PATHS TO THE KNOT

A General Theory of Persistence, Maintenance, Form and Depth

J. Konstapel

1Leiden, 8 August 2026

Based on Paths to the Knot: Complete Edition

Abstract

Across the human sciences, life sciences, formal sciences, engineering and applied disciplines, different literatures repeatedly describe systems that persist despite continuous replacement of their material constituents. Conversation is maintained by repair; organisations by recurrent performance; cells by proteostasis; memories despite representational drift; infrastructures by renewal; digital archives by checking and restoration; and cultural forms by repeated transmission. These literatures normally treat such processes as domain-specific mechanisms. Paths to the Knot proposes that they are instances of one object: a knot, defined as a pattern of connections that persists because it is continually re-laid.

The theory introduces two structural quantities. Form specifies which connections are maintained and how they are arranged. Depth specifies the number of closures by which a pattern is nested over constituent patterns. The theory further introduces a dimensionless maintenance ratio $R = r/\lambda$, where r is the rate at which lapsed or misplaced connections are restored and λ is the rate at which idle connections decay. From a seven-step construction beginning with one continuous line, the theory derives an upper bound on maintainable form, a required fraction of repair time, a finite depth of nested organisation, and an ordering of failure from deeper to shallower levels.

The central claim is not that existing disciplines are mistaken. Rather, they have independently identified different manifestations of the same maintenance object and named them in their own vocabularies. The contribution of Knot Theory is to place those manifestations in a common quantitative framework. The paper states the core construction, derives its principal results, shows how the framework maps onto several empirically developed domains, and identifies a research programme in which already-measured repair and decay rates can be combined into a common maintenance ratio.

Keywords: knot theory; maintenance; repair; decay; persistence; form; depth; re-laying; complexity; hierarchy

1. Introduction: The Same Object Across Disciplines

A recurring scientific problem appears in different forms across otherwise disconnected disciplines: what makes a pattern remain the same when the material carrying it is continually replaced? A language survives while its speakers are replaced. An organisation survives while employees, products and market positions change. A cell remains a cell while proteins and membrane components turn over. A memory persists while its molecular and cellular substrate changes. An infrastructure network survives while its physical components are repaired and replaced. A digital archive survives only through continuous checking, migration and restoration.

The usual response is to introduce a domain-specific concept: structure, routine, identity, homeostasis, proteostasis, self-stabilisation, resilience, cultural transmission, institutional reproduction, or memory. These concepts are productive within their fields, but they are rarely treated as instances of the same formal object. Paths to the Knot starts from the observation that the convergence is much broader than any single disciplinary vocabulary suggests.

The proposed object is a knot: a pattern of connections that persists because it is continually re-laid. Persistence therefore does not require a permanent material substrate. What persists is the class of relations into which changing material is repeatedly organised.

The manuscript deliberately does not begin by imposing this definition on the disciplines. Its chapters begin with accepted findings within each field, identify the question left open by those findings, use the measurements already available, and then show what follows if those measurements are taken at face value. This method is intended to distinguish a generalisation from a search for confirming examples.

2. The Object: A Pattern That Re-Lays Itself

2.1 Definition

A knot is a pattern of connections that persists because it is continually re-laid. Connections lapse when nothing runs across them; new connections are laid where flow runs. The continuing existence of the pattern therefore depends on a dynamic balance between re-laying and lapse.

This definition reverses a common ontological intuition. The stable object is not necessarily a stable substance. The persistence of the object may consist precisely in the replacement of its substance while the relations are restored. In this sense, a knot is neither a stored representation nor an aggregate of durable parts. It is an ongoing operation.

2.2 Form

Form is the identity-bearing arrangement of the connections: which connections exist, in what order, and which cross or constrain which others. Form answers the question: what makes this knot this knot rather than another? In different domains the same role is played by a genome sequence, a personality pattern, a ritual sequence, an institutional arrangement, a musical form, or the configuration of a network.

Form is therefore not identical to the material inventory. The same form may be instantiated by different materials, different people, different performances, or different generations of components.

2.3 Depth

Depth is the number of times a pattern has been closed over constituent patterns. A knot of knots is a higher-depth object. Closure takes connections that were open at the lower level and turns some of them inward. The resulting whole has less effective openness and therefore a longer retention time.

Depth is consequently a structural explanation for nested timescales. Molecules, cells, persons, teams, organisations and institutions need not be treated as different ontological categories. They can be treated as patterns at different levels of closure, each with its own turnover and maintenance timescale.

2.4 The Combinatorial Representation

Lay the contacts of a knot out along a line. Two contacts have one of two basic relations: they cross when their endpoints alternate, or they nest when one lies wholly inside the other. In the combinatorics of chord diagrams these are standard statistics. The theory identifies crossing structure with form and nesting structure with depth.

This correspondence is important because it turns two apparently qualitative ideas into countable structural quantities. The cited combinatorial literature establishes a symmetric joint distribution for crossings and nestings, placing the two statistics on comparable mathematical footing.

3. The Maintenance Ratio

The theory uses four basic quantities. C is the number of contacts required by the maintained pattern. λ is the rate at which an idle contact lapses. r is the rate at which a lapsed or misplaced contact is restored. R is the dimensionless repair-to-decay ratio, and f is the fraction of time in which flow is sufficiently near zero for repair to occur.

$$R \equiv r / \lambda$$

The central hypothesis is that the size of a pattern that can be maintained is constrained primarily by R, rather than by the absolute speed at which the system operates. A system can be fast and still fail if its repair-to-decay balance is inadequate; conversely, a slower system can persist if its repair capacity is sufficient relative to its decay.

4. From One Continuous Line to Two Numbers

The quantitative construction consists of seven steps. The starting object is one continuous line. Where it touches itself there is a contact. A closed loop held by contacts is a knot. A quantity called flow spreads along the line and across contacts from higher to lower levels. Contacts across which nothing runs are not re-laid and therefore lapse.

4.1 Retention time

A knot has capacity and openness. Capacity is the amount of line it contains; openness is the aggregate conductance of its open contacts. The retention time is therefore:

$$\tau = \text{capacity} / \text{openness}$$

A large knot with little openness retains its state longer than a small, widely open knot. The relation is the ordinary capacity-to-throughput relation for an exchanging compartment.

4.2 Closure and the tempo ratio

Suppose q constituent knots each retain b open contacts of comparable conductance. Closing them into a higher-level knot lays ζ new contacts per constituent and turns the corresponding open connections inward. The fraction of openness taken inward is:

$$\phi = 2\zeta / b$$

The resulting ratio of adjacent retention times is:

$$\sigma = 1 / (1 - \phi) = b / (b - 2\zeta)$$

Any genuine closure has $\phi > 0$ and therefore $\sigma > 1$. A higher-level knot necessarily holds longer than its constituent level, provided some boundary remains open. The requirement $2\zeta < b$ is therefore not an additional biological assumption: a living knot must retain some open boundary through which flow can continue.

4.3 The upper bound on form

Re-laying is imperfect. As the pattern grows, replacement contacts are increasingly likely to land outside the required pattern. Let the probability of a correct placement scale as C^(−a), where a is taken as 1 or 2. If μ is the number of contacts re-laid per unit of flow, the maintenance condition is:

$$\mu r > \lambda\ \mu(1 - C\text{^}(-a))\ C$$

The re-laying rate μ cancels. With $R = r/\lambda$ this gives:

$$R > C - C\text{^}(1-a)$$

To leading order, the result is:

$$C \leq R$$

This is the central maintenance bound: the largest maintainable form is set by the repair-to-decay ratio. The important result is the cancellation of absolute re-laying speed. What matters is the ratio between restoration and lapse.

4.4 Required repair time

Repair is assumed to occur during phases in which flow is near zero. If x is the ratio of drift to repair rate, the stationary repair fraction is:

$$f = x / (1 + x)$$

The stationary number of maintained contacts is:

$$C^* = R f$$

Maintenance therefore requires:

$$f \geq C / R$$

The required fraction of repair time depends on the size of the maintained form relative to the maintenance ratio. It does not contain an independent effort term. The distinction is between how much repair time is structurally required and whether the system's actual operating regime permits that repair time to occur.

4.5 Finite depth

At each closure, q constituent forms are gathered and connected with ζq new contacts. The recurrence is:

$$C(k+1) = q\, C(k) + \zeta q$$

With B = ζq/(q−1) and A = C(0)+B, the solution is:

$$C(k) = A\, q^k - B$$

Form therefore grows geometrically with depth, while the maintenance bound does not move in the same way. The maximum depth is:

$$m = \text{floor}[\ \log((Rf + B)/A) / \log q\ ]$$

Depth therefore depends on maintenance capacity logarithmically. A very large increase in repair capacity does not buy a proportionate increase in levels. This provides a structural explanation for short hierarchical towers.

5. Principal Theoretical Consequences

5.1 Persistence is maintenance, not storage

The theory predicts that the persistence of a pattern does not require a repository containing the complete pattern. It requires sufficient repeated activity to restore the pattern faster than it decays. This provides a common interpretation of phenomena as diverse as oral tradition, cellular homeostasis, organisational routine and digital preservation.

5.2 Complexity has a maintenance ceiling

A pattern cannot grow indefinitely while its maintenance ratio remains fixed. The theory therefore turns a qualitative intuition about complexity into a quantitative constraint: larger forms require greater repair capacity.

5.3 Depth produces timescale

Each closure reduces effective openness and lengthens retention. Deeper patterns therefore change more slowly. This gives a structural account of why interventions or shocks at one timescale do not automatically alter patterns at another.

5.4 Failure proceeds from the deepest maintained layer

When repair capacity falls, the largest pattern is the first to exceed the maintenance bound. Since larger patterns occur at greater depth, the theory predicts an ordering of failure from deeper, more integrated levels toward shallower levels.

5.5 Rest is structural

If repair can occur only during low-flow phases, a system requires a minimum opportunity for such phases. The theory therefore distinguishes total workload from the temporal distribution of workload. Continuous operation can be damaging even when total effort is modest if it suppresses the phases in which repair occurs.

6. Cross-Disciplinary Demonstrations

The complete work applies the same six-step method across sixty-five disciplines. The purpose of this section is not to reproduce all sixty-five cases, but to show the range of domains in which the same object is already visible in established measurements.

Domain	Existing phenomenon	Knot interpretation	New consequence
Molecular genetics	Error threshold	Genome as maintained form	Maintainable form bounded by repair/ corruption
Cell biology	Proteostasis	Cell as continuously re-laid pattern	Disease as maintenance failure
Neuroscience	Representational drift	Memory as class re-laid across changing substrate	Drift is maintenance, not necessarily degradation
Medicine	Recovery and deconditioning rates	Health as repair/decay balance	A measurable maintenance ratio
Epidemiology	Reproduction thresholds	Transmission pattern as re-laying	Persistence and elimination as threshold crossing
Evolution	Major transitions	Closure creates higher-depth units	Finite depth from geometric growth
Information theory	Error correction	Retention by continuous correction	General maintenance-bound interpretation

Distributed systems	Self-stabilisation	State maintained by message passing	Depth-linked failure ordering
Education	Spacing and forgetting	Knowledge maintained by re-laying	Revision schedule matched to retention depth
Infrastructure	Renewal and deterioration	Asset as maintained pattern	Failure threshold rather than age alone
Organisations	Routines and reliability	Organisation as performed pattern	Repair capacity as organisational
Heritage	Ise rebuilding cycle	Object is the re-laid form	Material replacement does not destroy identity

The strongest demonstrations are those in which the field already measures both sides of the process. Human skill currency, for example, has measured decay with non-use and re-laying through practice. Medicine and rehabilitation similarly contain extensive deterioration and recovery data. The proposed research move is therefore not to invent a new measurement system but to divide rates that already exist.

7. What Is New

The claim of novelty should be stated narrowly. The theory does not claim priority for maintenance, repair, self-stabilisation, proteostasis, relational reproduction, or error correction. Those phenomena were identified and theorised independently in their respective fields.

The proposed contribution is the identification of these as one object with two structural coordinates and a common maintenance constraint. In compact form:

- The same persistence mechanism appears across material, biological, cognitive, social and technical systems.
- The identity-bearing structure can be represented as form.
- Nested closure can be represented as depth.
- Repair and decay can be reduced to a dimensionless maintenance ratio R.
- The same ratio constrains the maximum maintainable form.
- Geometric growth of form with depth implies finite hierarchical depth.
- Declining repair capacity predicts ordered loss from deeper to shallower levels.

This distinction matters because the scientific value of the proposal lies in generalisation and quantification, not in relabelling established phenomena.

8. Empirical Research Programme

The most immediate empirical programme is deliberately modest. In many fields, decay and repair are already measured independently. The proposed intervention is to construct their ratio for the same maintained pattern.

A first generation of studies could therefore select domains in which both rates are available and ask whether the ratio predicts the largest form that remains stable, the minimum maintenance schedule, or the point at which a pattern changes class.

Candidate programmes include:

- Human capability: skill-decay curves versus re-training curves.
- Rehabilitation: deconditioning versus recovery for specific physiological capacities.
- Education: forgetting versus successful re-learning at different retention intervals.
- Organisations: capability decay versus the frequency and effectiveness of maintenance routines.
- Infrastructure: deterioration versus renewal rates at component and system levels.
- Digital preservation: bit/error rates versus audit and restoration capacity.
- Social networks: tie decay versus renewed-contact rates.
- Cultural transmission: repertoire size versus transmission fidelity and population size.

A particularly strong design would compare systems with similar total activity but different temporal distributions of repair opportunities. The theory predicts that suppressing low-flow repair phases can reduce maintenance even when total effort is unchanged.

9. Testable Predictions

The framework becomes scientifically consequential where it makes predictions that distinguish it from a purely descriptive maintenance vocabulary.

Prediction	Claim	Empirical implication
P1	Maintenance threshold	For a fixed pattern, persistence should fail when repair/decay falls below the pattern's required size.
P2	Finite depth	Hierarchical depth should increase approximately logarithmically with maintenance capacity, not linearly.
P3	Failure ordering	When repair capacity declines, the deepest and most integrated maintained pattern should fail before shallower layers.
P4	Repair opportunity	At equal total workload, systems with sufficient low-flow repair phases should retain more pattern than systems operated continuously.
P5	Depth-specific intervention	An intervention at a fast layer should not restore a slower layer faster than the slower layer's own turnover permits.

P6	Identity through substrate change	Stable identity should track re-laying into the same class even when the material carriers change substantially.
P7	Integer tempo ratios	Where nested closure produces comparable conductances, adjacent retention-time ratios should correspond to ratios generated by whole-number contact counts.

10. Relation to Existing Theory

The proposed framework is best understood as a unifying layer rather than a replacement for the theories it connects. Several established programmes already contain major components of the object.

Eigen's error threshold supplies the clearest independent example of a bound on maintainable information under corruption and correction. Shannon's information theory supplies a general mathematical treatment of reliable retention over noisy channels. Distributed computing supplies an engineered account of self-stabilisation, heartbeats, leases, redundancy and restoration. Proteostasis treats maintenance as constitutive of cellular integrity. Conversation analysis explicitly names repair. Anthropology and sociology provide theories of reproduction through practice. Chord-diagram combinatorics supplies the crossing/nesting representation.

The Knot framework proposes that these are not isolated analogies. They share a common structure: a pattern must be repeatedly instantiated, errors or absences accumulate, repair restores the pattern, and persistence ends when the repair process can no longer cover the required form.

11. Scope and Status of the Theory

The sixty-five disciplinary chapters do not all have the same evidential status. Some are close readings of well-established empirical findings; some transfer established mathematical structures into a common language; others introduce predictions that require new measurement. The theory should therefore be evaluated as a research programme rather than as sixty-five already completed empirical proofs.

The strongest quantitative support currently identified in the source work comes from fields in which the maintenance-bound structure is already formalised, especially molecular error thresholds and information theory. The other chapters establish the breadth of the proposed object and generate hypotheses for empirical testing.

This distinction strengthens rather than weakens the programme. A general theory does not require every application to be independently proved before the common mechanism can be proposed. What it requires is that the mechanism produce measurements and predictions that can succeed or fail independently of the language used to describe it.

12. Conclusion

The central proposal of Paths to the Knot is simple: a persistent thing is not necessarily a persistent substance. It may be a pattern that continually re-lays itself. Once that operation is made explicit, a large number of apparently unrelated scientific objects can be described in the same terms.

The theory gives this common object two structural quantities. Form specifies identity-bearing connection structure. Depth specifies nested closure. It then introduces a single maintenance ratio, repair relative to decay, and derives a bound on maintainable form, a requirement for repair time, and a finite limit to nested complexity.

The broader implication is methodological. Many sciences already possess the measurements needed to test the theory, but those measurements are separated by disciplinary vocabulary. Decay is measured in one literature; recovery in another. Turnover is measured; restoration is measured. Renewal is measured; deterioration is measured. The proposed next step is simply to put the two rates on the same denominator.

If the resulting maintenance ratio predicts persistence, failure, depth or intervention requirements across independent domains, Knot Theory would move from an interdisciplinary synthesis to a general quantitative theory of persistence and nested complexity.

References

Balch, W. E., Morimoto, R. I., Dillin, A., & Kelly, J. W. (2008). Adapting proteostasis for disease intervention. Science, 319(5865), 916–919.

Chen, W. Y. C., Deng, E. Y. P., Du, R. R. X., Stanley, R. P., & Yan, C. H. (2007). Crossings and nestings of matchings and partitions. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 359(4), 1555–1575.

Dijkstra, E. W. (1974). Self-stabilizing systems in spite of distributed control. Communications of the ACM, 17(11), 643–644.

Eigen, M. (1971). Selforganization of matter and the evolution of biological macromolecules. Naturwissenschaften, 58(10), 465–523.

Fisher, B., & Tronto, J. (1990). Toward a feminist theory of caring. In Circles of Care. SUNY Press.

Giddens, A. (1984). The Constitution of Society. Polity.

Ingold, T. (2015). The Life of Lines. Routledge.

Lord, A. B. (1960). The Singer of Tales. Harvard University Press.

Schegloff, E., Jefferson, G., & Sacks, H. (1977). The preference for self-correction in the organization of repair in conversation. Language, 53(2), 361–382.

Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. Bell System Technical Journal, 27, 379–423; 623–656.

Taylor, V. (1989). Social Movement Continuity: The Women's Movement in Abeyance. American Sociological Review, 54(5), 761–775.

Konstapel, J. (2026). Paths to the Knot: Complete Edition. MAZE-project, Leiden.

Source Note

This paper is a condensed theoretical paper derived from J. Konstapel's Paths to the Knot: Complete Edition (17 August 2026). Terminology, equations, chapter-level claims and the stated research programme have been kept close to the source. The paper intentionally distinguishes the theory's established antecedents from its proposed generalisation and from predictions requiring future empirical testing.