The Spiral of the Address

Lifespan Topology, Paths of Change, and the Two Missing Degrees of Freedom

J. Konstapel, Leiden, 15-8-2026 Constable Research

Abstract

Three descriptions of human development, developed independently and in different disciplines, turn out to describe one object. The first is empirical: a large connectome study identifies four turning points in the topology of the human brain across the lifespan, at approximately 9, 32, 66 and 83 years. The second is structural: Will McWhinney's *Paths of Change* holds that no change completes unless the path turns through the worldviews and closes on itself. The third is ecological: Gunderson and Holling's Panarchy describes adaptive systems as passing through four phases and returning — not to the same point, but to a point on a different level.

This essay shows that these are the same figure seen from three sides. The four turning points are the four bends of a closed circuit through four worldviews. The direction of rotation and the pitch of the circuit are two further degrees of freedom, for which the Myers-Briggs letters E/I and J/P provide convenient names. Together, direction and pitch turn the circuit into a spiral, which is the form Panarchy already describes. A combinatorial result follows directly: there are exactly twenty-four such circuits, decomposing as three distinct routes, two directions, and four entry points, and this decomposition is not arbitrary — each factor corresponds to a distinct feature of the personal address.

1. Three descriptions, one object

The starting point of this essay is a coincidence too exact to leave alone.

A path through four worldviews that must close on itself has four bends. The connectome literature, working from diffusion MRI and graph theory and knowing nothing of worldviews, reports four turning points. The correspondence is not a matter of interpretation. It is a count.

What follows takes that count seriously and asks what else must then be true.

Three bodies of work are involved.

The lifespan topology study. A large study aggregating diffusion MRI connectomes from 4,216 individuals aged 0 to 90, harmonised across cohorts, reduces each brain network to standard graph measures — integration (global efficiency, characteristic path length, small-worldness), segregation (modularity, clustering, local efficiency, core structure), and centrality (betweenness, subgraph centrality). These are modelled as smooth functions of age and passed through manifold learning. The trajectory bends at approximately 9, 32, 66 and 83 years, defining five epochs. Integration is non-monotonic: it falls in early childhood, rises through adolescence to a peak in the late twenties, and declines thereafter. Segregation rises monotonically across the whole span. Centrality is most strongly coupled to age during adolescence and early adulthood, and the age–topology coupling weakens in late life.

Paths of Change. Will McWhinney's framework distinguishes four realities or worldviews — Unitary, Sensory, Social and Mythic — and holds that change occurs as movement between them. A single move between two worldviews is a mode of change; it displaces but does not resolve. Resolution requires a route that returns. In the reading adopted here, the requirement is stated sharply: **a path succeeds only if it turns round.** An open path leaves the system displaced; a closed path leaves it changed.

Panarchy. Gunderson and Holling describe adaptive systems as cycling through four phases: reorganisation (α), exploitation or growth (r), conservation (K), and release (Ω). Two cross-scale couplings link cycles at different speeds and sizes: *revolt*, in which a fast small cycle destabilises a slower larger one, and *remember*, in which the slow large cycle supplies the material from which the fast one reorganises. The adaptive cycle is drawn as a figure that does not return to its own starting point.

The claim of this essay is that these three describe the same closed, rising path, and that the topology study supplies the measurements the other two lack.

2. The circuit and its four bends

Take the four worldviews as four positions. A path that visits all four and returns to its start is a Hamiltonian circuit on four nodes. It has four legs and four bends.

The correspondence with the measured epochs is direct:

Epoch	Measured topology	Position on the circuit
0–9	integration falls, clustering rises	first leg — local structure forms, the four
9–32	integration and small-worldness rise to a peak near 29; centrality most age-linked	second leg — the four become one whole; the route is laid down
32–	segregation continues to rise, integration slowly	third leg — one position specialises;
66–	age–topology coupling weakens	fourth leg — the circuit is set
83	only local adjustment	closure and handover

Read against Panarchy, the same table reads as the adaptive cycle:

Epoch	Adaptive phase
0–9	α — reorganisation: high potential, low connectedness, high plasticity
9–32	r — exploitation: connectedness grows rapidly toward its maximum
32–66	K — conservation: connectedness high, rigidity rising, resilience falling
66–83	Ω — release: the systematic coupling loosens
83	*remember* — the cross-scale coupling that supplies the next cycle

Two features of this reading deserve emphasis.

First, the K phase in Panarchy is characterised by rising connectedness together with falling resilience — a system that becomes more efficient and more brittle at once. The topology study reports exactly this signature for 32–66: modularity, clustering and local efficiency continue to rise while global integration declines. The system is protecting itself against local failure at the cost of global flexibility. This is not an analogy borrowed from ecology; it is the same measurement.

Second, Panarchy's *remember* coupling is not an ornament. It is the mechanism by which a completed cycle seeds the next one. In the human case this is the transmission from the old to the young — the transfer of pattern from a closure whose topology is set to one whose topology is still forming. The cycle child → adolescent → adult → elder → child is therefore not a metaphor for repetition. It is the *remember* link, drawn at the scale of a human life.

Panarchy's other coupling, *revolt*, has an equally specific address. It is the fast cycle destabilising the slow one — which is what adolescence is, structurally, and it falls exactly where the topology study places the peak of centrality's coupling to age.

3. Twenty-four: what the number decomposes into

If a path must close, how many closed paths are there?

For four positions the answer is 4! = 24 — but the interesting content is in how that number factors, because each factor turns out to name a distinct feature of the person.

On four nodes there are exactly **three** distinct undirected Hamiltonian circuits. Each is fixed by which pair of worldviews it places *opposite* one another — that is, which two are never adjacent on the route. Each circuit can be traversed in **two** directions, and can be entered at any of **four** points.

$3 \times 2 \times 4 = 24$.

This decomposition is the substance of the result:

The circuit (3) names the opposition. Whichever pair sits diagonally opposite is the pair the person cannot reach from one to the other in a single step. This is the standing tension of a life — the axis along which the person must always go the long way round, or else cross through the centre.

The direction (2) is extraversion and introversion. Clockwise and counter-clockwise are not the same life. Two people with identical worldview weights and opposite direction traverse the same four positions in reverse order: what one meets at 9, the other meets after 66. The Myers-Briggs letters E and I are a serviceable name for this degree of freedom, and nothing more than a name.

The entry point (4) is the dominant worldview at birth. This is what the blueprint already computes. The quaternion gives the weights; the largest weight is where the circuit is entered.

Two further structures sit on top of the twenty-four.

The centre. The fifth worldview — the heart — is the short route across the diagonal, the direct crossing between the two positions the circuit holds apart. It too can be crossed in either direction. Its availability is not constant: the topology study reports that centrality is most strongly coupled to age during adolescence and early adulthood, and matters less thereafter. The crossing through the centre is therefore most available between roughly 9 and 32, and progressively less so as the

modules seal. This is a strong and unexpected consequence: **the direct route is a young route**, and after the K phase the long way round is the only way round.

The vertical axis. A closed circuit on a plane returns to its own starting point, which would make development a repetition. It is not. The two remaining paths are vertical: upward into abstraction, downward into the world. In Myers-Briggs vocabulary these are P and J — P as the movement toward openness and abstraction, J as the movement toward closure and result. The vertical axis converts the circle into a helix.

Twenty-four circuits, each with a pitch that may be up or down, gives forty-eight spiral forms. That is the combinatorial size of the space.

4. Why the figure must be a spiral

The requirement that a path close and the requirement that development not repeat itself are in apparent contradiction. The vertical axis resolves it.

This is precisely the resolution Panarchy arrives at from the other direction. The adaptive cycle is not drawn as a circle. It is drawn as a figure whose release phase drops the system to a different level of potential and connectedness than the one it started from, and the cross-scale couplings — revolt and remember — exist because cycles at different levels are not the same cycle. Panarchy is a nested set of spirals, coupled vertically.

The correspondence is then exact in its architecture:

- the four worldviews give the horizontal structure;
- the direction of rotation gives the sense of traversal;
- the vertical axis gives the pitch;
- the closure requirement gives the return;
- the *remember* coupling gives the handover to the next turn.

What McWhinney supplies is the requirement that the path turn round. What Panarchy supplies is the reason it cannot turn round in the same plane. What the connectome study supplies is the measurement of where the bends actually fall.

5. Smooth and rough passages

Not every circuit is equally easy to walk, and the topology study makes this computable rather than merely observable.

A bend is a transition between two worldviews. Its difficulty depends on the state of integration at the age when it falls.

- A bend falling in a **high-integration** window — roughly 9 to 32, peaking near 29 — is made while the parts of the system are working together. The transition is available.
- A bend falling in a **low-integration, high-segregation** window — the later part of 32–66 and beyond — must be made while modules are increasingly insulated from one another. The transition is a rough one.

- A bend that requires the **diagonal crossing** through the centre is smooth only while centrality is still strongly coupled — again, the 9–32 window. After that, the same crossing must be made the long way, over two legs instead of one.

This yields a definite statement: **the roughness of a life transition is a function of where on the circuit it falls and what the integration state is at that age.** The same transition is easy at 25 and hard at 55, not because of accumulated circumstance but because of the topology of the system making it.

It also yields a warning about the third epoch. Between 32 and 66 the system is becoming more modular and more efficient and less flexible. It is exactly the phase in which a person is most capable and least able to turn. Panarchy names this the rigidity trap. The topology study measures it. The circuit explains why it lands where it does.

6. A note on nomenclature and the questionnaire prohibition

The Myers-Briggs letters are used here strictly as names for two structural degrees of freedom — direction of rotation (E/I) and pitch (J/P) — that are already determined by the computed address. They are not used as an instrument, and nothing in this construction is obtained by asking a person questions about themselves.

This is not a detail. A context-dependent system cannot be parsed by a context-free instrument. The whole point of computing from an address is that the address is given, not reported. Borrowing four familiar letters as labels is legitimate; administering a questionnaire to obtain them would destroy the construction.

7. Status ledger

Established independently of this essay

- The lifespan connectome findings: four turning points near 9, 32, 66, 83; non-monotonic integration peaking near 29; monotonically rising segregation; centrality most age-coupled in adolescence and early adulthood.
- McWhinney's four worldviews and the requirement that a path of change close.
- The Panarchy adaptive cycle (α, r, K, Ω) and the revolt and remember couplings.
- The personal address as a unit quaternion computed from birth date, time and place.

Derived in this essay

- A closed circuit on four worldviews has four bends; the measured turning points number four.
- 24 = 3 circuits × 2 directions × 4 entry points, with each factor corresponding to a distinct feature of the address: the standing opposition, the direction of traversal, the dominant worldview at birth.
- The diagonal crossing through the centre is most available between roughly 9 and 32, because that is where centrality is most strongly coupled to age.
- The vertical axis (P/J) is what converts the circuit into a spiral, giving 48 spiral forms.
- The K phase, the rigidity trap and the 32–66 epoch are the same object described three ways.

Chosen as model elements, not derived

- The mapping of epochs onto adaptive phases (0–9 as α, 9–32 as r, 32–66 as K, 66–83 as Ω, 83+ as remember).
- The identification of E/I with direction and P/J with pitch.
- The identification of the fifth worldview with the diagonal crossing.

Open

- Whether the intervals between turning points (9, 23, 34, 17 years) carry a recognisable ratio structure. The second-to-third interval ratio is approximately 1.48; the golden ratio is 1.618 and the bronze mean approximately 3.30. Neither matches. This should be computed against the fifty-year transition dataset rather than asserted.
- Whether the population turning points are fixed for all individuals or shift with the address. The study reports age-averaged topology; individual variation around 9, 32, 66 and 83 is not resolved by it.

8. Five falsifiable predictions

P1 — Bend placement predicts transition difficulty. Individuals whose circuit places a bend inside a low-integration window report the corresponding life transition as harder than individuals whose bend falls in the 9–32 window, with the effect independent of socioeconomic circumstance.

P2 — Direction reverses the order of themes. Individuals with the same worldview weights but opposite direction show a reversed order of life themes across the lifespan. What one reports as the concern of the twenties, the other reports as the concern of the sixties.

P3 — The diagonal is the standing difficulty. The pair of worldviews held opposite by a person's circuit is the pair on which they report persistent, lifelong difficulty, and this pairing is stable under repeated first-person report across decades.

P4 — Pitch predicts direction of movement, not content. J/P predicts whether a life moves toward abstraction or toward embodiment across successive turns, independently of which worldviews are dominant.

P5 — Transfer is strongest at matched points. Transmission from elder to child is most effective when the child's entry point coincides with the elder's exit point — the *remember* coupling is strongest when the two addresses meet at the same position on the circuit.

Each prediction is stated so that a negative result removes a component rather than requiring the model to be rescued.

9. Conclusion

The essay began with a count and ends with a form.

Four worldviews that must be traversed and closed give four bends. A large connectome study, working from measurement and knowing nothing of worldviews, finds four turning points. Adding the direction of traversal and the vertical pitch — for which the Myers-Briggs letters supply convenient names — turns the closed circuit into a helix. That helix is the figure Panarchy already draws, complete with the cross-scale coupling that hands one turn to the next.

The result is not a new theory. It is a recognition that three existing descriptions, in neuroscience, in the study of change, and in ecology, have been describing the same rising closed path from three sides, and that the personal address determines which of the forty-eight versions of it a given person walks.

What this makes possible is modest and concrete: an instrument can state where a person stands on their own circuit, which bend is next, and whether that bend falls in a window where the system is integrated enough to make it easily. It says nothing about what the person should do. It reads the path; it does not direct it.

References

Gunderson, L. H., & Holling, C. S. (eds.) (2002). *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*. Island Press.

Konstapel, J. (2025). *The LifeSpan of a Resonant System*. constable.blog, 27 November 2025. https://constable.blog/2025/11/27/the-life-span-of-a-resonant-system/

Konstapel, J. (2018). *Begeleiding van de wieg tot het graf*. constable.blog, 22 April 2018. https://constable.blog/2018/04/22/begeleiding-van-de-wieg-tot-het-graf/

McWhinney, W. (1992). *Paths of Change: Strategic Choices for Organizations and Society*. Sage Publications.

Myers, I. B., & Myers, P. B. (1980). *Gifts Differing: Understanding Personality Type*. Consulting Psychologists Press.

Topological turning points across the human lifespan. Nature Communications (2025). PDF: https://constable.blog/wp-content/uploads/s41467-025-65974-8.pdf