

The Address and the Illness

The Personal Blueprint and the Origin of Disease in the Vacuum.Net

J. Konstapel, Constable Research, Leiden

© 2026 J. Konstapel, Constable Research, Leiden. All rights reserved. Quotation with attribution is permitted; reproduction of the whole requires written permission.

Version 1.0, 20 October 2026

Abstract

The same pathogen, the same toxin and the same strain make one person ill and leave another untouched. Medicine explains part of this difference with genes, the microbiome and prior exposure. A remainder is left unexplained.

This essay reads that remainder through the Vacuum.Net theory. The theory pictures the world as one strand, a fishnet under tension. Only what closes in phase persists; what does not close carries tension to the meshes beside it.

In this frame a person is a closure. The personal blueprint is the address of that closure at the moment it first held. Illness is read as the place where the body's reading layer fails. It reads either too weakly, so misfits pass, or too sharply, so healthy tissue is discharged.

The central proposition is simple. The blueprint fixes **where** tension lands. The state of the person fixes **when**. Illness needs both.

The blueprint is computed along three lines that the SWARP implementation has developed:

- the address in the net;
- the failure class;
- the electromagnetic profile at birth.

Each line is set out with its arithmetic, and one full chart is computed from raw birth data.

The essay then sets the frame beside what has been measured. The birth season changes the risk of dozens of conditions. For multiple sclerosis the effect mirrors between hemispheres and follows maternal light exposure. Traditional medicine tied birth season to constitution long before it was measured. Sun signs show no effect after correction for multiple testing.

The essay ends with five tests. In each, the prediction is fixed before the count.

1. The question

Two people sit in the same room with the same virus. One has a cold for three days. The other has pneumonia.

Two sisters share half their genes and most of their childhood. One develops lupus at thirty. The other never does.

A quarter of the world carries tuberculosis. Between 5 and 10 per cent of those carriers ever become ill.

The standing answers are genes, microbiome, nutrition, age and prior exposure. Each explains a share. None closes the account. Twins with identical genomes diverge in autoimmune disease. Identical exposures give opposite outcomes.

Traditional medicine never treated this as noise. Ayurveda assigns each person a *prakriti*, a constitution set at conception and birth. Chinese medicine reads the season and hour of birth into the balance of the five phases. Both systems have been in continuous use for more than two thousand years. Both hold that illness depends on who the person is, not only on what reaches them.

The personal blueprint takes up that claim in a formal way. This essay asks what the blueprint says about illness, where it says it, and how that can be counted.

2. The frame: one strand, one test

2.1 The net

The Vacuum.Net theory starts from one strand. The standing image is a fishnet under tension.

- Where the strand crosses itself, there is a crossing.
- Between crossings lie the meshes.
- A **winding** is a stretch of strand that returns on itself in step.
- A **knot** is windings that hold each other in three directions.

One rule decides what stays. A winding persists only if it returns on itself in phase. What does not close does not stay as a form; its tension passes to the meshes beside it.

2.2 The register

At a crossing the strand passes over, passes under, or does not cross. These states are written +1, -1 and 0. One such state is a **trit**.

Three crossings in a row form a **window**. A window is closed when its trits add to a multiple of three.

Of the $3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$ possible windows, 9 close:

- three are **uniform**: (+1, +1, +1), (0, 0, 0) and (-1, -1, -1);
- six are **mixed**: the six orders of (-1, 0, +1).

All 18 windows with two equal trits and one different stay open. Their sum is never a multiple of three.

The two closed kinds behave differently:

- A **uniform** closure holds and does not turn. It is the form of a crystal.
- A **mixed** closure keeps turning while it stays closed. Every crossing changes at every step, and every window stays closed. It is the form of living matter.

Where a uniform stretch meets a mixed stretch, the window on the seam stands open. It carries tension.

2.3 The test

When one form reads another, there are three outcomes:

- **+1**, it fits: the form stays;
- **-1**, it does not fit: the form is taken apart;
- **0**, it is not read: the form remains.

A living body carries a reading layer that runs this test at cell scale, continuously, from before birth to death. On an ordinary day it removes thousands of cells whose surface has drifted.

In some places the body must not discharge what it reads:

- the gut wall at every meal;
- the eye;
- the child in the womb, which is half foreign by signature.

There the body uses lawful zero-tokens that turn the local reading to 0. Clinicians call them checkpoints.

This gives one dial with two directions of failure:

- **Reading too weakly** lets misfits through. Read in the net, a tumour is the remainder of years in which every variant without the zero-token was discharged and every variant with it was not.
- **Reading too sharply** discharges healthy tissue. That is autoimmunity.

Ageing is read as lost phase-lock. Heart-rate variability falls, deep sleep flattens, hormone tides drift out of step. The misfit rate barely changes; the discharge rate falls.

These are readings, not mechanisms. In a one-strand model there are no two separate things between which to draw a causal arrow. The frame uses four relations instead of cause:

- selection by fit;
- identity over scale;
- constitutive relation;
- correspondence.

3. The blueprint as an address

3.1 Closure at birth

A newborn becomes an autonomous closure in minutes. At the first breath:

- the lungs open;
- pulmonary resistance falls;
- the foramen ovale and the ductus arteriosus close.

Circulation that ran through the mother now returns on itself.

The blueprint is the **address** of the person in the net at that moment. It has two parts:

- **The invariant** is discrete. It changes only by a jump, never by drift. It says **where** in the person's ring the windows stand open.
- **The state** is continuous: a point q on the three-sphere S^3 , together with the person's tension $\bar{\chi}$ and retention time τ . It moves daily. It says **when** tension builds.

From this split follows the proposition of this essay:

The blueprint fixes where tension lands. The state fixes when. Illness needs both.

A blueprint alone predicts no illness. A state alone does not say where an illness will show.

3.2 The state vector

The state is a unit quaternion:

$q = (w_B, w_R, w_G, w_Y)$, with $w_B^2 + w_R^2 + w_G^2 + w_Y^2 = 1$.

The four axes follow the four realities of Paths of Change: Blue (unitary), Red (sensory), Green (social) and Yellow (mythic). The dominant axis sets the **failure class**.

The state is updated after each observed outcome $r(t)$:

$q(t+1) = \text{norm}(q(t) + \eta \cdot (r(t) - q(t)))$, with $\eta = 0.15$.

The invariant is excluded from this update.

3.3 Line one: the address in the net

The first line reads the birth moment as a position of the largest nearby sub-knots: the Sun, the Moon and the planets on the ecliptic ring.

The standard computation is the Human Design chart. It uses:

- the positions at birth;
- the positions at the moment when the Sun stood 88° of arc earlier.

The ecliptic is divided into 64 gates of 5.625° each, with gate 41 starting at 302° . Each gate has six lines of 0.9375° . Pairs of activated gates form **channels**. Channels define **centres**.

The chart is converted to the state vector with fixed weights:

Chart field	Weight
Type	0.30
Profile	0.20
Defined centres	0.25
Active channels	0.15
Incarnation cross	0.10

Channel circuits map to axes:

- Individual $\rightarrow$ Yellow;

- Collective → Blue;
- Tribal → Green;
- Integration → Red.

3.4 Line two: the failure class

The second line reads where a person's learning cycle breaks. Each dominant axis has its own break:

- **Blue.** Keeps a script too long. A deviation is not acknowledged.
- **Red.** Does not register that a script has broken. The signal arrives late, often as a bodily crisis.
- **Green.** Cannot retrieve relevant experience, mostly in relations.
- **Yellow.** Does not revise the underlying model. Vision is followed by structural collapse.

In the SWARP implementation the failure class sets the **blockage**. The blockage is the state the person reaches with the greatest difficulty, among four tension states:

- loading;
- holding;
- discharge;
- rest.

3.5 Line three: the electromagnetic profile

The third line reads the physical field at birth, computed from the same date, time and place:

1. **The solar-cycle phase:** sunspot number and position in the 11-year cycle. The record goes back to 1749.
2. **The geomagnetic load:** the Ap or aa index on the birth day, and integrated over gestation. The aa record goes back to 1868, Ap to 1932.
3. **The local field** at the birthplace from the International Geomagnetic Reference Field: strength, declination and inclination.

This line ties the address to quantities that instruments record without interpretation.

3.6 A worked example

The example from *The Narrative Signature Engine* is a birth on 22 April 1951, 01:02, Leiden.

Time. The Netherlands kept Central European Time without summer time in 1951. The birth moment is therefore 00:02 UT. The design moment, with the Sun 88° earlier, falls on 23 January 1951, 21:13 UT, which is 88.12 days before birth.

Ephemeris. Positions are computed with the Swiss Ephemeris and the true lunar node.

Body	Birth	Design
Sun	3.6	41.2
Earth	50.6	31.2
Moon	28.1	33.4
North node	22.2	22.3

South node	47.2	47.3
Mercury	27.5	58.6
Venus	16.3	49.2
Mars	24.2	55.1
Jupiter	25.2	37.4
Saturn	6.5	46.5
Uranus	52.3	52.3
Neptune	57.3	57.5
Pluto	7.5	4.1

Entries are gate.line.

Result.

- Two channels are defined: 7–31 (G centre to Throat) and 27–50 (Sacral to Spleen).
- Defined centres: G, Throat, Sacral and Spleen.
- Open centres: Head, Ajna, Heart, Solar Plexus and Root.
- The Sacral centre is defined, and no motor connects to the Throat. The type is therefore **Generator**, with Sacral authority.
- The profile is **6/2**.

Stability.

- The channels and centres are the same at every half hour of the birth day. They do not depend on the birth time.
- The profile does. The Sun crosses from line 5 to line 6 of gate 3 at 00:57 local time. A birth five minutes earlier gives profile 5/2.

The state vector. The published vector for this example is (0.22, 0.07, 0.37, 0.82).

- Its sum of squares is $0.0484 + 0.0049 + 0.1369 + 0.6724 = 0.8626$.
- Normalised, it becomes (0.237, 0.075, 0.398, 0.883).
- The Yellow axis dominates, so the failure class is $\odot$.

The channels themselves are Collective (7–31, Blue) and Tribal (27–50, Green). The Yellow weight therefore comes from the type, profile and cross terms.

The example shows the order of the computation. Every step is arithmetic on public data. Two implementations given the same input return the same chart.

4. Where the blueprint meets illness

4.1 Two failure lists that belong together

The SWARP line describes how a person's learning cycle breaks. Coherence medicine describes how a body's regulation breaks. It distinguishes five regulatory faults:

1. loss of coherence;
2. pathological coherence, as in Parkinsonian beta synchrony or epilepsy;

3. reference drift, as in essential hypertension;
4. structural destruction, as in autoimmune loss of beta cells;
5. element failure, where one sensor or effector fails inside an intact loop.

Read in the net, the five faults are five configurations of one strand:

Regulatory fault	In the net
Loss of coherence	lost phase-lock
Pathological coherence	uniform closure where mixed closure belongs: it holds and no longer turns
Reference drift	closure in the wrong direction
Structural destruction	the dial reads too sharply
Element failure	one crossing breaks

The two lists describe one closure at two depths: the person and the tissue. If identity over scale holds, they should correspond:

Failure class	Corresponding regulatory fault
Blue (script kept too long)	reference drift
Yellow (model not revised)	pathological coherence, followed by collapse
Green (coupling lost)	loss of coherence
Red (signal registered late)	structural damage found late

This correspondence is the bridge between the blueprint and the illness. It is stated here as a prediction. Section 7 gives the count that tests it.

4.2 Conditioning, counted

Earlier work on the personal biofield named **conditioning** as a source of immune disturbance. Conditioning is a life lived in the pattern of another person or environment, against one's own.

The net turns this into arithmetic. A mixed strand closes only if it keeps one direction.

Join a strand running $(-1, 0, +1, -1, 0, +1)$ to a strand running $(+1, 0, -1, +1, 0, -1)$. The windows on the seam are:

- $(0, +1, +1)$, sum 2, open;
- $(+1, +1, 0)$, sum 2, open.

Every other window closes.

So a life in the wrong direction costs exactly two open windows on the seam. They do not go away. They carry tension as long as the two directions are joined.

Read in the net, autoimmunity is the dial reading too sharply on that seam. The body discharges tissue that fits, because it lies on a seam that does not.

4.3 The blockage as the place of the complaint

The SWARP support for women in menopause uses the blueprint in the one way the frame allows.

- The rhythm change is the same for everyone: the monthly closure becomes erratic and then stops.
- Where it shows depends on the person's blockage: in sleep, in mood, or in the body.

This is the proposition of Section 3.1 in practice. The state sets when; the address sets where.

5. What has been measured

5.1 The birth season

Many conditions. Boland and colleagues studied 1,749,400 patients born between 1900 and 2000 and tested 1,688 conditions. Fifty-five conditions depended on birth month after false-discovery-rate correction at 5 per cent. At that rate about $0.05 \times 55 = 2.75$ of the 55 are expected false. The signal is real.

Multiple sclerosis is the best measured case. A meta-analysis of 151,978 patients gives observed-to-expected birth ratios in its conservative set:

- April 1.07;
- May 1.11;
- October 0.94;
- November 0.88.

The hemisphere mirror. In Australia the pattern is mirrored. People born in November–December carried 1.32 times the risk of people born in May–June. After adjustment for maternal ultraviolet exposure in the first trimester, no association with birth month remained.

This mirror decides between season and sky. The Sun's place among the stars is the same in both hemispheres. The season is not. The signal follows the season, and through it the light the mother received.

The scale for one person. MS prevalence in the Netherlands is 210.4 per 100,000 (2019). An excess of 11 per cent for May births adds $0.002104 \times 0.11 = 0.00023$. That is about one extra case per 4,300 people born in May.

The effect is real for the population and small for the person. In the frame of Section 3 it belongs to line three, the field at birth. It is part of the address.

5.2 Solar activity

One study of historical Norwegian records found that people born near solar maximum lived 5.2 years less than people born near solar minimum. The effect was stronger in girls. A study of national cohorts from ten countries, births 1751–1915, found no such relation. The question stays open, and line three of the blueprint is built to settle it.

5.3 The sign of the Sun

Austin and colleagues studied 10,674,945 residents of Ontario and searched 223 diagnoses for an association with astrological sign. They tested 24 associations in a second cohort. Two reached $p < 0.05$: Leo with gastrointestinal bleeding, and Sagittarius with fracture of the upper arm.

At $\alpha = 0.05$ over 24 tests, the chance of at least two such results by chance alone is 0.34. After correction, the threshold is $0.05 / 24 = 0.0021$. Neither association passed it.

This study does not test the blueprint. The blueprint is not a sun sign. It does show the condition every count must meet: the prediction must be fixed before the data are seen.

5.4 Tested by use

Traditional medicine is a long experiment with a fixed recipe. The recipe was set before each new patient, and generations saw the outcome. What visibly harmed or did nothing fell away. This is selection by fit at the scale of a culture.

The record shows how often that selection was right:

- Ge Hong described cold extraction of *Artemisia* against fevers around 340 CE. From it came artemisinin, now the core of malaria treatment.
- Foxglove became digitalis.
- Willow bark became aspirin.
- Bloodletting, long dismissed, is now the treatment of haemochromatosis and polycythaemia vera. In the CYTO-PV trial, cardiovascular death or major thrombosis fell from 9.8 to 2.7 per cent.
- Arsenic, from Chinese medicine, cures more than 90 per cent of acute promyelocytic leukaemia.
- Tai chi reduces falls, with high certainty.

Long use shows that a practice holds. It does not show which part of it works, or that its explanation is right. Bloodletting helps where there is too much iron, not for the reasons Galen gave.

The tie between birth season and constitution belongs to this class. Ayurveda and Chinese medicine both make it. It is now measured.

6. The human layer

A blueprint is not read by an instrument alone. It is read by a person who is ill, or afraid of becoming ill.

Three consequences follow for that person.

First, illness is not a verdict on the address. The address does not age and does not sicken. What changes is the state. A person with an open window on a given seam is not destined for the illness that lives there. They know where to look when tension rises.

Second, the remedy for conditioning is not effort. Two open windows on a seam close only when the directions agree again. That means a change of work, of house, of relationship or of rhythm. More effort in the wrong direction adds load to the seam. The person who recognises this gains something no medicine gives: a reason for the exhaustion that is not their own weakness.

Third, the ordinary means carry the most weight. The reference work *Where Illness Comes From, Completed* lists the measures with the largest effects:

- social connection, with an odds ratio for survival of 1.50;
- movement;

- light at the right hour;
- sleep;
- the mother's body for the newborn.

Each restores phase-lock. The blueprint does not replace them. It says which of them a given person needs first.

7. Five tests

Each test fixes its prediction before the data are seen. In each, the count runs against a stated chance level. Claude Code runs each count as a separate judge, with its own code. The SWARP data enter only with the consent of their owners.

Test 1. The blockage hit rate.

- Claude Code computes each person's predicted blockage from the frozen address.
- A chest strap records heart-rate variability.
- Claude Code fixes the thresholds of the four tension states on a first group, then freezes them.
- On a second group, it counts how often the predicted blockage is the state the person stays in longest.
- The chance level is the frequency of that state in the second group, about 1/4.
- Prediction: a hit rate above chance.

Test 2. Failure class and regulatory fault.

- The correspondence table of Section 4.1 is frozen.
- In persons with a known diagnosis, Claude Code classes the diagnosis by regulatory fault and the blueprint by failure class, and counts the matches.
- The chance level is 1/4.
- Prediction: matches above chance.

Test 3. Season against sky.

- Claude Code codes each birth twice: once from season and latitude only, once from planetary positions only.
- It uses the published monthly MS tables from both hemispheres.
- Prediction: the season code carries the signal and the planetary code does not.

Test 4. The field at birth.

- Claude Code computes the solar-cycle phase, the geomagnetic load in gestation and the local field for each birth.
- It sets these against the same outcome as Test 1.
- Prediction: line three adds predictive power to lines one and two. If it adds none, it is removed.

Test 5. The conditioning seam.

- Claude Code computes the direction of each person's ring and the direction of their declared main environment: work and household.
- Where the directions oppose, the seam has two open windows.
- Prediction: these persons spend more time in the holding state than persons whose directions agree.

A test that succeeds moves its link from READING to MEASURED. A test that fails removes or rewrites the link.

8. Status

MEASURED

- The birth-season effect on 55 of 1,688 conditions.
- The MS month ratios, their hemisphere mirror, and the maternal UV adjustment that removes the month effect.
- No sun-sign effect after correction for multiple testing.
- MS prevalence in the Netherlands of 210.4 per 100,000.

COMPUTED

- The count of 9 closing windows out of 27.
- The two open windows of the conditioning seam.
- The worked chart of Section 3.6: type, centres, channels, profile, and the profile boundary at 00:57.
- The normalisation of the published vector.
- The expected 2.75 false findings in Boland; one extra MS case per about 4,300 May births; the 0.34 chance level and 0.0021 threshold in Austin.

TESTED BY USE

- The tie between birth season and constitution in Ayurveda and Chinese medicine.
- The practices listed in Section 5.4.

READING

- The blueprint fixes where; the state fixes when.
- The five regulatory faults as five configurations of the strand.
- Autoimmunity as the dial reading too sharply on the conditioning seam.

PREDICTION (Tests 1–5)

- The blockage hit rate.
- The correspondence of failure class and regulatory fault.
- Season against sky.
- The added value of the field at birth.
- The conditioning seam.

Annotated references

Konstapel, J. (2026). *Where Illness Comes From, Completed*. First edition, version 1.0, October 2026. Constable Research, Leiden. constable.blog. *Why read?* Every chapter of ICD-11, one entry per condition, eight fixed sections: what it is, measured, where it starts, cure status, prevention, treatment, simple means, gaps. Part 0 sets out the rules of the net used in Section 2 of this essay. *Reading advice:* Read Part 0 and Part 1 first. Then use the entries one condition at a time.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *The Vacuum.Net Theory: Foundational Paper*. Eighth edition, version 8.1, 17 October 2026. constable.blog. *Why read?* The five starting rules, the window count and the register on which the whole frame rests. *Reading advice:* Read the five rules and the window count before anything else.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *The Personal Blueprint of the Net*. 4 August 2026. constable.blog. Why read? The split of the blueprint into a discrete invariant and a continuous state, with the update rule and the closure moment.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *The Narrative Signature Engine*. 20 May 2026. constable.blog. Why read? The coordinate of the blueprint, its inputs and the worked example of Section 3.6. *Reading advice:* Recompute the example from the birth data, as Section 3.6 does.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *Born into Your Failures: Expectation Failure as Fractal Karma in the SWARP Model*. constable.blog. Why read? The failure class of each axis, the weights from chart to quaternion, and the mapping from circuits to axes.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *The Personal Biofield and Immune Coherence*. 27 May 2026. constable.blog. Why read? The four propositions that first tied the blueprint to immunity, including conditioning.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *Het Coherentieprincipe*. 20 July 2026. constable.blog. Why read? The five regulatory faults of Section 4.1.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *The Chart Is Silent, the Field Is Not*. 2 September 2026. constable.blog. Why read? The electromagnetic profile at birth, and the blockage as the place where a shared rhythm change shows.

Konstapel, J. (2026). *The Body Is Also a Net*. 1 September 2026. constable.blog. Why read? The design of Test 1: the frozen address, the four tension states and the hit rate.

Boland, M. R., Shahn, Z., Madigan, D., Hripcsak, G. & Tatonetti, N. P. (2015). Birth month affects lifetime disease risk: a phenome-wide method. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocv046. Why read? The widest search for birth-month effects: 1,688 conditions in 1,749,400 patients. *Reading advice:* Note that the correction is a false-discovery rate. That is why 55 findings carry only about three false ones.

Dobson, R., Giovannoni, G. & Ramagopalan, S. (2013). The month of birth effect in multiple sclerosis: systematic review, meta-analysis and effect of latitude. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* 84(4):427. Why read? 151,978 patients, and the dependence of the effect on latitude.

Staples, J., Ponsonby, A.-L. & Lim, L. (2010). Low maternal exposure to ultraviolet radiation in pregnancy, month of birth, and risk of multiple sclerosis in offspring. *BMJ* 340:c1640. Why read? The southern-hemisphere mirror, and the adjustment that removes the month effect. *Reading advice:* This is the decisive study between season and sky.

Willer, C. J. et al. (2005). Timing of birth and risk of multiple sclerosis: population based study. *BMJ* 330:120. Why read? The first large pooled analysis: 42,045 patients from four northern countries.

Lemmens, C. M. C. et al. (2024). Prevalence and incidence of multiple sclerosis in the Netherlands. *Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders* 93:106207. Why read? The Dutch prevalence used in Section 5.1.

Austin, P. C., Mamdani, M. M., Juurlink, D. N. & Hux, J. E. (2006). Testing multiple statistical hypotheses resulted in spurious associations: a study of astrological signs and health. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 59(9):964–969. Why read? Ten million people, 223

diagnoses, and a clean demonstration of why a prediction must be fixed first. *Reading advice:* Use it as the template for how a judge corrects for the number of tests.

Skjærvø, G. R., Fossum, F. & Røskoft, E. (2015). Solar activity at birth predicted infant survival and women's fertility in historical Norway. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*. Why read? The positive study behind line three of the blueprint. Read it beside the null study over ten countries in *Biogerontology* (2009).

Tu, Y. (2011). The discovery of artemisinin (qinghaosu) and gifts from Chinese medicine. *Nature Medicine* 17:1217–1220. Why read? The clearest case of a practice tested by use, traced from a fourth-century text to a modern cure.

Marchioli, R. et al. (2013). Cardiovascular events and intensity of treatment in polycythemia vera. *New England Journal of Medicine* 368:22–33. Why read? The CYTO-PV trial. Bloodletting, measured.

Sherrington, C. et al. (2019). Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Why read? 108 trials. Tai chi needs no equipment and reduces falls with high certainty.

Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B. & Layton, J. B. (2010). Social relationships and mortality risk: a meta-analytic review. *PLOS Medicine* 7(7):e1000316. Why read? An odds ratio for survival of 1.50 from social connection. It is larger than many treatment effects.

McWhinney, W. (1992). *Paths of Change: Strategic Choices for Organizations and Society*. Sage. Why read? The four realities behind the four axes of the state vector.

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11:127–138. Why read? The account of a living system as one that reduces surprise. It underlies the update rule of the state.