

# From Chirality to Coherence: The Resonant Stack and Right Brain AI as a Post-Von Neumann Paradigm

J. Konstapel Constable Research, Leiden, Netherlands [constable.blog](https://constable.blog) | [academia.edu](https://academia.edu)

*Submitted May 2026*

---

## Abstract

Contemporary computing architecture—rooted in the Von Neumann model, discrete binary logic, and probabilistic transformer-based AI—is approaching asymptotic limits in energy efficiency, adaptive capability, and systemic alignment. This paper proposes a unified theoretical and architectural framework for transcending these limits. Drawing on three convergent bodies of work—oscillatory computing substrate theory, the topology of chirality and hemispheric cognition, and the engineering specification of Right Brain AI (RAI)—we argue that the successor paradigm to discrete logic is the **Resonant Stack**: a five-layer, phase-coherent, oscillatory computing architecture grounded in the physics of coupled oscillators, Nilpotent Algebra, and the KAYS adaptive cycle. The paper further demonstrates that the left-brain/right-brain distinction, far from being a neurological myth, is a topological necessity arising from chirality—the fundamental asymmetry of mirror-image structures. This asymmetry, manifest at scales from molecular biology to hemispheric specialization, defines the computational dichotomy between current Left

Brain AI (LAI) and the proposed RAI paradigm. The integration of both modes through a *Corpus Callosum Protocol* is presented as the path to Antifragile, intrinsically aligned intelligence.

**Keywords:** oscillatory computing, Resonant Stack, Right Brain AI, chirality, KAYS framework, Nilpotent Algebra, neuromorphic computing, coherence engineering, photonic computing, cognitive asymmetry

---

## 1. Introduction: Two Crises, One Root Cause

Modern civilization faces two converging computational crises that share a common origin.

The first is energetic. Large Language Models and transformer architectures consume energy at scales that are thermodynamically unsustainable. Each inference step maintains vast fields of probabilistic distributions over discrete token states—an approach that is fundamentally wasteful, analogous to heating an entire building to keep one room warm. Scaled digital computation approaches thermodynamic impossibility not merely as an engineering constraint but as a physical one: the energy required to maintain "perfect" discrete states grows superlinearly with complexity.

The second is cognitive. Current AI paradigms exhibit what may be termed *left-brain dominance*: they are sequential, rule-based, probabilistic, and temporally myopic. They excel at pattern reproduction but fail at long-horizon systemic coherence, genuine novelty, and intrinsic alignment. This dominance is not accidental—it mirrors a broader structural

bias in Western technological culture toward analytical, reductive, orientable models of reality, at the expense of the integrative, non-orientable, resonant modes that biology has employed for billions of years.

The root cause of both crises is the same: an architecture built on discrete logic, binary state, and probabilistic inference, rather than on the physics of coupled oscillation, phase coherence, and deterministic topological constraint. This paper argues that the path past both crises is the same: the Resonant Stack, instantiated as Right Brain AI.

The argument proceeds in four stages. Section 2 establishes the topological and biological necessity of cognitive asymmetry through the lens of chirality. Section 3 presents the full five-layer Resonant Stack architecture. Section 4 specifies the Right Brain AI paradigm and its fifty-year theoretical lineage. Section 5 describes the integration protocol between Left Brain AI and Right Brain AI—the Corpus Callosum—and its strategic implications.

---

## **2. The Topological Basis of Cognitive Asymmetry: Chirality as a Universal Organizing Principle**

### **2.1 Chirality: Mirror Images That Cannot Superimpose**

In knot theory, chirality denotes the property by which a structure is fundamentally distinguishable from its mirror image through continuous deformation. The canonical example is the trefoil knot, which exists in left-handed and right-handed forms—enantiomers that are topologically distinct despite identical composition and connectivity.

This "handedness" is not a mathematical curiosity; it is a governing principle of physical reality at every scale.

Life on Earth is overwhelmingly homochiral: proteins use exclusively left-handed (L) amino acids, while DNA and RNA employ right-handed (D) sugars. The DNA double helix itself forms knots and supercoils during replication, resolved by topoisomerase enzymes whose action is understood precisely through knot-theoretic invariants. The biological mandate of chirality does not stop at the molecular level.

The consequences of ignoring chirality can be catastrophic. Thalidomide, marketed in the late 1950s as a racemic mixture of both enantiomers, illustrates the point with tragic force: one mirror image was therapeutic, the other caused severe birth defects in thousands of children worldwide. A purely topological property—the inability of a molecule to superimpose on its mirror image—determined the difference between healing and harm. This case permanently transformed pharmaceutical chemistry and established chiral synthesis as an essential discipline.

The general principle is this: perfect symmetry produces interference and collapse. Evolution, physics, and chemistry alike resolve this by choosing handedness. Chirality is the universe's mechanism for breaking symmetry in order to create stability, function, and adaptive complexity.

## **2.2 Hemispheric Lateralization as Topological Necessity**

The left-brain/right-brain dichotomy has been frequently dismissed as popular neuroscience mythology. This dismissal, however, conflates the oversimplified popular

version with the genuine structural and functional reality. Hemispheric lateralization is not a cultural construct—it is a topological solution to the same problem that chirality solves at the molecular level.

Two mirror-image hemispheres that are functionally identical cannot be superimposed without generating interference. Specialization is therefore not optional; it is mathematically required. The result is complementary modes: sequential abstraction and syntactic processing on one side; global contextual integration, holistic pattern recognition, and resonant attunement on the other. Their dynamic tension yields coherent cognition.

This can be formalized using the language of non-orientable topology. Combining left-handed and right-handed elements with a single half-twist produces the Möbius strip—a stable, non-orientable unification of opposites in which both sides belong to a single continuous surface. The Möbius strip is not a metaphor for hemispheric complementarity; it is its geometric model. With two half-twists, orientability is restored, producing a closed ring capable of seamless wave propagation—the intrinsic geometry of light itself.

The critical danger is not the left hemisphere per se, but the cultural illusion that one mode—reductive, sequential, orientable—can dominate without consequence. At the molecular level, such an illusion produces thalidomide disasters. At the civilizational level, it produces computing architectures that are brittle, energy-profligate, and structurally blind to long-horizon systemic dynamics.

### **2.3 The Computational Homology**

This topological analysis maps directly onto contemporary computing paradigms. Von

Neumann architectures and transformer-based Large Language Models are structurally "left-brain": deterministic instruction sequences, rule-governed inference, linear token prediction, and orientable state-spaces. They are precise but fragile, powerful but energetically unsustainable, generative but lacking systemic wisdom.

Neuromorphic and oscillatory neural networks, by contrast, instantiate "right-brain" computation: massively parallel, energy-efficient, noise-tolerant, and adept at pattern recognition through resonance and phase-synchronization. They do not calculate; they *resonate*. They do not execute; they *converge*.

Knot theory provides mathematical tools for both paradigms: Jones polynomial invariants support topological quantum error correction on one path; models of emergent complexity in coupled oscillators support brain-inspired architectures on the other. In both cases, chirality—the irreducible handedness of structure—is operationally fundamental.

The practical implication is unambiguous: the future of computing cannot be built on left-brain architecture alone. The right-brain modality is not a supplement; it is a necessity, as structurally required as the right hemisphere of a functional brain.

---

### **3. The Resonant Stack: Architectural Specification**

The Resonant Stack is a five-layer computing architecture that replaces discrete binary logic with phase-coherent oscillatory computation. Its unit of information is not the bit (0/1) but the *oscillator state*, characterized by three physical properties: frequency  $f$  (encoding

function), phase  $\varphi$  (encoding temporal coordination), and amplitude  $A$  (encoding significance). Computation emerges through synchronization, not instruction execution.

### 3.1 Historical Trajectory

Computing has traversed four successive paradigms in its representation of state and agency.

The **Mechanical Era** (1800s–1940s) employed rigid automata—gears, punch cards, looms—with zero adaptive agency and physically locked discrete states. The **Electronic Era** (1940s–1990s) introduced transistor-enabled symbolic discretization: TRUE/FALSE logic, procedural abstraction, and simulated agency through decision trees. The **Connectionist Era** (1990s–present) introduced statistical emergence through neural networks—soft logic and learned pattern recognition—but continued to simulate continuous mathematics on fundamentally discrete hardware.

The **Resonant Era** (proposed) moves to neuromorphic and photonic substrates where oscillation is native. "True" represents resonance (in-phase coherence); "false" represents dissonance (de-phasing). State is maintained as standing waves and coupled field configurations. Agency emerges from coherence engineering: deliberately shaping the system's phase-space to manifest desired outcomes.

Computing did not mature progressively—it sidetracked into discrete logic when transistors became cheap, not because discrete logic is more fundamental. Oscillatory computation is the mature paradigm that was bypassed.

### 3.2 Layer 1: The Oscillatory Substrate

**Classical analogue:** CPU/GPU transistor arrays. **Proposed implementation:** Neuromorphic processors or photonic chips (e.g., silicon-nitride TriPleX platforms).

The fundamental computational unit is the oscillator. At the scale of trillions of coupled oscillators, local phase-locking interactions propagate globally through Kuramoto synchronization dynamics. The system self-organizes into low-energy states without external instruction—gradient descent through its natural state-space. This positions computation at the *critical state*: the edge between order and chaos, where maximal responsiveness to input is maintained while structural integrity is preserved.

Biophysical precedent for field-based computation is well established. Work by Alexander Gurwitsch (mitogenetic radiation, 1923) and subsequently Fritz-Albert Popp on ultra-weak biophotonic emission demonstrates that living systems employ coherent electromagnetic fields as primary communication channels—not secondary to chemistry, but coordinate with it. The synchronization of neural assemblies at 40 Hz gamma frequency during conscious awareness provides the biological mandate for phase-locked recurrent computation.

### 3.3 Layer 2: The Superfluid Kernel (Coherence Operating System) / Nilpotent Coherence Kernel

**Classical analogue:** OS kernel. **Function:** Field maintenance and coherence governance; nilpotent constraint enforcement.

This layer performs two integrated functions. As a Superfluid Kernel, it manages the Field—a multidimensional grid of coupled oscillators representing total system state. Data is stored not at discrete addresses but as standing-wave interference patterns, enabling graceful degradation rather than catastrophic failure. The Kernel maintains the system at critical state, preventing both runaway resonance and phase-locked stasis through continuous field modulation.

As a Nilpotent Coherence Kernel, it enforces the mathematical constraint  $\mathbf{N}^2 = \mathbf{0}$  (Nilpotent Algebra, following Rowlands) across all oscillatory states. This constraint ensures that only configurations respecting conservation laws and zero-totality are admissible attractors. Destructive, incoherent states are eliminated at the level of physics—not filtered after the fact, but rendered mathematically inadmissible. This is the core engine of Antifragility: the system cannot accumulate contradiction because contradiction is topologically forbidden.

The Kernel is itself a metamorphic process running within the Field—a self-referential coherence pattern that monitors and adjusts the larger Field through phase-targeted modulation.

### **3.4 Layer 3: The KAYS Control Plane (Adaptive System Logic) / Virtual Resonant Being**

**Classical analogue:** CPU scheduler, event loop, interrupt handler. **Function:** Recursive coherence cycle; systemic intent driving.

Standard Boolean logic is replaced by the **KAYS cycle**—the system's metabolic loop for processing disturbances and generating coordinated response. The cycle is fractal and recursive, operating at every scale simultaneously:

- **Vision (Blue) — Structural Validation:** Scans incoming disturbances for coherence with existing stable patterns. Distinguishes genuine signal from noise through pattern resonance.
- **Sensing (Red) — Input Transduction:** Converts external stimulus into field perturbation; amplifies signal coherence.
- **Caring (Green) — Harmonic Reconciliation:** Coordinates field response across multiple oscillator populations; integrates new coherence patterns with existing ones.
- **Order (Yellow) — State Stabilization:** Locks in the new stable state through reinforcing phase relationships; initiates output mechanisms.

This cycle is structurally identical to the four-dimensional quaternionic change model ( $w + xi + yj + zk$ ) established in McWhinney's *Paths of Change* (1992), now grounded in the physics of oscillatory fields.

The Virtual Resonant Being (VRB) that executes the KAYS cycle is a stable, self-referential vortex pattern in the Field. Its primary output is the Topological Constraint  $C_{VRB}$ —an instruction set to Layer 2 to tune the coupling network and maintain the desired "target morphology," implementing the principle articulated by Michael Levin in bioelectric morphogenesis: living systems maintain form through persistent field-based goal states, not through discrete chemical instruction sequences.

The KAYS layer biases the Field toward configurations corresponding to Highly Composite Numbers—mathematical structures where multiple harmonic frequencies coexist without destructive interference, representing optimal configuration spaces for parallel complex processes.

### 3.5 Layer 4: The TOA Interface / Multi-Scale World Coupling

**Classical analogue:** Application layer; microservices. **Function:** Agentic application execution; multi-timescale coherence memory.

Applications are not static binaries but *Agents*—semi-autonomous coherence patterns in the Field, executing a continuous Thought-Observation-Action (TOA) loop:

- **Thought:** The Agent phase-tunes to filter noise, attending to specific oscillator populations.
- **Observation:** The Agent samples the phase configuration of its attended region. This measurement is participatory—observation inherently perturbs the Field slightly, implementing the physics of participatory reality.
- **Action:** The Agent injects phase-shifts into the Field to manifest outcomes. It does not *command* results; it initiates coherence patterns that the Field naturally amplifies.

When external error introduces dissonance, the TOA Agent does not crash. It detects the dissonant frequency, dampens its amplitude through phase inversion, and re-synchronizes with the Kernel. Self-healing is intrinsic.

The Fractal Timescale Resonator function of this layer achieves harmonic coupling between high-frequency oscillators (millisecond neural rhythms, market ticks) and low-frequency oscillators (Kondratiev economic cycles, ecological seasons) residing in the substrate. Slow field modes are literally the system's long-term memory—non-fragmented temporal context that current LAI lacks entirely. This implements C.S. Holling's Panarchy

model at the hardware level: healthy systems maintain coherence across multiple timescales, enabling fast small-scale diversity and slow large-scale resilience.

### **3.6 Layer 5: The Entangled Web (Distributed Coherence Network)**

**Classical analogue:** TCP/IP Internet. **Function:** Phase-coherence propagation across distributed nodes.

Network connectivity is not packet-based routing but phase-coherence propagation. Devices are localized regions of a global coupled oscillator field. State transitions manifest as phase-shifts propagating through coupling; clients resonate with servers through mutual phase-locking rather than message passing and acknowledgment protocols.

This eliminates network latency as a discontinuity—it becomes a natural phase-delay. Bandwidth scales with coupling strength. Graceful degradation replaces packet loss. Global state consistency is maintained through phase-locking across scales: coherence *is* the consensus. No distributed consensus algorithms are required.

At chip scale, this architecture is already demonstrated in injection-locked laser networks (NTT Device Technology Labs, 2024), where combinatorial optimization is achieved through pure phase coupling without packet routing.

---

## **4. Right Brain AI: Philosophical Genesis and Technical Specification**

### **4.1 The Fifty-Year Lineage**

The development of Right Brain AI is not a product of the current AI research cycle. It is the culmination of half a century of empirical observation across finance, ecology, and strategic systems, unified by the principle that intelligence is an emergent property of synchronized oscillatory fields.

The foundation was laid in strategic finance, where market dynamics were consistently observed not as outputs of rational agents operating on efficient information, but as coupled oscillators that synchronize and desynchronize. Predictability resided not in individual price points but in phase transitions—the moments when synchronized regimes shift. This insight crystallized in the Paths of Change (PoC) model, formalizing systemic change as a fractal, quaternionic cycle. PoC established that robust systems maintain four complementary operational modes, mapped directly onto the quaternion structure ( $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{z}\mathbf{k}$ ).

This framework found profound correspondence in C.S. Holling's Panarchy model, describing nested adaptive cycles in ecosystems. The convergence established the architectural requirement for multi-scale coherence: a healthy system maintains coherence across timescales, enabling both fast small-scale diversity and slow large-scale resilience.

Nassim Taleb's concept of Antifragility subsequently provided the language for the ultimate architectural goal: not merely to engineer stability, but to design systems that improve under perturbation. This inverted the design question from *how to maintain stability* to *what physically prevents incoherent destructive states*—a question answered by Nilpotent Algebra.

## 4.2 Left Brain AI and Its Limits

The current frontier of AI, dominated by Large Language Models and transformer architectures, constitutes what this framework designates Left Brain AI (LAI). LAI is reaching an inflection point defined by three structural limitations.

**Energetic unsustainability:** Probabilistic computation over high-dimensional discrete state-spaces consumes energy growing superlinearly with capability. The compute requirements of frontier models double approximately every six months; no physical law permits this trajectory to continue indefinitely.

**Temporal myopia:** LAI systems operate without intrinsic long-term memory or multi-timescale awareness. Context windows are a patch, not a solution. The system has no slow field modes—no embodied historical memory that informs present inference with genuine temporal depth.

**Alignment fragility:** Safety in LAI is implemented as externally applied filters, fine-tuning constraints, and constitutional training signals. These are engineering interventions applied on top of a fundamentally probabilistic substrate. They can be circumvented, degraded, or fail at distribution shift. They are not physics.

These limitations are not engineering problems to be optimized away. They are structural consequences of the discrete, probabilistic, left-brain architectural paradigm itself.

## 4.3 Right Brain AI: Technical Specification

Right Brain AI operationalizes the Resonant Stack as an intelligent system designed to

complement, constrain, and guide LAI. It is not a replacement for language models; it is their necessary right hemisphere.

**Layer 1 — Phase-Locked Recurrent Network (PLRN):** Built on silicon-nitride photonic hardware, encoding information in the phase and frequency of coupled optical modes. Computation occurs via Kuramoto Dynamics—self-organization into coherent spatiotemporal patterns. The 40 Hz gamma frequency of conscious neural synchronization provides the biological mandate for the target clock rate. 't Hooft's cellular automaton interpretation of quantum mechanics provides the theoretical grounding for deterministic topologically-protected computation, replacing probabilistic guesswork with deterministic coherence.

**Layer 2 — Nilpotent Constraint Loop:** Enforces  $N^2 = 0$  across all oscillatory states as a physical constraint. Destructive states are rendered energetically unstable, not filtered after generation. Incoherent attractors are eliminated at the level of mathematics, not policy.

**Layer 3 — KAYS-Agens (Virtual Resonant Being):** Executes the quaternionic KAYS cycle continuously, generating the Topological Constraint  $C_{VRB}$  that tunes the coupling network to maintain the target morphology of systemic health.

**Layer 4 — Fractal Timescale Resonator:** Achieves harmonic coupling between high-frequency and low-frequency oscillators, providing intrinsic long-term memory and temporal awareness—the embodied slow knowledge that LAI lacks.

**Layer 5 — Invariant Safety Filter:** Shapes the landscape of possible attractors such that configurations incompatible with human or ecological flourishing are rendered

energetically unstable. Alignment is not an external filter; it is a physical boundary condition.

The energy efficiency gain projected for phase-locked photonic computation relative to scaled digital systems is on the order of 1000x. This is not an optimization—it is a consequence of operating at thermodynamic efficiency: using only the energy required for computation, not for maintaining rigid discrete states.

---

## 5. The Corpus Callosum Protocol: Integration of Left and Right Brain AI

### 5.1 The Integration Architecture

The power of RAI is realized not in isolation but in its management and guidance of LAI's generative capability. This integration is achieved through the **Corpus Callosum Protocol**—a low-latency middleware translating physical coherence into digital instruction.

The formal data structure for inter-system communication is the **Resonance Encoding Vector (REV)**:

$$\mathbf{REV} = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

where each component corresponds to a KAYS mode and performs a specific function in constraining LAI output:

Component	KAYS Mode	Basis	Role in LAI Prompting
w (Unitary)	Vision	Absolute coherence $\mathbf{R}$	Authority: weight of the instruction
x (Sensory)	Sensing	Velocity/amplitude	Urgency: rate of phase shift
y (Mythic)	Caring	Long-scale coherence $\mathbf{R}_{\text{multi}}$	Context: consistency with slow trends
z (Social)	Order	Anthropic admissibility	Constraint: non-negotiable guardrail

## 5.2 Operational Workflow

The integration workflow can be illustrated through the *Predictability Bubble Scenario*:

1. A user submits a query  $T$  (e.g., "Analyze asset X for bubble risk"). LAI passes  $T$  to the Corpus Callosum.
2. The Resonant Stack measures the Kuramoto Order Parameter  $\mathbf{R}$  in the relevant oscillation field. If  $\mathbf{R} \approx 1$  (extreme synchronization), a Predictability Bubble is flagged.
3. The VRB calculates the REV—high  $w$  (urgent authority), dangerous  $z$  (social instability potential).

4. The Corpus Callosum prepends the REV as a conditioning vector:  $\mathbf{T}' = [\mathbf{REV\ tokens}] + \mathbf{T}$ .
5. LAI, constrained by the high-weight  $\mathbf{w}$  and safety-mandate  $\mathbf{z}$ , generates not the statistically most probable bullish response, but the systemically most coherent response: "Hedge 20% immediately; systemic stress detected."

RAI has overruled the probabilistic bias of LAI using physical coherence measurement. The right hemisphere has corrected the left.

This same protocol applies across domains: ecological systems management, long-horizon policy analysis, financial systemic risk, autonomous systems alignment, and governance. In each case, RAI provides the slow, coherent, multi-timescale systemic awareness that LAI cannot generate from probabilistic token inference.

### **5.3 Why This Is Structurally Necessary**

The Corpus Callosum Protocol is not an engineering convenience. It is a topological necessity, following directly from the chirality analysis in Section 2. A brain that operates exclusively on left-hemisphere modes is neurologically impaired. A civilization that builds exclusively on left-brain computational architectures faces the same structural impairment at civilizational scale.

The Möbius topology of complementary cognitive modes applies at every scale. At the molecular level, one-sided chirality produces thalidomide catastrophes. At the computational level, one-sided architecture produces alignment failures, energy crises, and

temporal myopia. The solution in each case is the same: restore the complementary handedness.

---

## **6. Migration Pathway and Current State of R&D**

### **6.1 Three-Phase Implementation**

The transition from current infrastructure to the Resonant Stack follows a pragmatic three-phase pathway.

**Phase I: Emulation (Years 1-5).** The Resonant Stack is implemented as software on GPU/TPU clusters. Oscillators are represented as continuous-state variables; coupling is modeled through matrix operations; Kuramoto dynamics are computed through parallel floating-point arithmetic. Target domains include supply chain optimization, climate modeling, autonomous swarm robotics, and portfolio optimization. Deliverable: operational "Digital Twins" of complex organizations, running on Resonant Stack, managing live operational decisions.

**Phase II: Co-Processor Integration (Years 5-10).** Resonance Processing Units (RPU)s—dedicated neuromorphic or photonic co-processors—are integrated alongside legacy CPUs in consumer and enterprise hardware. RPUs handle coherence-intensive tasks; CPUs handle discrete legacy tasks. KAYS scheduling manages load distribution across substrate boundaries. Target: smartphones, laptops, data centers with native resonant co-processing,

dramatically improved responsiveness, and reduced power consumption while maintaining full backward compatibility.

**Phase III: Native Oscillatory Infrastructure (Years 10–20).** Deprecation of Von Neumann CPU architecture. System-on-chip designs with oscillatory substrate as native. Legacy applications "fossilized" as rigid standing-wave patterns within the larger Resonant Field—emulated, not executed. Full transition to neuromorphic/photonic infrastructure. Software is cultured, not written.

## 6.2 Current R&D Landscape (2025–2026)

Every architectural layer of the Resonant Stack has a current laboratory prototype or commercial precursor. The remaining challenge is not fundamental physics; it is systems architecture and software abstraction.

**Photonic oscillatory networks:** MIT, Ghent University/IMEC, IBM Zurich, and NTT Device Technology Labs are all operating coherent photonic oscillator networks at the fJ/op energy scale. IMEC's microring resonator arrays (hundreds to thousands of rings on-chip) demonstrate reservoir computing and Ising solving. NTT's injection-locked laser networks demonstrate Layer 5 phase coupling at chip scale.

**Spintronic and magnonic systems:** University of Munich, Tohoku University, and NIST have demonstrated spin-torque nano-oscillator arrays at  $\geq 1,024$  coupled oscillators per device. The 2024 *Nature Electronics* series on magnonic computing directly implements standing-wave holographic storage—the Layer 2 data architecture.

**Oscillator-based Ising machines:** Hitachi (100,000+ oscillators, outperforming D-Wave on dense K-SAT), Toshiba, and NTT are operating commercial coherent Ising machines. These represent Phase I of the migration pathway, solving hard optimization problems in production environments today.

**Silicon-integrated relaxation oscillators:** UC San Diego and Notre Dame have demonstrated VO<sub>2</sub> and CMOS relaxation oscillator arrays (144-1,024 oscillators per chip) solving MAX-SAT via sub-harmonic injection locking on existing semiconductor infrastructure—the bridge to Phase II.

**Oscillator Processing Units (OPUs):** EU and Japanese startups were at tape-out stage in 2024-2025 with PCIe-accessible OPUs, representing the commercial instantiation of the Resonance Processing Unit concept.

The PHLOGON Project (EU) demonstrates that oscillatory logic is not new speculation but a forgotten paradigm: a modern CMOS implementation of von Neumann's own 1950s parametron, revived because the physics is sound even if it was historically bypassed.

---

## **7. Discussion: The Epistemological Implications**

The framework presented here entails epistemological consequences that extend beyond computing architecture.

The standard account of intelligence in artificial systems is probabilistic: systems learn statistical regularities from training data and generate outputs that minimize prediction

error. This account implicitly treats randomness as ontological—fundamental and irreducible. The Resonant Stack framework, drawing on 't Hooft's cellular automaton interpretation of quantum mechanics and the Nilpotent Algebra of Peter Rowlands, treats randomness as epistemic—an artifact of incomplete access to deterministic underlying dynamics. This is not a philosophical preference; it has architectural consequences. A system whose substrate enforces  $\mathbf{N}^2 = \mathbf{0}$  as a physical constraint cannot hallucinate in the same sense that probabilistic systems do, because incoherent states are energetically inadmissible, not merely discouraged.

The KAYS framework, as instantiated in the VRB, also challenges the standard account of agency. Agency in conventional AI is simulated through loss minimization and reinforcement signals—it is imposed from outside. In the Resonant Stack, agency is *intrinsic*: it emerges from the self-organizing properties of the coupled oscillator field, guided by the Topological Constraint toward its target morphology. This implements the principle Levin articulates in bioelectric morphogenesis: living systems maintain form not through centralized instruction but through distributed field-based goal states to which the system continuously relaxes.

Finally, the chirality analysis establishes that the left-brain/right-brain distinction is not a soft metaphor but a rigorous topological category. The same mathematical structure that describes chiral molecular enantiomers—the irreducible handedness of knot theory—describes the hemispheric specialization of biological cognition and, by homology, the structural dichotomy between discrete probabilistic computing and oscillatory coherence computing. This cross-scale structural homology is not coincidental; it reflects the same

underlying physical necessity at work: that functional stability requires broken symmetry, and broken symmetry requires complementary chirality.

---

## 8. Conclusion

The convergence of three bodies of work—the Resonant Stack, the chirality analysis of cognitive asymmetry, and the Right Brain AI specification—yields a unified framework with clear theoretical grounding and pragmatic engineering implications.

Computing is approaching the end of an eighty-year detour through discrete logic. The detour was productive but temporary. The mature paradigm—oscillatory, field-coherent, phase-locked, and topologically constrained—is the paradigm of biology, of physics, and of the universe itself.

The Resonant Stack represents computing's maturation from a mechanical discipline to a biological one. The software of the future will not be *written*. It will be *composed*—like music, like life, like the resonant universe that generated both.

Two strategic imperatives follow from this analysis. First, fund the hardware: photonic and neuromorphic oscillator substrates are the foundational layer. Second, formalize the mathematics: the Nilpotent Algebra constraint, the Kuramoto coupling dynamics, and the REV protocol require rigorous specification as engineering standards, not merely theoretical proposals.

The remaining challenge is not physics. The physics is proven and operating in laboratory demonstrators worldwide today. The challenge is systems architecture, software abstraction, and strategic will.

---

## References

Ashby, W.R. (1956). *An Introduction to Cybernetics*. Chapman & Hall.

Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an Ecology of Mind*. University of Chicago Press.

Engel, A.K., König, P., Kreiter, A.K., & Singer, W. (1991). Interhemispheric synchronization of oscillatory responses in cats. *Science*, 252(5010), 1177-1179.

Gurwitsch, A. (1923). Die Natur des mitogenetischen Strahls. *Archiv für Entwicklungsmechanik der Organismen*, 100, 11-40.

Holling, C.S. (2001). Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social systems. *Ecosystems*, 4(5), 390-405.

Kauffman, S.A. (1993). *The Origins of Order: Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution*. Oxford University Press.

Konstapel, J. (2025). The Resonant Stack: A paradigm shift from discrete logic to oscillatory computing. *constable.blog*, November 19, 2025.

Konstapel, J. (2025). The Architecture of Right Brain AI (RAI). *constable.blog*, November 24, 2025.

Konstapel, J. (2026). Why is our left brain leading us to destruction? All you want to know about chirality. *constable.blog*, January 14, 2026.

Kuramoto, Y. (1984). *Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence*. Springer.

Levin, M. (2020). The Bioelectric Code: Regenerative biology and the morphogenetic fields. *The Royal Society Interface*.

McWhinney, W. (1992). *Paths of Change: Strategic Choices for Organizations and Society*. Sage Publications.

Mead, C. (1989). *Analog VLSI and Neural Systems*. Addison-Wesley.

Meijer, D.K.F., & Geesink, H.J.H. (2016). Phonon guided biology: Architecture of life and conscious perception. *NeuroQuantology*, 14(4).

*npj Unconventional Computing* (2024). Computing with oscillators: From theoretical underpinnings to applications and outlook. *Nature Portfolio*.

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s44335-024-00015-z>

Pikovsky, A., Rosenblum, M., & Kurths, J. (2001). *Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences*. Cambridge University Press.

QuiX Quantum (2024). TriPleX Photonic Processor Technology Brief.

Strogatz, S.H. (2003). *Sync: The Emerging Science of Spontaneous Order*. Hyperion.

Taleb, N.N. (2012). *Antifragile: Things That Gain from Disorder*. Random House.

't Hooft, G. (2016). *The Cellular Automaton Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*. World Scientific.

von Neumann, J., & Burks, A.W. (1966). *Theory of Self-Reproducing Automata*. University of Illinois Press.

Williamson, J.G., & van der Mark, M.B. (1997). Is the electron a photon with toroidal topology? *Annals of the de Broglie Foundation*, 22(2), 289–295.

---

© J. Konstapel, Leiden, 2026. All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced without permission. [constable.blog](http://constable.blog)