They are **stable energy concentrations** maintained by the topology of the vacuum geometry itself.

The energy stored in a topological defect is not dissipatable by local processes. It can only be released through a specific global process: the annihilation of the defect with its topological complement.

This points toward an energy technology based not on chemical or nuclear reactions — both of which are local — but on **topological engineering**: the deliberate creation and

controlled annihilation of topological structures in vacuum-coupled materials.

This is, admittedly, far beyond current engineering capability. But it is not beyond current theoretical understanding, and it identifies the correct direction of travel: toward technologies that manipulate the *topology* of the vacuum rather than the *temperature* of a working fluid.

VII. Right-Brain Computing as Proof of Concept

The most immediately tractable implementation of vacuum-coherence energy principles is not a power plant but a **computing architecture**.

Current computing is based on von Neumann principles: discrete logic gates, sequential instruction execution, energy dissipated as heat at every switching event. The Landauer limit establishes a minimum energy cost per logical operation — but even this limit applies only to *irreversible* operations. Reversible computing, in principle, approaches zero energy dissipation.

Oscillatory computing — the "Right-Brain Computing" architecture developed in parallel with the SWARP project — operates on phase relationships rather than binary switching. In such a system:

- Information is encoded in the *phase* of an oscillator, not its binary state
- Operations are performed by *coupling* oscillators, not switching gates

- Energy is not dissipated at each operation but *recirculated* through the oscillatory network
- The "computation" is the evolution of a phase-coherent structure toward a minimum-energy configuration

This is, in miniature, the vacuum-coherence energy paradigm applied to information processing. The energy efficiency of such a system is not limited by the Carnot efficiency of a heat engine or even the Landauer limit of a binary computer. It is limited only by the coherence time of the oscillatory system — which, in photonic implementations, can be made arbitrarily long.

The photonic oscillator networks demonstrated by Marandi et al. (2014) and the coherent Ising machines developed subsequently represent early experimental realisations of this principle. They solve optimisation problems by allowing a network of coupled optical parametric oscillators to settle into a phase-coherent ground state — which corresponds to the solution of the problem. The energy cost is orders of magnitude below that of classical computation for equivalent problem sizes.

This is not merely a more efficient computer. It is a demonstration that **coherence-based energy processing** is physically realisable, experimentally verified, and scalable.

VIII. The New Thermodynamics: A Sketch

What would a thermodynamics organised around vacuum coherence look like?

Its fundamental quantity would not be temperature but **coherence length** — the spatial scale over which a system maintains phase relationships with the vacuum. Its fundamental law would not be "entropy always increases" but something like: "**coherence length decreases in the absence of active coupling; maintained coupling can sustain or increase coherence length against the dissipative tendency.**"

Its efficiency metric would not be Carnot efficiency ($\Delta T/T_{\text{hot}}$) but a **coherence efficiency**: the ratio of coherent energy output to the energy invested in maintaining phase coupling with the vacuum.

Its waste product would not be heat but **decoherence** — the loss of phase relationships, the degradation of ordered oscillatory structure into incoherent noise. And unlike heat, decoherence is not necessarily irreversible: quantum error correction and topological protection demonstrate that coherence can be actively maintained against decoherent noise.

The engineering implications are radical. An energy technology based on this thermodynamics would:

- Draw energy from vacuum phase asymmetry rather than stored chemical or nuclear energy
- Operate at ambient temperature, eliminating the Carnot penalty
- Scale by increasing coherence length rather than increasing fuel consumption
- Produce decoherence as waste, which is locally dissipated and globally harmless

This is not free energy. It is energy drawn from the inexhaustible vacuum, through a coupling geometry that maintains sufficient phase coherence to make the flow directional. The vacuum is the fuel. Coherence is the engine. Phase is the thermodynamic variable.

IX. Conclusion: The Steam Engine Was Never the Answer

The fossil fuel era was not a triumph of thermodynamics. It was a triumph of chemistry — the discovery that certain molecular configurations stored enormous amounts of free energy that could be released rapidly. The thermodynamic framework used to harvest that energy was always a clumsy fit: high-temperature, high-pressure, high-waste processes that converted a small fraction of available energy into useful work.

The crisis of the fossil fuel era is not merely environmental. It is conceptual. We have been trying to power a civilisation with a model of energy that is two centuries out of date, calibrated to the properties of steam.

The vacuum is not empty. It is the ground state of a self-organising, self-referential, nilpotent universe that has been generating coherent structure for as long as it has existed. The energy to power human civilisation is not buried in rock strata or concentrated in uranium ore. It is present, continuously, in the phase structure of the vacuum that permeates every cubic centimetre of space.

The question is not whether this energy exists. The question is whether we can build structures coherent enough to couple to it.

That is the engineering challenge of the next century.

Annotated References

Rowlands, P. (2007). *Zero to Infinity: The Foundations of Physics*. World Scientific. The primary source for the nilpotent operator framework. Rowlands' derivation of all fundamental physics from the single algebraic requirement of nilpotency implies that every physical entity is constituted by its phase relationship with its vacuum complement — directly foundational for the vacuum-coherence energy paradigm proposed here.

Kauffman, L. H. (1987). *Self-Reference and Recursive Distinction*. In *Laws of Form* proceedings. Establishes that self-referential systems generate oscillation as a primary phenomenon rather than a perturbation of equilibrium. The basis for treating coherent oscillation as energy itself rather than as a carrier or representation of energy.

Spencer-Brown, G. (1969). *Laws of Form*. Allen & Unwin. The foundational text from which Kauffman's work derives. The primacy of distinction and self-reference over substance and state provides the philosophical grounding for a physics organised around oscillation rather than particles.

Casimir, H. B. G. (1948). On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates. *Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 51, 793–795. The original paper predicting the Casimir effect. Provides the first experimental gateway to the

physical reality of vacuum energy — demonstrating that zero-point fluctuations produce measurable mechanical forces.

Schrödinger, E. (1944). *What Is Life? The Physical Aspect of the Living Cell*. Cambridge University Press. The source of the concept of negentropy as the substance that living systems feed on. Directly relevant to the argument that energy technology should couple to the vacuum's negentropic structure rather than spending stored negentropy.

Fleming, G. R., et al. (2007). Evidence for wavelike energy transfer through quantum coherence in photosynthetic systems. *Nature*, 446, 782–786. Experimental demonstration of quantum coherence in biological energy transfer at physiological temperatures. Provides biological proof of concept for coherence-based energy routing at near-perfect efficiency.

Marandi, A., et al. (2014). Network of time-multiplexed optical parametric oscillators as a coherent Ising machine. *Nature Photonics*, 8, 937–942. Experimental realisation of computation through phase-coherent oscillator networks. Demonstrates that coherence-based information processing is physically realisable, scalable, and energetically efficient — the proof of concept for Right-Brain Computing.

Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3), 183–191. Establishes the minimum energy cost of irreversible computation. Directly relevant as the limit that coherence-based reversible computing approaches — and in principle surpasses for systems coupled to the vacuum.

Kibble, T. W. B. (1976). Topology of cosmic domains and strings. *Journal of Physics A*, 9(8), 1387–1398. Foundational paper on topological defect formation during vacuum symmetry

breaking. Establishes the theoretical basis for topological energy structures — the long-range target technology sketched in Section VI.

Gough, C., & Josephson, B. D. (various). Work on macroscopic quantum coherence and superconductivity. Superconductivity represents the most mature engineering realisation of phase-coherent energy transport. The elimination of resistive dissipation through macroscopic phase coherence is the closest existing analogue to the vacuum-coherence energy paradigm.

Konstapel, H. (2025). The 19 Layers of Existence: A Quaternion Vacuum Model of Emergent Reality. *constable.blog*. The ontological framework within which this essay operates. The 19-layer model provides the explicit mapping between vacuum structure and macroscopic energetic phenomena that allows the physical arguments above to be situated within a coherent worldview.

Konstapel, H. (2026). Fractal Karma II: The Vacuum Knows. *constable.blog*. The companion essay to this one. Where that essay applies vacuum-coherence principles to the structure of individual learning and development, this essay applies the same principles to the structure of energy technology — demonstrating that the same physical foundations support both arguments.