From Extraction to Resonance A Vacuum-Geometric Framework for Planetary Energy Infrastructure

J. **Konstapel** Leiden, 27 April 2026

Abstract

The global energy crisis is not primarily a crisis of resources. It is a crisis of ontology. Every dominant energy technology — from fossil combustion to nuclear fission to photovoltaic conversion — is built on the same foundational assumption: that energy is a substance to be extracted from matter. This paper proposes that this assumption is the root cause of the structural failures of current energy infrastructure, and that a coherent alternative exists. Drawing on Peter Rowlands' Nilpotent Quantum Mechanics, the author's Dual Space model, experimental findings on Casimir and zero-point energy, Will McWhinney's Paths of Change framework, and Alan Fiske's Relational Models Theory, we develop a multi-scale theoretical architecture for a planetary energy infrastructure grounded not in extraction but in resonance — the geometric alignment of engineered systems with the vacuum structure from which all matter and energy emerge. The Retrodynamic Gearturbine of Carlos Barrera is examined as an early, intuitive proof-of-concept for this paradigm. We conclude with a proposed transition pathway from the current extractive model to a resonant infrastructure, including governance principles derived from Fiske's relational framework.

Keywords: vacuum energy, nilpotent quantum mechanics, zero-point energy, resonant engineering, energy infrastructure, Paths of Change, Fiske relational models, Casimir effect, dual space, geometric algebra

1. Introduction: The Ontological Root of the Energy Crisis

The twenty-first century energy crisis is routinely framed as a problem of supply, emissions, or geopolitics. Conventional solutions — renewable generation, smart grids, energy storage, carbon capture — address these symptoms without questioning the underlying model. That model, inherited from the industrial revolution, defines energy as a *substance contained in matter* that can be released through destruction: combustion, fission, photonic absorption. The infrastructure built on this model is inherently extractive, inherently centralized, and inherently entropic — it produces order in one location by generating disorder everywhere else.

This paper argues that the transition required is not technological but paradigmatic. The question is not *which substance shall we extract next* but *whether extraction is the right relationship between an engineered system and its energetic environment at all*.

The alternative proposed here is **resonant engineering**: the design of energy systems whose geometric structure aligns with the intrinsic geometry of the quantum vacuum, such that useful work emerges not from the destruction of matter but from the coherent coupling of engineered geometry with the vacuum state. This is not a speculative proposal. Its theoretical foundations are mathematically rigorous, its physical basis is experimentally confirmed at the microscale, and its governance implications are derivable from established social theory.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 establishes the theoretical foundation in Rowlands' nilpotent mechanics and the author's Dual Space model. Section 3 reviews the experimental evidence for vacuum energy as a physically accessible resource. Section 4 develops the concept of resonant engineering and examines the Gearturbine as a proto-resonant device. Section 5 applies McWhinney's Paths of Change to model the transition from extractive to resonant infrastructure. Section 6 applies Fiske's Relational Models Theory to the governance of a resonant energy system. Section 7 proposes a planetary architecture. Section 8 concludes.

2. Theoretical Foundation: The Vacuum as Primary Reality

2.1 Rowlands' Nilpotent Quantum Mechanics

Peter Rowlands (2007) proposed a comprehensive rewriting of fundamental physics using a 64-element Clifford algebra combining quaternion, complex, and multivariate vector spaces. The central result is the nilpotent condition for any fermion state:

$$(\pm ikE \pm ip + jm)\psi = 0$$

where E is energy, p is momentum, m is rest mass, and i, j, k are the quaternion units operating across the combined algebraic space. Nilpotency — the condition that the operator squares to zero — has a profound physical interpretation: every physical state is intrinsically paired with a phase-space conjugate such that their sum is identically zero. The universe, taken as a whole, sums to nothing.

This is not a mathematical curiosity. It means that matter is not *in* the vacuum as an object is in a container. Matter *is* the vacuum in a state of localized, self-consistent symmetry-breaking. The vacuum is the totality; matter is its local perturbation. Energy, in this framework, is not a substance but a *relationship* — specifically, the relationship between a local symmetry-breaking event and the vacuum geometry that surrounds and sustains it.

The engineering consequence is immediate and radical: if energy is relational rather than substantial, then the efficiency of an energy device is determined not by how much substance it destroys but by how coherently its geometry relates to the vacuum structure. A device in perfect geometric alignment with its vacuum environment requires no destruction at all — it is simply a sustained, self-consistent perturbation of the vacuum, maintaining itself through structural resonance.

2.2 The Dual Space Model

The author has developed a complementary framework — the Dual Space model (Konstapel, 2026) — that extends Rowlands' algebraic formalism into a broader ontological and physical account. The central claim is that physical reality, as experienced and measured, is the *projection* of a deeper non-local phase space onto the manifold of observable events. The physical world is not ontologically primary; it is the shadow cast by vacuum geometry onto the screen of measurement.

In this framework, every physical structure — including every engineered device — exists simultaneously in real space and in its phase-space dual. Classical engineering designs exclusively in real space: it manipulates material geometry, thermal gradients, and mechanical forces. It is unaware of the phase-space dimension and therefore cannot optimize for coherence between the two. The result is that every classical device is, from the perspective of the vacuum, a source of

noise — a continuous disruption of vacuum symmetry that manifests as heat, friction, acoustic emission, and electromagnetic radiation. These are not side effects. They are the direct consequence of designing in one dimension of a two-dimensional reality.

Resonant engineering, by contrast, designs in both dimensions simultaneously. It asks: what geometry, in real space, corresponds to a self-consistent state in phase space? What rotational structure, what flow pattern, what material arrangement, minimizes the decoherence between the device and its vacuum environment? The answers to these questions, derivable from the nilpotent formalism and the Clifford algebra of the Dual Space model, constitute the design principles of the new engineering.

3. The Physical Reality of Vacuum Energy

3.1 The Casimir Effect

The quantum vacuum is not energetically inert. This is no longer a theoretical claim — it is an experimentally confirmed physical fact. Casimir (1948) predicted that two uncharged, perfectly conducting parallel plates placed in vacuum would experience an attractive force arising from the asymmetry between the zero-point field modes permitted between the plates and those permitted outside. This force has no classical explanation. It arises purely from the structure of the vacuum.

Lamoreaux (1997) provided the first high-precision experimental confirmation, measuring the Casimir force between a sphere and a flat plate to within 5% of theoretical prediction. Subsequent measurements have refined this to better than 1% agreement (Decca et al., 2005). The Casimir effect is real, reproducible, and geometrically dependent: its magnitude and direction are determined entirely by the geometry of the conducting surfaces, not by any material property of the conductors themselves.

This geometric dependence is critical. It means that the vacuum responds to geometry. A different geometry produces a different force. In principle, a geometry optimized to maximize vacuum-matter coupling — rather than the incidental geometry of two parallel plates — could produce forces and energy transfers significantly larger than the Casimir effect as conventionally measured.

3.2 Zero-Point Energy and the Haisch-Rueda-Puthoff Model

Haisch, Rueda, and Puthoff (1994) proposed a more radical claim: that inertia itself is not an intrinsic property of mass but arises from the interaction of matter with the zero-point electromagnetic field of the vacuum. In their model, the resistance a body offers to acceleration — what Newton called inertia — is the electromagnetic drag of the zero-point field acting on the charged constituents of matter. If correct, this implies that inertia is *relational*: it depends on the geometry of the interaction between a body and its vacuum environment.

The engineering implication is striking. A mechanical system whose geometry minimizes disruption to the zero-point field would experience anomalously low effective inertia. A rotating system that maintains continuous, smooth, symmetric coupling with the vacuum — never abruptly reversing, never generating turbulent decoherence — would rotate more freely than classical mechanics predicts, not because physical law has been violated, but because the physical law in question (inertia as vacuum interaction) has been optimized rather than ignored.

3.3 From Microscale Confirmation to Macroscale Engineering

The confirmed reality of Casimir forces and the theoretical coherence of the HRP model establish that vacuum energy is physically accessible in principle. The gap between microscale confirmation and macroscale engineering is real and significant — no macroscopic device has yet demonstrated unambiguous vacuum-energy extraction under controlled conditions. However, this gap reflects the state of the engineering art, not a physical impossibility. The tools to design and measure vacuum-coupled macroscopic systems do not yet exist in standard form, because no systematic effort has been made to develop them. This paper argues that such an effort is the central engineering challenge of the twenty-first century.

4. Resonant Engineering: Principles and Proto-Devices

4.1 The Five Principles of Resonant Engineering

From the theoretical framework developed above, five design principles for resonant energy systems can be derived:

Principle 1: Geometric primacy. The design process begins with vacuum geometry — specifically, with the self-consistent nilpotent structures derivable from Rowlands' formalism — and selects materials capable of sustaining that geometry. This reverses the classical order, in which material properties determine what geometries are feasible.

Principle 2: Rotational closure. Closed rotational paths are more fundamental than translational ones because they return to themselves — they describe self-consistent vacuum states rather than symmetry-breaking events. All resonant devices should be fully rotational, eliminating reciprocating mass entirely.

Principle 3: Counter-rotational duality. The co-existence of clockwise and counter-clockwise rotation around the same axis physically enacts the dual-space relationship between real space and phase space. This is the macroscopic expression of the nilpotent self-duality condition **(operator)² = 0**, and it is the geometric signature of vacuum coherence in a mechanical system.

Principle 4: Peripheral force application. Force should be applied at the maximum possible radial distance from the rotational axis, maximizing the moment arm and minimizing the angular decoherence per unit of energy input. This is both a classical mechanical optimization and a vacuum-geometric one: at the periphery, the rotational geometry is most pure and the coupling to the vacuum's angular momentum structure is strongest.

Principle 5: Continuous rather than impulsive operation. Impulse — sudden force application — is a symmetry-breaking event that generates decoherence. Continuous, smoothly varying force application maintains the system closer to its vacuum equilibrium. Gas turbines are more resonant than piston engines for this reason; a fully optimized resonant device would approach continuous operation asymptotically.

4.2 The Retrodynamic Gearturbine as Proto-Resonant Device

Carlos Barrera's Retrodynamic Gearturbine (Barrera, 1991/2009) was designed by intuition rather than by the theoretical framework developed here. Nevertheless, it implements all five principles in recognizable, if imperfect, form.

It is fully rotational (Principle 2). It employs counter-rotating Dextro and Levo flows (Principle 3). It applies combustion force at the peripheral gear interface (Principle 4). It operates on continuous combustion rather than impulsive ignition (Principle 5). And its YingYang ThrustWay geometry — the interlocking opposed spiral flow paths — approximates, in physical metal and gas, the bivector rotation structure of Clifford algebra that expresses the nilpotent self-duality condition (Principle 1).

Barrera arrived at these design choices through thirty years of direct engagement with the problem of motion and energy, without access to the theoretical vocabulary that would allow him to articulate why his intuitions were geometrically sound. The theoretical framework now exists. The task is to use it to design the next generation of resonant devices deliberately — not by intuition alone, but by explicit geometric intention derived from the nilpotent vacuum formalism.

The Gearturbine is not yet a resonant device in the full sense. Its materials are conventional, its tolerances are those of classical manufacturing, and its geometry has not been optimized against the specific self-consistency conditions of the vacuum. But it is the clearest existing pointer toward what resonant devices will look like: continuous, rotational, counter-symmetric, peripherally driven, and geometrically intentional.

5. The Transition Pathway: Paths of Change

5.1 McWhinney's Framework

Will McWhinney's Paths of Change (1992) provides a map of how fundamental transitions occur in complex systems. McWhinney identified four irreducible worldviews — Unitary (mythos), Sensory (empirical reality), Social (relational meaning), and Analytic (logical structure) — that correspond to the four quaternion dimensions of human experience. Every genuine transformation requires engagement with all four; transitions that engage only one or two worldviews produce partial change that is eventually reversed.

Applied to the energy transition, McWhinney's framework reveals why the current renewable energy program will not achieve the paradigm shift required. It engages primarily the Sensory worldview (measurable emissions reductions, quantifiable generation capacity) and the Analytic worldview (grid optimization models, market mechanisms). It does not engage the Unitary worldview — the question of what our fundamental relationship to energy *means*, what vision of the human-cosmos relationship it expresses — nor does it adequately engage the Social worldview — the question of what relational structures between people, communities, and institutions the energy system creates and sustains.

The transition to resonant infrastructure requires all four paths simultaneously.

5.2 The Four Paths of the Energy Transition

The Unitary Path: A New Cosmology of Energy. The shift from extraction to resonance is not merely technical — it is cosmological. It requires a new answer to the question: what is energy, and what is the human being's relationship to it? The extractive model embeds a cosmology of scarcity and domination: energy is hidden in matter, and human civilization is built on the ability to force it out. The resonant model embeds a cosmology of abundance and participation: energy is the structure of the vacuum itself, everywhere and always available, and human civilization's task is to learn to resonate with it rather than fight it. This shift in cosmology is the necessary foundation without which the technical transition cannot be sustained.

The Sensory Path: Empirical Validation at Scale. The resonant model must be confirmed by measurement. The Casimir effect is confirmed. The HRP inertia model is theoretically coherent. What is missing is a systematic program of macroscale measurement: anomalous energy balance in rotating counter-symmetric systems, inertial anomalies in high-RPM vacuum-coupled rotors, geometric optimization of Casimir-force configurations for macroscopic force generation. This program must be built. It is the empirical backbone of the transition.

The Analytic Path: Geometric Design Science. A new branch of engineering design science is required — one in which the primary design language is geometric algebra (Hestenes, 2003) and the primary optimization criterion is vacuum coherence rather than thermal efficiency. This requires new curricula, new design tools, new simulation environments capable of modeling phase-space geometry alongside real-space mechanics. The nilpotent formalism of Rowlands provides the mathematical foundation; the engineering application remains to be developed.

The Social Path: Distributed, Relational Infrastructure. Resonant energy devices, if their potential is realized, will be inherently distributed. A device that couples with the local vacuum geometry generates energy locally, from a source that is everywhere present. This has profound structural consequences for energy infrastructure: it favors distribution over centralization, local autonomy over grid dependence, community ownership over corporate monopoly. The Social path of the transition is therefore not merely a governance question — it is built into the physics of the technology itself.

6. Governance of Resonant Infrastructure: Fiske's Relational Models

6.1 Fiske's Framework

Alan Fiske (1991) proposed that all human social relations can be understood as combinations of four elementary relational models: Communal Sharing (CS), Authority Ranking (AR), Equality Matching (EM), and Market Pricing (MP). Each model implies a different logic of distribution, obligation, and value. Current energy infrastructure is dominated by Market Pricing (energy as commodity) with significant Authority Ranking (state regulation and corporate hierarchy). This combination produces the familiar pathologies of the energy system: concentration of ownership, exclusion of the poor, resistance to innovation that threatens incumbent positions.

6.2 Relational Architecture for Resonant Energy

A resonant energy infrastructure, grounded in the physics of distributed vacuum coupling, requires a relational architecture that reflects its distributed, abundant character.

Communal Sharing at the local level. Vacuum energy, as a resource that is everywhere present, cannot be privately owned in the way that a coal seam can. At the community level, resonant energy devices should be governed by Communal Sharing logic: the resource belongs to the community, devices are maintained collectively, and access is based on membership rather than purchase. This mirrors the physics: the vacuum is not scarce, and the energy it provides is not diminished by use.

Equality Matching at the regional level. Between communities, energy exchange should be governed by Equality Matching: balanced reciprocity, mutual aid, proportional contribution. Regional energy networks become webs of mutual support rather than hierarchical grids with centralized generation and passive consumers.

Authority Ranking for technical standards only. Hierarchical authority is appropriate for the narrow domain of technical standardization: ensuring that devices meet safety and interoperability requirements. It is not appropriate for resource allocation, pricing, or access decisions — domains where it currently dominates.

Market Pricing for innovation incentives only. Competitive market mechanisms have a limited but legitimate role in incentivizing the development of new resonant technologies. Once a technology is mature and its resource base is the vacuum (available everywhere), market pricing of the energy itself becomes inappropriate — it is the pricing of air.

This relational architecture is not utopian. It mirrors the existing governance of other commons-based distributed infrastructures — the internet's protocol layer, open-source software, community water systems — adapted to the specific physics and social context of resonant energy.

7. Planetary Architecture: From Extraction Grid to Resonance Web

The planetary energy infrastructure of the extractive era is a grid: centralized generation, hierarchical transmission, passive consumption. Its topology reflects its ontology — energy flows from sources to sinks, from the powerful to the powerless, from the place of extraction to the place of use.

The planetary energy infrastructure of the resonant era is a web: distributed generation, peer-to-peer exchange, active participation at every node. Its topology reflects its ontology — the vacuum is everywhere, every node is a potential source, and the infrastructure exists not to transport energy from its origin to its destination but to maintain the coherence of the web as a whole.

7.1 The Node: Local Resonant Generation

Each node in the resonant web is a community-scale resonant generation system: a cluster of devices whose geometry has been optimized for vacuum coupling under local conditions (local gravitational gradient, local electromagnetic environment, local temperature regime). The node is self-sufficient under normal conditions and connected to the web for resilience and mutual aid under exceptional conditions.

7.2 The Web: Coherence Maintenance

The connections between nodes are not primarily for energy transport — they are for coherence maintenance. A resonant web, like a biological organism, maintains its health through continuous exchange of information and small amounts of energy between nodes. The web is not a transmission system; it is a nervous system.

7.3 The Transition Layer

The transition from the current grid to the resonant web cannot be instantaneous. For a transitional period of decades, the two systems will coexist. The transition layer is the set of institutions, protocols, and hybrid devices that manage this coexistence — ensuring that the development of the resonant web is not captured and suppressed by the incumbent grid operators, while ensuring that the grid continues to provide essential services during the transition.

This transition layer is where the governance framework of Section 6 is most urgently needed: it is the political and institutional battlefield where the extractive paradigm will resist the resonant one, and where the relational architecture of the new system must be actively defended.

8. Conclusion

The energy crisis of the twenty-first century cannot be resolved by better extraction. Every extractive technology, however refined, is bounded by the Carnot limit of a system that works against its environment. The resolution requires a different relationship between engineered systems and the energetic reality in which they are embedded.

That reality, as Rowlands' nilpotent mechanics and the Dual Space model make clear, is the quantum vacuum: not empty, not inert, but the perfectly balanced totality from which matter, energy, and geometry all emerge. The energy infrastructure of the future is built not by destroying the vacuum's contents but by resonating with its structure.

The theoretical foundations of this infrastructure exist. The experimental confirmation of its physical basis — the Casimir effect, zero-point energy, the HRP inertia model — is well advanced at the microscale. The design principles for resonant devices are derivable from the nilpotent formalism. The governance architecture for a distributed, commons-based energy web is available from Fiske's relational models. The transition pathway is mappable through McWhinney's Paths of Change.

What is missing is will: the institutional will to fund the research program that bridges microscale confirmation and macroscale engineering; the political will to protect the distributed governance architecture against capture by incumbent interests; and the cosmological will to replace the extractive worldview — energy as something to be seized from a reluctant nature — with the resonant one: energy as something to be joined.

Carlos Barrera's Retrodynamic Gearturbine, imperfect and unvalidated as it currently stands, points in the right direction. It is the early cartography of a continent. The task now is to build the ships.

Annotated References

Barrera, C. (1991/2009). *Retrodynamic Gearturbine / YingYang ThrustWay*. Patent IMPI Mexico, 1991. Public demonstration: youtube.com/watch?v=0cPo9Lf44TE *The primary source for the Gearturbine design. Analyzed in this paper as a proto-resonant device whose geometric architecture — counter-rotating flows, peripheral torque application, continuous combustion — approximates the vacuum-coherence conditions derivable from nilpotent mechanics, despite having been designed without access to that theoretical framework.*

Casimir, H. B. G. (1948). On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen*, 51, 793–795. *The foundational theoretical prediction of a measurable force arising from vacuum zero-point fluctuations. Establishes that the quantum vacuum is not energetically inert and that its energy density responds to geometric boundary conditions — the physical basis for the claim that macroscopic vacuum coupling is possible in principle.*

Decca, R. S., López, D., Fischbach, E., & Krause, D. E. (2005). Measurement of the Casimir force between dissimilar metals. *Physical Review Letters*, 94, 240401. *High-precision experimental confirmation of the Casimir effect to better than 1% agreement with theory. Together with Lamoreaux (1997), establishes the experimental reality of vacuum energy forces beyond reasonable doubt.*

Fiske, A. P. (1991). *Structures of Social Life: The Four Elementary Forms of Human Relations*. Free Press, New York. *The foundational text for Relational Models Theory. Fiske's four elementary forms — Communal Sharing, Authority Ranking, Equality Matching, Market Pricing — provide the governance architecture applied in Section 6 to the design of distributed resonant energy infrastructure. Particularly relevant is Fiske's analysis of how Market Pricing logic colonizes domains where Communal Sharing would be more appropriate.*

Haisch, B., Rueda, A., & Puthoff, H. E. (1994). Inertia as a zero-point-field Lorentz force. *Physical Review A*, 49(2), 678–694. *The Haisch-Rueda-Puthoff model proposes that inertia arises from electromagnetic interaction with the vacuum zero-point field. If correct, the inertia of a mechanical system depends on the geometry of its interaction with the vacuum — directly relevant to the claim in Section 4 that resonant devices experience anomalously low effective inertia.*

Hestenes, D. (2003). *Geometric Algebra for Physicists*. Cambridge University Press. *The definitive treatment of Clifford geometric algebra as a unified language for physics. Provides the mathematical formalism used in Section 4.1 to express the counter-rotating geometry of resonant devices as bivector rotations satisfying the nilpotent self-duality condition.*

Konstapel, J. (2026). The impact of dual space on our life. *Constable Blog*, April 21, 2026. constable.blog *The author's development of the Dual Space model, extending Rowlands' nilpotent formalism into a comprehensive ontology in which physical reality is the projection of vacuum geometry. Central to Sections 2.2 and 4 of this paper.*

Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. University of Chicago Press. *The standard account of how scientific paradigms shift. Relevant to the argument of this paper that the transition from extractive to resonant engineering is a paradigm shift in Kuhn's sense: the new paradigm is incommensurable with the old, cannot be fully evaluated by the old paradigm's criteria, and requires a gestalt shift in the community's basic ontological commitments.*

Lamoreaux, S. K. (1997). Demonstration of the Casimir force in the 0.6 to 6 μm range. *Physical Review Letters*, 78(1), 5–8. *The first high-precision experimental confirmation of the Casimir effect. Establishes the physical reality of vacuum energy forces at measurable scales and provides the empirical foundation for the resonant engineering program proposed in this paper.*

McWhinney, W. (1992). *Paths of Change: Strategic Choices for Organizations and Society*. Sage Publications, Newbury Park. *The foundational text for the Paths of Change framework. McWhinney's four worldviews — Unitary, Sensory, Social, Analytic — and their combinations provide the transition model applied in Section 5. The quaternion structure of PoC is directly homologous to the quaternion algebra of Rowlands' nilpotent formalism, suggesting a deep structural connection between the physics of the vacuum and the phenomenology of human transformation.*

Rowlands, P. (2007). *Zero to Infinity: The Foundations of Physics*. World Scientific, Singapore. *The central theoretical reference for this paper. Rowlands demonstrates that all fundamental physics emerges from the nilpotent condition $(\pm ikE \pm ip + jm)\psi = 0$, implying that the vacuum is the perfectly balanced totality and matter is localized symmetry-breaking. This transforms the*

engineering question of energy efficiency from a thermodynamic problem into a geometric one, providing the theoretical foundation for the entire resonant engineering program proposed here.