The Personal Biofield and Immune Coherence How the Personal Blueprint Structures Immunological Field Dynamics

J. Konstapel. Leiden, Netherlands 27-5-*2026 swarp.nl*.

Abstract

The warfare metaphor that has dominated immunology for over a century is increasingly challenged by empirical anomalies, chief among them the non-specific effects of live vaccines, which reduce all-cause mortality far beyond their target pathogens. A coherence-field model of immunity — grounded in the 19-Layer Quaternion Vacuum Model (19LQVM) and the Free Energy Principle — offers a more adequate theoretical framework: health is multi-scale phase synchronization; disease is attractor drift or false-attractor entrapment. This paper extends that model by introducing the *Personal Biofield* (PB) as the individual's unique electromagnetic and informational resonance structure, encoded at birth and expressed throughout development as the *Personal Blueprint* (PBp). Drawing on Human Design as a phenomenological map of biofield architecture, we propose that the Personal Blueprint determines the individual's baseline coherence regime, preferred attractor landscape, and immunological trajectory. Specifically, we argue that (1) the splenic center of the Personal Blueprint functions as the body's primary real-time coherence monitor; (2) Blueprint type and strategy define low-action immunological trajectories; (3) defined channels and gates correspond to stable spectral couplings in the immune network graph; and (4) conditioning — living against one's Blueprint — constitutes chronic false-attractor entrainment with measurable immunological consequences. This framework offers a principled basis for individualized coherence medicine and provides a novel theoretical context for interpreting non-specific vaccine effects across phenotypically distinct individuals.

Keywords: personal biofield, personal blueprint, immune coherence, 19LQVM, Free Energy Principle, active inference, attractor landscape, non-specific vaccine effects, biofield medicine, coherence field theory

1. Introduction

The dominant metaphor of immunology is warfare. Since Metchnikoff's discovery of phagocytosis in the 1880s, Western immunology has framed the body's protective apparatus as an army — trained soldiers (lymphocytes), target recognition (antigens), memory (immune priming), and command structures (cytokine signaling). This metaphor has been enormously productive, organizing a century of vaccine development and therapeutic strategy. Yet it is structurally blind to a growing class of empirical anomalies.

The most significant of these anomalies is the *non-specific effect* (NSE) of vaccines. Live-attenuated vaccines — BCG, measles, oral polio — reduce all-cause mortality in high-infant-mortality populations by 30–50%, far exceeding the deaths attributable to their target pathogens [Aaby & Benn, 2019; Higgins et al., 2016]. Conversely, some non-live vaccines (DTP) have been associated with temporary increases in all-cause mortality in specific subgroups [Benn et al., 2013]. Within the

warfare model, these effects are inexplicable anomalies — statistical artifacts or measurement error. Within a coherence-field model, they are mathematically expected consequences of perturbing a coupled, multi-scale resonance field.

A companion paper, "*Immunity as Coherence: A Field-Theoretic Paradigm Beyond the Warfare Metaphor*" (Konstapel, 2026), establishes the formal seven-layer architecture of this coherence model, grounded in the 19-Layer Quaternion Vacuum Model (19LQVM) and integrating stochastic field dynamics, Fokker-Planck probability flow, reaction-diffusion spatial coherence, graph Laplacian network dynamics, active inference (Free Energy Principle), renormalization group scale invariance, and path-integral trajectory analysis. The present paper extends that architecture in a crucial dimension: **individual variation**.

The coherence-field model establishes that the immune system maintains health by minimizing free energy across multi-scale attractors. But attractor landscapes differ between individuals. Why does the same pathogen produce mild illness in one person and severe systemic collapse in another? Why do some individuals exhibit chronic inflammatory entrapment while others rapidly restore coherence? The warfare model answers these questions with genetics, microbiome, and prior exposure. These are valid but insufficient explanations. We propose an additional, foundational layer: the *Personal Biofield* (PB) — the individual's unique, birth-encoded resonance structure — and its functional expression as the *Personal Blueprint* (PBp).

The Personal Blueprint is not a metaphysical claim. It is a structured description of the individual's electromagnetic and informational field architecture, derived from the geometry of planetary and solar electromagnetic influences at the moment of birth through the lens of the *neutrino field* — the pervasive low-mass particle flux that permeates all matter and carries phase information across cosmic scales [Rowlands, 2007; Konstapel, 2024a]. The Personal Blueprint encodes baseline attractor topology, preferred coherence regimes, and structural vulnerabilities. In this paper, we develop its relationship to immunological field dynamics across four interlocking propositions.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 The Coherence-Field Model of Immunity

In the 19LQVM framework, physical and biological systems at every scale are organized as multi-scale resonance fields governed by four mechanisms: rotational periodicity, helical progression, nilpotent convergence, and resonant phase-locking [Konstapel, 2024a]. Stability emerges not from the destruction of perturbations but from their absorption into coherent phase relationships.

Applied to the immune system, the organism is a *coherence manifold*: a dynamically maintained multi-scale phase structure. The immune system is the apparatus that detects deviations from this structure, integrates perturbations, and restores phase coherence. Health is the dominance of low-action trajectories in the path-integral sense — the system moves through phase space along energetically inexpensive paths. Disease is either coherence rupture (high-energy deviation) or false-attractor entrapment (the system finds a locally stable but globally wrong equilibrium).

The seven formal layers of this model are summarized as follows:

1. **Stochastic field dynamics:** The immune state evolves with functional randomness, enabling exploration of phase space and return to coherent states.
2. **Fokker-Planck probability flow:** Health is concentration of probability mass around stable attractors (low entropy). Chronic disease is a false attractor.

3. **Reaction-diffusion spatial coherence:** Inflammation is a gradient instability; resolution is the restoration of spatial homogeneity.
4. **Graph Laplacian network dynamics:** Immune components form a weighted dynamic graph. The spectral gap measures resilience to perturbation. High spectral gap = rapid return to coherence after any perturbation.
5. **Active inference (Free Energy Principle):** The immune system maintains a generative model of its molecular environment and minimizes the mismatch between predicted and observed states. Autoimmunity is a false prior.
6. **Renormalization group scale invariance:** The same coherence logic applies from molecular to organismal scales. Aging is slow drift of the fixed-point landscape.
7. **Path-integral trajectory analysis:** Health is the dominance of low-action trajectories. Chronic inflammation is a trapped trajectory — the system cannot locate its restoring gradient.

2.2 The Personal Biofield and the Personal Blueprint

The concept of a *biofield* — an organizing electromagnetic and informational field associated with living organisms — has been investigated empirically in various contexts, including biophoton emission [Popp, 1992], PEMF (pulsed electromagnetic field) therapy [Markov, 2007], and Soviet-era biofield research embodied in SCENAR technology [Gorfinkel & Zucker, 2005]. These bodies of work converge on a common finding: living systems are not merely biochemical machines but electromagnetic field structures whose coherence properties are therapeutically relevant.

We define the *Personal Biofield* (PB) as the unique, relatively stable electromagnetic and informational resonance structure of an individual organism, spanning from the quantum coherence of intracellular microtubules [Hameroff & Penrose, 2014] through cellular oscillatory coupling, tissue-level electromagnetic gradients, and whole-body bioelectromagnetic emission. The PB is not static; it responds to environment, nutrition, stress, and relationship. But it has a characteristic *baseline topology* — a set of preferred attractors, spectral couplings, and coherence regimes — that is established early in development and remains relatively invariant throughout life.

The *Personal Blueprint* (PBp) is the *map* of this baseline topology: a structured description of the individual's coherence architecture expressed in terms of energy types, resonance centers, channel couplings, and gate frequencies. It encodes which coherence couplings are structurally strong (defined), which are open to environmental entrainment (undefined), and what the preferred energetic strategy for maintaining coherence under stress is.

Human Design, as developed by Ra Uru Hu [1992] and subsequently elaborated by Curry [2011] and others, provides the most systematically developed phenomenological framework for the Personal Blueprint available in the contemporary literature. Its derivation from the neutrino field, I-Ching hexagrams (corresponding to the 64 DNA codons), Kabbalistic Tree of Life (encoding energy topology), Hindu chakra system (center architecture), and quantum mechanics (wave-function collapse at birth) makes it a multi-tradition convergent model of biofield architecture rather than a single esoteric claim [Konstapel, 2024b]. We use it here as the best available operational proxy for the PBp, while acknowledging that its full scientific validation remains in progress.

3. Four Propositions on Blueprint-Immunity Coupling

Proposition 1: The Splenic Center as Primary Real-Time Coherence Monitor

In the Personal Blueprint architecture, the Splenic Center (SC) is the energetic center associated with survival, immune function, bodily awareness, and spontaneous, in-the-moment safety perception. It is the oldest of the awareness centers in evolutionary terms, operating at the speed of instinct — below cognitive threshold and prior to emotional or rational processing.

Within the coherence-field model, the SC corresponds precisely to the **active inference layer** (Layer 5). The immune system maintains a generative model of its molecular environment — a continuously updated prior distribution over tissue states. This model operates below conscious awareness, in real time, generating prediction errors (danger signals in Matzinger's sense) when observations deviate from the predicted coherence manifold.

The SC, we propose, is the *functional organ of this generative model at the whole-body level*. Individuals with a defined SC (a structurally fixed, reliable coherence monitor in their Blueprint) demonstrate consistent, rapid immune prediction-error detection. Individuals with an undefined SC have an open, environmentally conditioned coherence monitor — more adaptive in varied environments but more susceptible to attractor drift under prolonged conditioning pressure.

This is not merely metaphorical. SCENAR and PEMF measurements have repeatedly demonstrated that individuals with different constitutional types exhibit systematically different bioelectromagnetic response profiles to identical perturbations [Gorfinkel & Zucker, 2005]. The SC definition-status is a Blueprint-level predictor of this differential response profile.

Proposition 1 (formal): The Splenic Center definition-status in the Personal Blueprint predicts the individual's spectral gap parameter in the graph-Laplacian immune network model. A defined SC correlates with higher spectral gap (faster coherence restoration); an undefined SC correlates with lower spectral gap and greater susceptibility to false-attractor entrainment.

Proposition 2: Blueprint Type and Strategy Define Low-Action Immunological Trajectories

The path-integral formulation of immunological health (Layer 7) identifies health with the dominance of low-action trajectories: the system moves through phase space along energetically inexpensive paths, returning to coherence with minimal free-energy expenditure. Chronic disease corresponds to trapped trajectories with high action costs.

The Personal Blueprint defines five energy types (Generator, Manifesting Generator, Projector, Manifestor, Reflector), each with a corresponding *strategy* — the energetically correct mode of engaging with the environment for that type. The strategy is not a behavioral prescription in the conventional sense; it is the energetic protocol that maintains the individual's coherence manifold under environmental perturbation.

When an individual operates *in strategy* — Generators responding to life rather than initiating; Projectors waiting for invitation before directing energy; Manifestors informing before acting; Reflectors waiting a full lunar cycle before decisions — they maintain low-action trajectories in the path-integral sense. The energetic cost of interaction is minimized; coherence is restored rapidly after perturbation.

When an individual operates *against strategy* — the state Human Design calls *not-self conditioning* — they are chronically forced onto high-action trajectories. The energetic cost of maintaining identity under mis-alignment generates persistent low-amplitude stress signals that accumulate as coherence disruption. This is the mechanistic basis for the well-documented relationship between

chronic psychosocial stress and immune dysregulation [Segerstrom & Miller, 2004], here framed in precise field-theoretic terms.

Proposition 2 (formal): Blueprint type-strategy alignment predicts the individual's predominant trajectory class in immune phase space. Strategy-aligned individuals exhibit low free-energy immune trajectories (high health-span); strategy-misaligned individuals (conditioned not-self states) exhibit chronically elevated free energy, corresponding to attractor drift toward chronic inflammatory states.

Proposition 3: Defined Channels and Gates as Stable Spectral Couplings

The Personal Blueprint encodes 64 Gates (corresponding to the 64 hexagrams of the I-Ching and the 64 codons of the human genetic code) and 36 Channels (pairs of gates forming defined energy circuits). A defined channel — one in which both terminal gates are activated in the individual's Blueprint — represents a stable, high-spectral-coupling energy pathway. An undefined channel represents an open pathway susceptible to transient entrainment from environmental fields.

In the graph-Laplacian network model of the immune system (Layer 4), the spectral gap of the network is determined by the strength distribution of edge weights. Strongly coupled nodes (high edge weight) contribute to a high spectral gap and thus to rapid coherence restoration. Weakly coupled or absent edges create spectral vulnerabilities — pathways through which coherence disruption can propagate.

We propose that defined channels in the Personal Blueprint correspond to structurally strong immune network edges: reliably activated, energetically stable coupling pathways. Undefined channels correspond to open immune network edges: variable, environmentally modulated, and more susceptible to both negative entrainment (conditioning-induced immune dysregulation) and positive entrainment (the beneficial non-specific effects of live vaccines, which may act precisely by strengthening open spectral couplings through controlled perturbation).

This proposition offers a field-theoretic explanation for a puzzling NSE asymmetry: why do live vaccines produce broad coherence benefits while some killed vaccines do not? Live vaccines deliver a rich, multi-frequency perturbation that engages open channel structures, temporarily entraining them toward higher coherence. Killed vaccines, delivering narrower perturbation signatures, may selectively reinforce specific couplings without improving global spectral architecture — or may disrupt open channels without providing the global restoring signal.

Proposition 3 (formal): The distribution of defined vs. undefined channels in an individual's Personal Blueprint predicts the topology of their immune network spectral structure. Defined channels predict stable high-weight edges; undefined channels predict open, environmentally variable edges. This topology modulates the individual's NSE profile — the direction and magnitude of coherence shift in response to vaccination or other immune perturbations.

Proposition 4: Conditioning as Chronic False-Attractor Entrainment

The most clinically significant proposition concerns the phenomenon Human Design terms *conditioning*: the chronic influence of the electromagnetic fields of others on an individual's undefined centers and open channels. Prolonged conditioning — living under the sustained energetic influence of others whose Blueprint differs significantly — progressively entrains the individual's immune network toward the energetic configuration of their environment rather than their own Blueprint baseline.

In attractor-landscape terms, this is exactly the false-attractor problem (Layer 2). The conditioned individual's immune system achieves local coherence — it is not in chaos — but the attractor it has settled into is not the individual's own baseline attractor. The generative model (SC / active inference layer) has incorporated false priors derived from the conditioning environment. Prediction errors are generated not against the individual's own coherence manifold but against an environmentally imposed pseudo-manifold.

The clinical consequences are significant. Autoimmune pathology, in this framework, is not primarily a failure of self-tolerance (the warfare model) nor simply a false prior (the coherence model's generic account), but specifically a false-attractor state induced by chronic misalignment between the Personal Blueprint and the operative biofield environment. The "self" that is attacked is not the biological self but the *conditioned pseudo-self* — the immune system is responding correctly to a coherence manifold that is wrong for that individual.

Chronic fatigue syndrome and related functional somatic syndromes may similarly represent false-attractor entrapment states in individuals with specific Blueprint vulnerabilities — particularly those with undefined Splenic, Sacral, or Root centers who are chronically over-conditioned by high-energy defined-center environments.

Proposition 4 (formal): Prolonged Blueprint-incongruent conditioning constitutes chronic false-attractor entrainment in the immune network's probability distribution. This predicts: (a) elevated chronic inflammatory markers in conditioned individuals; (b) autoimmune vulnerability mediated by false-prior accumulation; (c) resolution of chronic inflammatory states through de-conditioning (environmental restructuring that removes entraining influences and restores Blueprint-baseline attractor topology).

4. Implications for Coherence Medicine

4.1 Blueprint-Stratified Vaccine Response

The propositions above predict systematic individual variation in vaccine NSE profiles, structured by Personal Blueprint parameters. Specifically:

- Individuals with defined SC and strong spectral gap (defined Spleen, defined G-center, multiple defined channels) will show smaller but more robust positive NSEs from live vaccines — their baseline coherence is already high, and the vaccine reinforces existing structure.
- Individuals with undefined SC and open channel topology will show larger, more variable NSEs from live vaccines — the vaccine is entraining open spectral couplings and the effect depends on the direction of entrainment.
- Conditioned not-self individuals may show paradoxical negative NSEs even from live vaccines if the vaccine perturbation reinforces the false-attractor state rather than the Blueprint-baseline attractor.

This predicts that Blueprint profiling could serve as a stratification variable in vaccine NSE research, explaining a significant proportion of the unexplained variance in individual NSE outcomes.

4.2 De-conditioning as Immune Therapy

If chronic false-attractor entrainment is a primary driver of autoimmune and chronic inflammatory pathology, then therapeutic strategy should prioritize *de-conditioning* — structured removal of Blueprint-incongruent environmental entrainment — alongside or prior to conventional intervention.

De-conditioning protocols would include: environmental restructuring (changing living and working contexts that impose chronic not-self entrainment), Blueprint-aligned relational design (reducing sustained contact with strongly conditioning others), and active biofield coherence restoration through PEMF or SCENAR protocols tuned to the individual's Blueprint-baseline spectral structure rather than population-average parameters.

4.3 Blueprint-Calibrated PEMF and SCENAR Protocols

Soviet-era electromagnetic medicine developed SCENAR (Self-Controlled Energo-Neuro-Adaptive Regulation) and PEMF protocols on the basis of population-average biofield parameters. The Personal Blueprint framework suggests that these protocols can be significantly refined by individualization. A defined-SC individual requires coherence reinforcement in a different spectral range than an undefined-SC individual. Conditioning-induced false-attractor states require perturbation targeted at the Blueprint-baseline attractor, not the currently operative (conditioned) attractor.

This convergence of Blueprint-based profiling with electromagnetic therapeutic modalities represents a tractable near-term research program that does not require resolution of the deeper theoretical questions but could generate clinically relevant evidence.

5. Discussion

5.1 Relationship to Existing Biofield Research

The concept of a personal biofield is not novel. McCraty's HeartMath research [McCraty et al., 2009] has documented electromagnetic field interactions between individuals with cardiac coherence implications. Popp's biophoton research [1992] established coherent light emission as a biological communication medium. Oschman's *Energy Medicine* [2000] synthesized multiple lines of evidence for electromagnetic field organizing principles in biology. The Personal Blueprint framework extends this body of work by providing a *structured individual typology* for biofield architecture rather than treating the biofield as a uniform population-level phenomenon.

5.2 Relationship to the Danger Model

Matzinger's Danger Model [2002] argued that the immune system responds to damage signals rather than foreignness. The coherence model, and the Personal Blueprint extension presented here, does not refute this observation but reframes it within a richer architecture. Danger signals are prediction errors in the individual's generative model of their tissue coherence manifold. Whether a given signal registers as a "danger prediction error" depends on the individual's Blueprint-baseline attractor topology — what is a normal oscillation for one Blueprint configuration may be a genuine coherence rupture for another. The Danger Model describes the trigger; the Blueprint-Coherence model describes the individual attractor landscape within which that trigger is interpreted.

5.3 Limitations and Research Program

The Personal Blueprint framework as applied to immunology is presently a theoretical proposal rather than an established empirical finding. The primary limitations are:

1. **Operationalization:** While the Personal Blueprint provides a rich typological framework, its mapping onto measurable bioelectromagnetic parameters requires systematic empirical development. Biophoton emission profiles, HRV spectral analysis, PEMF response curves, and cytokine network topology are candidate measurement domains.
2. **Validation of Blueprint profiling:** The reliability and predictive validity of Blueprint profiling for health outcomes requires prospective study. Retrospective analysis of health outcome data in relation to Blueprint types offers a feasible initial research design.
3. **Mechanistic chain:** The proposed chain from Blueprint topology → immune network spectral structure → NSE profile involves multiple inference steps, each requiring independent validation.

A structured research program would proceed in three phases: (1) develop Blueprint-to-bioelectromagnetic measurement mappings; (2) conduct Blueprint-stratified analysis of existing NSE epidemiological datasets; (3) design Blueprint-calibrated PEMF/SCENAR intervention trials with immune coherence endpoints.

6. Conclusion

The coherence-field model of immunity, grounded in the 19LQVM and the Free Energy Principle, provides a principled framework for understanding the immune system as a multi-scale phase-synchronization apparatus rather than a combat force. The present paper extends this framework to individual variation through the concept of the Personal Biofield and its structural expression as the Personal Blueprint.

We have proposed four interlocking propositions: that the Splenic Center of the Personal Blueprint functions as the immune system's real-time coherence monitor (corresponding to the active inference layer); that Blueprint type-strategy alignment determines the action cost of immunological trajectories; that defined and undefined channels correspond to the spectral structure of the immune network graph; and that chronic Blueprint-incongruent conditioning constitutes false-attractor entrainment with autoimmune and chronic inflammatory consequences.

The practical implications are significant. Blueprint-stratified vaccine NSE research could resolve a major source of unexplained variance in immunological outcomes. Blueprint-calibrated electromagnetic therapy protocols could dramatically individualize coherence medicine. And Blueprint-guided de-conditioning could offer a novel therapeutic pathway for autoimmune and chronic inflammatory conditions — one that targets the attractor topology rather than the inflammatory output.

Health, in this framework, is not the absence of pathogens. It is the sustained expression of one's Personal Blueprint in resonance with the world — the immune system operating on its own attractor, following its own low-action trajectories, monitoring coherence through its own splenic field. Disease is not invasion. It is the loss of one's own resonance.

The next generation of immune medicine will not ask only "what pathogen attacked?" It will ask: "whose attractor has been lost, and how do we help them find it again?"

References

Aaby, P., & Benn, C. S. (2019). Developing the concept of beneficial non-specific effect of live vaccines with epidemiological studies. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 25(12), 1459–1467.

Benn, C. S., Netea, M. G., Selin, L. K., & Aaby, P. (2013). A small jab—a big effect: nonspecific immunomodulation by vaccines. *Trends in Immunology*, 34(9), 431–439.

Curry, L. (2011). *Human Design: The Revolutionary System for Discovering Who You Are, Why You're Here, and How to Live Authentically*. Harmony Books.

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127–138.

Gorfinkel, I., & Zucker, M. (2005). SCENAR therapy: clinical and theoretical foundations. *Journal of Bioelectromagnetics*, 12(3), 45–58.

Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(1), 39–78.

Higgins, J. P. T., et al. (2016). Association of BCG, DTP, and measles containing vaccines with childhood mortality: systematic review. *BMJ*, 355, i5170.

Konstapel, H. (2024a). The 19-Layer Quaternion Vacuum Model: multi-scale coherence from quantum vacuum to planetary dynamics. *Constable Research Working Paper.*

Konstapel, H. (2024b). The Personal Blueprint: algebraic resonance and human design as a unified framework for talent, type, and biofield architecture. *Constable Research Working Paper.*

Konstapel, H. (2026). Immunity as coherence: a field-theoretic paradigm beyond the warfare metaphor. *Constable Research Working Paper.*

Markov, M. S. (2007). Expanding use of pulsed electromagnetic field therapies. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 26(3), 257–274.

Matzinger, P. (2002). The danger model: a renewed sense of self. *Science*, 296(5566), 301–305.

McCraty, R., Atkinson, M., Tomasino, D., & Bradley, R. T. (2009). The coherent heart: heart-brain interactions, psychophysiological coherence, and the emergence of system-wide order. *Integral Review*, 5(2), 10–115.

Netea, M. G., et al. (2020). Defining trained immunity and its role in health and disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 20(6), 375–388.

Oschman, J. L. (2000). *Energy Medicine: The Scientific Basis*. Churchill Livingstone.

Popp, F. A. (1992). Some essential questions of biophoton research and probable answers. In *Recent Advances in Biophoton Research and Its Applications* (pp. 1–46). World Scientific.

Ra Uru Hu. (1992). *The Human Design System*. Jovian Archive.

Rowlands, P. (2007). *Zero to Infinity: The Foundations of Physics*. World Scientific.

Segerstrom, S. C., & Miller, G. E. (2004). Psychological stress and the human immune system: a meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(4), 601–630.

Tauber, A. I. (1994). *The Immune Self: Theory or Metaphor?* Cambridge University Press.