

# The Story of SWARP: Adaptive Collaboration Through Active Inference and Markov Chain Navigation

J.Konstapel, Leiden,9-3-2026.

## Abstract

This paper presents SWARP, an adaptive collaboration platform grounded in Karl Friston's Free Energy Principle (FEP) and Active Inference framework. We describe the platform's architectural evolution from a conceptual vision of organizational learning into a functioning sociotechnical system that integrates predictive modeling, semantic knowledge networks, and fractal organizational design. Central to this work is a novel application of Markov chain analysis to a semantic lexicon of 175 interconnected concepts spanning 33 knowledge domains, yielding empirical results — a spectral gap of 0.034, seven emergent subclusters, and a clustering coefficient of 0.588 — that inform a narrative-driven navigation system. The Markov Navigator translates mathematical topology into meaningful story paths, enabling users to traverse complex conceptual landscapes through probabilistically weighted narratives rather than hierarchical menus. We argue that this approach demonstrates how self-organizing principles from theoretical neuroscience can be operationalized in software architecture to produce systems that are simultaneously rigorous and humane.

**Keywords:** Free Energy Principle, Active Inference, Markov chains, semantic networks, adaptive systems, organizational learning, narrative navigation, spectral graph theory

## 1. Introduction: The Problem of Coherent Complexity

Modern organizations face a paradox: the systems designed to manage complexity often become complex themselves, creating layers of abstraction that obscure rather than illuminate. Enterprise software typically addresses this through hierarchical information architectures — tree structures, nested menus, role-based access — that impose order from above. The result is functional but brittle: systems that resist adaptation precisely when adaptation is most needed.

SWARP (Synthesized Wellness & Adaptive Resource Platform) began with a different premise. Rather than imposing structure, the platform sought to discover it — to build a system where coherent patterns emerge from the interactions between agents, concepts, and communities, much as coherent behavior emerges in biological systems through the minimization of free energy.

The theoretical foundation for this approach comes from Karl Friston's Free Energy Principle (Friston, 2010), which proposes that all self-organizing systems — from single cells to complex social organizations — persist by minimizing the difference between their internal predictive models and the sensory evidence they receive from their environment. When this principle is extended to include action (Active Inference), it describes systems that do not merely passively predict but actively sample their environment to reduce expected surprise (Friston et al., 2017).

SWARP operationalizes these principles across four interconnected layers:

1. A semantic foundation — the Common Lexicon — containing 175 rigorously defined concepts organized across 33 knowledge domains and 6 fractal levels, interconnected by 633 semantic bridges.
2. An agent-based simulation layer where autonomous agents maintain generative models, process prediction errors (surprisals), and update beliefs through hierarchical Bayesian inference.
3. A coherence engine (KAYS — Knowledge-based Adaptive Yielding System) that monitors multi-temporal coherence across scales from seconds to months.
4. A narrative navigation layer — the Markov Navigator — that uses Markov chain analysis of the semantic network to generate probabilistically grounded story paths through the conceptual landscape.

This paper describes the architectural decisions behind SWARP, the Markov chain research that revealed its underlying topological structure, and the resulting navigation system that translates mathematics into meaning.

## 2. Theoretical Foundations

### 2.1 The Free Energy Principle

The Free Energy Principle (FEP) provides SWARP's foundational ontology. Friston's formulation states that any self-organizing system that resists the natural tendency toward disorder must minimize variational free energy — a quantity that bounds the surprise (negative log-evidence) encountered by the system:

$$F = E_q[\ln q(s) - \ln p(o, s)]$$

where  $q(s)$  is the system's approximate posterior over hidden states  $s$ ,  $p(o, s)$  is the generative model over observations  $o$  and states, and the expectation is taken under the approximate posterior. Minimizing  $F$  is equivalent to maximizing the evidence lower bound (ELBO), ensuring that the system's internal model remains an accurate representation of its environment (Friston, 2010; Buckley et al., 2017).

In SWARP, this is not merely a metaphor. Each computational agent in the system maintains an explicit generative model, processes "surprisals" (prediction errors between expected and observed states), and updates its beliefs through a Bayesian update mechanism. The variational free energy of each agent is tracked as a first-class metric, enabling the system to monitor whether agents — and by extension, the communities they serve — are in states of adaptive equilibrium or approaching critical transitions.

### 2.2 Active Inference and the Markov Blanket

Active Inference extends the FEP by incorporating action: agents do not merely update their beliefs to minimize surprise but actively intervene in their environment to bring about predicted (preferred) outcomes (Parr & Friston, 2019). This dual imperative — epistemic foraging (acting to reduce uncertainty) and pragmatic action (acting to achieve goals) — provides a natural framework for modeling user behavior in a knowledge platform.

The concept of the Markov blanket is central to this framework. In Friston's formulation, a Markov blanket defines the statistical boundary between a system and its environment: the minimal set of variables that renders the system's internal states conditionally independent of external states (Pearl, 1988; Friston, 2013). In SWARP, the Common Lexicon instantiates a Markov blanket-like boundary for the platform. While formal proof of conditional independence in the statistical sense lies beyond the scope of this paper, the lexicon functions structurally as such a boundary: all interactions between internal processes (agents, engines, analytics) and external actors (users, communities, external data sources) are mediated through the 175 defined concepts, and empirical analysis confirms that this mediation produces the modularity and attractor dynamics predicted by the Markov blanket framework.

## **2.3 Panarchy and Self-Organized Criticality**

SWARP's multi-scale dynamics draw on the Panarchy framework (Gunderson & Holling, 2002), which models adaptive cycles across nested scales. Each level of the system — individual, group, community, organization, region — undergoes cycles of growth ( $\$r\$$ ), conservation ( $\$K\$$ ), release ( $\$\Omega\$$ ), and reorganization ( $\$\alpha\$$ ). The platform maps these to four "movements" (Panarchy phases): Loskomen (release), Verkennen (exploration), Opbouwen (growth), and Verdiepen (conservation/deepening).

Self-Organized Criticality (SOC), as formulated by Bak, Tang, and Wiesenfeld (1987), provides the framework for understanding how the system maintains itself at the boundary between order and chaos. SWARP's SOC Engine monitors system behavior for power-law distributions and avalanche dynamics, detecting when the system approaches critical transitions that may require intervention.

## **2.4 Additional Theoretical Streams**

Three additional theoretical frameworks inform SWARP's design:

Van Campen's Law of Functionality measures system viability across four dimensions — Physical, Social, Psychological, and Spiritual — implemented via a dedicated VanCampen Engine that assesses whether communities and agents are functioning optimally.

Joseph Campbell's Monomyth (Campbell, 1949) provides the narrative architecture for user journeys, implemented through the Heldericht (Hero's Journey) module containing 95 authentic myth fragments from five world cultures, mapped to Tarot Major Arcana and the Kabbalistic Sefirot.

Scheffer et al.'s early warning signals framework (Scheffer et al., 2009) connects self-organized criticality to the detection of critical transitions in complex systems, providing the theoretical basis for SWARP's real-time system monitoring and kairoic moment detection — the identification of critical windows where small interventions can produce disproportionate systemic effects.

# **3. System Architecture**

## **3.1 Architectural Overview**

SWARP follows a monolithic modular architecture with strict separation between presentation, logic, and persistence layers:

Layer	Technology	Role
Client	React 18, Vite, Tailwind CSS, shadcn/ui	Single-page application with "Sfeer" (Atmosphere) system
Server	Express 5 (Node.js), TypeScript	Over 50 specialized route modules
Database	PostgreSQL 14+ with Drizzle ORM	Relational data with JSONB for flexible structures
AI Integration	OpenAI GPT-4o / GPT-4o-mini / GPT-5	Knowledge generation, translation, analysis
Shared	Zod schemas, Drizzle types	Type safety across the full stack
Authentication	OpenID Connect (Replit Auth)	Identity and session management
Payments	Stripe + PayPal	Virtual currency ("Seeds") economy
Real-time	WebSockets + Server-Sent Events	Live updates and AI streaming

The architecture is deliberately not microservices-based. The choice of a monolithic modular design reflects the conviction that coherence — a central concept in the platform — must be maintained at the architectural level as well as the conceptual level. Each module (Active Inference engine, AYYA360 profiling, Semantic Guardian, KAYS coherence, VanCampen Engine, Markov Engine) operates as a self-contained service within the monolith, communicating through typed interfaces rather than network calls.

### 3.2 The Common Lexicon

The Common Lexicon constitutes the semantic foundation of the entire platform. It is not a glossary but a living conceptual network — a graph where each node is a rigorously defined concept and each edge represents a semantic relationship.

#### Structure:

- 175 active terms organized across 33 knowledge domains and 6 fractal levels
- 633 semantic bridges (edges) connecting concepts through explicit relatedConceptIds
- Each term carries bilingual definitions (English and Dutch), process verbs, symbolic descriptions, and domain assignments
- Terms are classified by Paths of Change (PoC) Order — Truth, Observation, Valuation, Why — creating a functional layer of epistemological categorization

#### Fractal Level Distribution:

Level	Description	Term Count
1	Core foundation	25
2	Applied methods	30
3	Personal/Group	28
4	Community/Craft	38
5	Systemic/Regional	38

6	Universal/ Metasystemic	16
---	----------------------------	----

The lexicon is not static. It grows organically through three channels: expert contributions, AIDEN (the system's autonomous intelligence agent) auto-generation, and maturation of "kiemen" (seeds) from the Kiem Tuin (Seed Garden) — a self-evolving system that harvests potentials from collective scenario planning sessions.

A Semantic Guardian subsystem runs at every system startup, performing orphan detection, dangling reference verification, domain coverage analysis, and AIDEN memory coherence checks, with automated healing capabilities to maintain lexicon integrity.

### 3.3 Agent-Based Simulation

SWARP's simulation layer models agents as Active Inference systems. Each agent:

- Maintains a generative model of its domain
- Processes surprisals (prediction errors) from environmental observations
- Computes variational free energy as a measure of model-environment alignment
- Updates beliefs through hierarchical Bayesian inference

The primary autonomous agent, AIDEN (Adaptive Intelligence for Dynamic Ecosystem Navigation), operates across the entire system — monitoring coherence metrics, generating content, managing the knowledge base, and providing real-time analysis. AIDEN's memory system is grounded in the Common Lexicon, ensuring that all autonomous actions reference the shared semantic framework.

### 3.4 The KAYS Coherence Engine

The Knowledge-based Adaptive Yielding System (KAYS) provides multi-temporal coherence tracking across four timescales: seconds (real-time interaction), hours (session-level patterns), days (behavioral trends), and months (developmental trajectories). KAYS computes coherence metrics that feed back into the Active Inference loop, enabling the system to detect when individuals or communities are entering states of increasing free energy — signals of potential dissonance, learning opportunities, or critical transitions.

### 3.5 Visualization and Interaction

The platform features a scientific, dark-themed interface with several distinctive design choices:

- A three-tier progressive disclosure system (Beginner, Intermediate, Expert) that adapts interface complexity to user sophistication
- A context-sensitive Sfeer (Atmosphere) system that subtly shifts background glow colors based on the user's current Panarchy movement
- A KennisLink (Knowledge Link) system providing just-in-time contextual information for any term via a four-tier lookup chain: Lexicon, Knowledge Base, Wikipedia (multilingual with English fallback), and AI-generated explanation

- An interactive force-directed graph visualization of the entire semantic network using D3-force physics simulation and HTML Canvas rendering

### 3.6 Multilingual Architecture

SWARP operates natively in five languages — Dutch, English, German, French, and Spanish — through a custom internationalization system. All 101 page files have been audited for translation completeness. An AI Translation Service (powered by GPT-4o-mini) enables dynamic addition of new languages without code changes. A UI Profile Audit tool simulates five user profiles to continuously verify translation completeness, broken links, and hardcoded strings.

## 4. The Common Lexicon as Markov Blanket

### 4.1 Conceptual Framework

The parallel between SWARP's Common Lexicon and Friston's Markov blanket is not merely analogical — it is structural. In the formal definition, a Markov blanket  $\mathcal{B}$  around a set of internal states  $\mu$  renders those states conditionally independent of external states  $\eta$ :

$$p(\mu | \mathcal{B}, \eta) = p(\mu | \mathcal{B})$$

The Common Lexicon instantiates a Markov blanket-like boundary for the platform. While formal proof of conditional independence in the statistical sense lies beyond the scope of this paper, the lexicon functions structurally as such a boundary: all interactions between the system's internal processes (agents, engines, analytics) and external actors (users, communities, external data sources) are mediated through the 175 defined concepts. No autonomous agent can generate content, no analysis engine can produce a report, and no user interface can present information without referencing the lexicon. The lexicon defines what the system can "perceive" (sensory states), what it can "do" (active states), and what remains its internal business (internal states). Empirical analysis confirms that this mediation produces the modularity and attractor dynamics predicted by the Markov blanket framework.

### 4.2 Network Properties

The lexicon's 175 nodes and 633 edges form a connected graph with properties that bear examination:

- **Average degree:** 7.23 connections per concept
- **Density:** The graph is sparse (density  $\approx 0.042$ ), reflecting the fact that not every concept relates to every other concept — a healthy property for a Markov blanket, which must be selective to be functional
- **Hub structure:** The degree distribution is heavy-tailed, with most concepts having 5 connections (101 nodes, or 57.7% of the network) while a small number of hubs have substantially higher connectivity (TOA-Triade: 48, Marketplace: 46, AIDEN: 38)

This hub-and-spoke topology is characteristic of scale-free networks (Barabási & Albert, 1999) and indicates that the lexicon has grown organically rather than being designed top-down — precisely

the property one would expect of a genuine Markov blanket that has co-evolved with the system it bounds.

### 4.3 Domain Architecture

The 33 domains partition the lexicon into functional clusters that correspond roughly to the platform's major subsystems:

Domain Cluster	Domains	Mass*	Role
Living systems	ecosystem, levensloop, ayya360	36.0%	Personal development, life guidance, profiling
Infrastructure	system, platform_architecture, content	17.6%	Technical backbone and content
Governance	governance, juridisch	8.1%	Decision-making and legal
Theory	theory, theoretical_foundation, kays	9.6%	Scientific foundations and coherence
Action	simulation, personal_action, collective_action	7.3%	Individual and collective agency
Wisdom	mystiek, philosophy, evolutie	4.6%	Spiritual and philosophical traditions

\*Mass = aggregate stationary probability of all concepts within the domain cluster

The dominance of the "living systems" cluster (36.0% of total probability mass) reflects the platform's core orientation toward human development. The theoretical cluster's 9.6% share indicates a substantive but not overwhelming presence of foundational concepts — enough to ground the system scientifically without crowding out practical application.

## 5. Markov Chain Analysis: Method and Results

### 5.1 Motivation

The semantic network embedded in the Common Lexicon is not merely a reference structure — it is a navigational space. Users do not consume concepts in isolation; they traverse paths through the network, moving from one concept to related concepts based on interest, need, or guided instruction. This traversal behavior is naturally modeled as a random walk on a graph, which is precisely the setting for Markov chain analysis.

Our research objective was threefold: (1) to characterize the topological structure of the semantic network using spectral methods, (2) to identify emergent clusters and bridge concepts that facilitate cross-domain navigation, and (3) to derive narrative paths — sequences of concepts that tell coherent stories — from the mathematical structure of the graph.

### 5.2 Methods

#### 5.2.1 Transition Matrix Construction

The Common Lexicon is represented as an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = 175$  nodes (concepts) and  $|E| = 633$  edges (semantic bridges). The adjacency matrix  $A$  is constructed from the relatedConceptIds field of each lexicon entry.

The random walk transition matrix  $P$  is defined by row-normalizing  $A$ :

$$P_{ij} = \frac{A_{ij}}{\sum_k A_{ik}} = \frac{A_{ij}}{k_i}$$

where  $k_i = \sum_k A_{ik}$  is the degree of node  $i$ . For an undirected connected graph, the stationary distribution  $\pi$  of the resulting Markov chain is proportional to the degree sequence:

$$\pi_i = \frac{k_i}{\sum_j k_j} = \frac{k_i}{2|E|}$$

This well-known result (Lovász, 1993) means that the long-run probability of a random walker occupying any given concept is fully determined by its connectivity — more connected concepts are more frequently visited. We use this as our baseline model of "attention" within the semantic network.

### 5.2.2 Spectral Analysis

To characterize the mixing properties and community structure of the Markov chain, we compute the leading eigenvalues of the random walk operator. Let  $D$  be the diagonal degree matrix. The random walk transition matrix is  $P = D^{-1}A$ , where each row sums to 1.

The eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  of the random walk operator  $P = D^{-1}A$  are computed using power iteration with Gram-Schmidt orthogonal deflation. Power iteration finds the dominant eigenvector  $v_1$  by iterating for a fixed 2000 steps:

$$v^{(t+1)} = \frac{P v^{(t)}}{\|P v^{(t)}\|}$$

At each step, the iterate is orthogonalized against all previously computed eigenvectors using Gram-Schmidt projection:

$$w \leftarrow w - \sum_{k=1}^m \langle w, v_k \rangle v_k$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are the eigenvectors already extracted. After convergence, the eigenvalue is estimated via the Rayleigh quotient  $\lambda = v^T P v$ . We compute the top 15 eigenvalues. This approach represents a computationally pragmatic choice that trades strict convergence guarantees for predictable runtime behavior. Results are stable within  $\pm 0.002$  across runs; the spectral gap (0.034), cluster count (7), and attractor hierarchy are robust to this variance. For publication-grade precision, replacement with the Lanczos algorithm is planned in a subsequent release.

The spectral gap  $\gamma = 1 - \lambda_2$  is a key quantity: it governs the rate of convergence to the stationary distribution (mixing time  $t_{\text{mix}} \approx 1/\gamma$ ) and provides information about the connectivity structure of the graph (Chung, 1997).

The number of clusters is estimated by counting eigenvalues exceeding a threshold of 0.85, following the intuition that each near-unit eigenvalue corresponds to a quasi-invariant subspace of the random walk — a cluster of concepts within which the walker tends to remain for extended periods before transitioning to another cluster (Shi & Malik, 2000).

### 5.2.3 Betweenness Centrality

To identify concepts that serve as bridges between different regions of the semantic network, we compute betweenness centrality using Brandes' algorithm (Brandes, 2001). For a node  $v$ , betweenness centrality is defined as:

$$C_B(v) = \sum_{s \neq v \neq t} \frac{\sigma_{st}(v)}{\sigma_{st}}$$

where  $\sigma_{st}$  is the total number of shortest paths between  $s$  and  $t$ , and  $\sigma_{st}(v)$  is the number of those paths passing through  $v$ . Brandes' algorithm computes this in  $O(|V| \cdot |E|)$  time using BFS-based dependency accumulation. We normalize by the maximum observed value for interpretability.

#### 5.2.4 Local Clustering Coefficient

The local clustering coefficient  $C_i$  for each node  $i$  measures the density of connections among its neighbors:

$$C_i = \frac{2 \cdot | \{ e_{jk} : j, k \in \mathcal{N}(i), e_{jk} \in E \} |}{k_i(k_i - 1)}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(i)$  is the set of neighbors of node  $i$ . The global clustering coefficient is computed as the average over all  $n$  nodes, where nodes with degree  $< 2$  contribute zero to the sum:

$$\bar{C} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n C_i$$

This estimator weights all nodes equally regardless of degree, providing a measure of the network's overall tendency toward triadic closure.

#### 5.2.5 Narrative Path Generation

Narrative paths are generated by two methods:

BFS shortest path between designated start and end concepts, producing the most direct conceptual route between two semantically distant ideas.

Chained shortest paths through a sequence of anchor concepts, producing extended narratives that visit key waypoints (the "core story" chains 10 anchor concepts into a 14-step journey).

Ten meta-narratives are pre-computed, each representing a distinct "movement" through the semantic landscape:

Narrative	Start → End	Meaning
Theory to Practice	Free Energy → Marketplace	From abstract principle to practical
Chaos to Order	Surprisal → Coherence	From prediction error to systemic alignment
Individual to Collective	Agent → Consent	From autonomous action to collective
Myth to Action	Monomyth → Forge Action	From archetypal narrative to concrete
Mysticism to Technology	Sefirot → AIDEN	From spiritual emanation to artificial intelligence
Oscillation to Marketplace	Oscillation → Marketplace	From rhythmic dynamics to economic
Free Energy to Consent	Free Energy → Consent	From thermodynamic principle to democratic process

Kairotic Moment to Seed	Kairotic Moment → Seed Garden	From critical timing to emergent potential
Panarchy to	Panarchy → Coach	From adaptive cycles to personal guidance
Knowledge to Care	Knowledge Base → Health Diagnosis	From information to well-being

## 5.3 Results

### 5.3.1 Spectral Properties

The eigenvalue spectrum of the semantic network reveals the following structure:

Eigenvalue	Value	Interpretation
$\lambda_1$	1.0000	Stationary state (expected for connected graph)
$\lambda_2$	0.9664	Near-unit: indicates slow mixing and modular structure
$\lambda_3$	0.9502	Third quasi-invariant subspace
$\lambda_4$	0.9434	Fourth cluster signal
$\lambda_5$	0.9136	Fifth cluster
$\lambda_6$	-0.8897	Negative: indicates bipartite-like structure
$\lambda_7$	0.8765	Seventh cluster signal
$\lambda_8$	0.8650	Near threshold; marginal cluster signal

#### Key findings:

- Spectral gap:  $\gamma = 1 - 0.9664 = 0.0336$
- Mixing time:  $t_{\text{mix}} \approx \lceil 1 / 0.0336 \rceil = 30$  steps
- Number of clusters: 7 (eigenvalues exceeding 0.85)
- Global clustering coefficient: 0.588

The spectral gap of 0.034 is notably small, indicating that the random walk mixes slowly — a walker tends to remain within its local neighborhood for approximately 30 steps before its position distribution approaches the global stationary distribution. This is consistent with a modular network where concepts cluster into coherent domains with relatively sparse inter-domain connections.

The clustering coefficient of 0.588 is high compared to random graphs of equivalent size and density (for an Erdős–Rényi graph with the same parameters, the expected clustering coefficient would be approximately 0.042) — a factor of 14× higher, confirming strong small-world properties

(Watts & Strogatz, 1998). Concepts that share a neighbor are themselves highly likely to be connected, validating the semantic coherence of the lexicon's construction.

The presence of a negative eigenvalue ( $\lambda_6 = -0.890$ ) signals that the graph contains significant bipartite-like substructures — regions where concepts alternate between two complementary types. This is consistent with the platform's dialogical architecture, where many concept pairs represent complementary polarities (e.g., Theory/Practice, Individual/Collective, Chaos/Order).

### 5.3.2 Attractor Analysis

The stationary distribution and betweenness centrality reveal a hierarchy of conceptual attractors — nodes that function as gravitational centers in the semantic landscape:

Rank	Concept	Domain	Degree	Betweenness	Stationary Prob.
1	TOA-Triade	ayya360	48	1.000	3.79%
2	Marketplace	ecosystem	46	657	3.63%
3	AIDEN	system	38	651	3.00%
4	Oscillation	theory	32	693	2.53%
5	MetaSwarp	platform_arch	32	138	2.53%
6	Support Circle	levensloop	31	119	2.45%
7	Coherence	kays	28	877	2.21%
8	Consent	governance	25	239	1.97%
9	Layers of Life	paden	23	271	1.82%
10	Agent	simulation	23	276	1.82%

Several observations merit attention:

TOA-Triade (the "Thinking-Feeling-Doing" balance triad from the AYYA360 profiling system) emerges as the network's most powerful attractor — the concept with the highest degree (48 connections), highest betweenness centrality (1.000, normalized), and highest stationary probability (3.79%). This is architecturally significant: it means that a random walk through SWARP's conceptual landscape will, on average, spend more time at the TOA-Triade than at any other concept. The triad represents the fundamental human balance between cognition, emotion, and action — and its emergence as the network's gravitational center suggests that this balance is, in some formal sense, the "center of gravity" of the entire platform.

Coherence (rank 7) has disproportionately high betweenness centrality (0.877) relative to its degree (28) and stationary probability (2.21%). This means that while Coherence is not the most visited concept, it lies on the shortest path between a very large number of other concept pairs — it is the bridge par excellence. This finding validates the platform's design decision to place coherence as a central organizing principle: mathematically, it is the concept through which the most inter-domain traffic flows.

The periphery is equally informative. The lowest-degree concepts include Democratic Consent (1 connection), Wellness (1), Balance (1), Shen Element (1), and PoC Order (1). These peripheral concepts are specialized terms that connect to the network through a single bridge — they represent the "leaves" of the semantic tree, the most specific and least cross-referenced ideas. Their peripherality is appropriate: they are domain-specific concepts that should not be over-connected.

### 5.3.3 Domain Mass Distribution

Domain mass — the aggregate stationary probability of all concepts within a domain — provides a macroscopic view of attention distribution:

Domain	Mass	Nodes	Avg. Degree
ecosystem	12.3%	19	8.2
levensloop	11.9%	19	7.9
ayya360	11.8%	21	7.1
system	7.3%	10	9.2
platform_architecture	6.2%	9	8.8
content	4.1%	7	7.4
juridisch	4.1%	8	6.5
governance	3.9%	7	7.1
theory	3.5%	5	8.8

The three dominant domains — ecosystem (12.3%), levensloop (11.9%), and ayya360 (11.8%) — together account for 36.0% of the network's probability mass. This tripartite dominance reflects SWARP's core architecture: the ecosystem domain covers platform-wide concepts, levensloop (lifespan) covers human development, and ayya360 covers personal profiling. The roughly equal weighting suggests a balanced system rather than one dominated by a single concern.

Notable is the "system" domain's high average degree (9.2 connections per concept) despite having only 10 nodes. This indicates that system concepts, though few, are extensively cross-referenced — they serve as the "connective tissue" of the semantic network.

### 5.3.4 The Core Story

The 14-step core story — generated by chaining BFS shortest paths through 10 anchor concepts — reveals a coherent grand narrative:

Step	Concept	Domain	Level	Process Verb
1	Oscillation	theory	1	to oscillate
2	Free Energy	theory	1	to minimize surprise
3	Agent	simulation	2	to infer actively

4	Coherence	kays	2	to cohere
5	TOA-Triade	ayya360	3	to resonate triadically
6	Coherence	kays	2	to cohere
7	AIDEN	system	2	to self-observe / to evolve
8	Kairotic Moment	simulation	2	to recognize the moment
9	Sefirot	mystiek	1	to emanate / to unfold
10	Monomyth	mystiek	1	to journey / to transform
11	Wu Wei	philosophy	5	to not-act / to yield
12	Chronotope	content	1	to align with time-spaces
13	Marketplace	ecosystem	5	to exchange / to trade
14	Consent	governance	5	to consent / to govern

The story begins with foundational oscillation (the rhythmic pattern underlying all living systems), moves through free energy minimization (the driving principle), to agent-based inference (the operational mechanism), through coherence and personal balance (TOA-Triade), to autonomous intelligence (AIDEN) and kairotic moment recognition, touches the mystical traditions (Sefirot, Monomyth), embraces non-action (Wu Wei), contextualizes in time-space (Chronotope), and arrives at practical exchange (Marketplace) and democratic governance (Consent).

This narrative arc — from fundamental physics through individual psychology, artificial intelligence, mystical wisdom, and practical economics to collective governance — represents the platform's intellectual DNA expressed as a journey. It is notable that this story was not designed but discovered: it emerged from the mathematical structure of a network that was built concept by concept over the course of the platform's development.

## 6. The Markov Navigator: From Mathematics to Meaning

### 6.1 Design Principles

The Markov Navigator translates the mathematical analysis described in Section 5 into an interactive navigation tool. Its design is guided by three principles:

**Mathematical transparency:** Users can inspect the eigenvalues, centrality measures, and probability distributions that underlie the navigation suggestions. The platform does not hide its reasoning behind a black box.

**Narrative coherence:** Raw probability vectors are not meaningful to humans; stories are. The Navigator presents its analysis through narrative paths — sequences of concepts connected by process verbs that form readable journeys.

**User agency:** The system suggests; it does not prescribe. Users can explore pre-computed narratives, discover the core story, or define their own paths between any two concepts.

## 6.2 Interface Architecture

The Navigator is organized into five functional tabs:

**Network Analysis** presents the full spectral analysis: eigenvalue table, spectral gap, mixing time, clustering coefficient, degree distribution, domain mass chart, and ranked lists of attractors and peripheral concepts. This tab serves researchers, system architects, and advanced users who wish to understand the mathematical substrate of the platform.

**Story Paths** displays the ten pre-computed meta-narratives as step-by-step journeys. Each step shows the concept name, its process verb, domain, and level. Users can follow narratives like "From Theory to Practice" (Free Energy → Oscillation → Seed Garden → Marketplace) or "From Chaos to Order" (Surprisal → Kairotic Moment → Coherence) as guided tours through the semantic landscape.

**Core Story** presents the 14-step central narrative as a visual journey, with concept cards showing domain badges and process verbs. Key anchor concepts are highlighted, and the narrative progression from Level 1 (foundational theory) through Level 3 (personal application) to Level 5 (systemic governance) is made visible.

**Path Explorer** enables users to select any two concepts from the lexicon and discover the shortest narrative path between them. This is the most interactive mode: by choosing a starting concept and a destination, users can explore how any two ideas in the platform are connected — and what "story" connects them.

**Next Steps** implements the Markov-driven suggestion engine. Given a current concept, the system returns neighboring concepts ranked by transition probability (computed from their relative degree importance), each annotated with the process verb that describes the transition. This tab answers the question: "I am here; where might I go next?"

## 6.3 Caching and Performance

Markov chain computations — particularly eigenvalue decomposition and betweenness centrality — are computationally expensive for a 175-node graph. The Navigator implements a multi-layered caching strategy:

- Network data (nodes and edges from the database) is cached with a 10-minute TTL
- Analysis results (eigenvalues, centrality, distributions) are cached independently and invalidated when the underlying network changes
- Narratives and core story are cached with their own timestamps
- When new concepts are added or connections modified, all caches are invalidated to ensure consistency

The initial analysis computation takes approximately 850ms; subsequent cached requests return in under 10ms.

## 7. Discussion

## 7.1 Emergent Structure and Designed Intent

Perhaps the most striking finding of the Markov chain analysis is the alignment between emergent mathematical structure and designed intent. The TOA-Triade's emergence as the network's primary attractor was not planned — the lexicon was built concept by concept, with each concept's connections determined by semantic relevance rather than centrality optimization. Yet the triad representing human balance (Thinking-Feeling-Doing) organically became the concept through which the most semantic traffic flows. This convergence between bottom-up mathematical analysis and top-down design philosophy is, in the language of Active Inference, evidence of a well-fitted generative model — the platform's semantic structure accurately reflects its stated purpose.

## 7.2 Narrative as Navigation

The ten meta-narratives demonstrate that meaningful stories are latent in the mathematical structure of the semantic network. The path from "Free Energy to Consent" — passing through Agent, Coherence, and Harmony Balance — is not a metaphor imposed on the graph; it is the shortest path through the graph, discovered by breadth-first search. That this mathematical path also tells a coherent story (from thermodynamic principle through individual agency and systemic coherence to democratic process) suggests that the Common Lexicon has achieved a form of semantic coherence that goes beyond mere connectivity.

This has practical implications for platform design: if meaningful narratives are latent in a well-constructed knowledge graph, then navigation systems need not be designed top-down. They can be discovered through graph-theoretic analysis, reducing the designer's burden from "prescribe a path" to "define the concepts and their connections, and let the paths emerge."

## 7.3 The Spectral Gap and Modularity

The small spectral gap (0.034) and corresponding high mixing time (30 steps) confirm that the semantic network is highly modular. Users who enter through one domain (say, ayya360/personal profiling) will tend to remain within that conceptual neighborhood for approximately 30 random steps before their trajectory "mixes" with the global network. This has both positive and negative implications:

**Positive:** Users are not overwhelmed by the full complexity of 175 concepts; they naturally encounter a manageable subset relevant to their current concern.

**Negative:** Without explicit cross-domain navigation aids, users may become trapped in conceptual "echo chambers" — never discovering that their personal profiling interest connects, through only three steps, to governance theory (TOA-Triade → Coherence → Harmony Balance → Consent).

The Markov Navigator addresses this by making cross-domain paths explicit and discoverable. The meta-narratives function as conceptual wormholes — shortcuts through the modular structure that connect distant regions of the semantic space.

## 7.4 Limitations

Several limitations of the current analysis should be noted:

**Power iteration stability:** The eigenvalue computation uses power iteration with Gram-Schmidt deflation — a computationally pragmatic choice that trades strict convergence guarantees for

predictable runtime behavior. Results are stable within  $\pm 0.002$  across runs; the spectral gap (0.034), cluster count (7), and attractor hierarchy are robust to this variance. For publication-grade precision, replacement with the Lanczos algorithm is planned in a subsequent release.

**Undirected graph assumption:** The analysis treats all semantic connections as symmetric (if A relates to B, then B relates to A with equal weight). In practice, semantic relationships often have directionality (e.g., "Agent infers Free Energy" is more natural than "Free Energy infers Agent"). Incorporating directed edges would yield a more nuanced transition matrix.

**Static analysis:** The current analysis is computed on a snapshot of the lexicon. As the lexicon grows through expert contributions and AIDEN auto-generation, the topological properties will evolve. A longitudinal analysis tracking how the spectral gap, clustering coefficient, and attractor hierarchy change over time would provide insight into the platform's semantic development.

**User behavior validation:** The stationary distribution represents the expected behavior of a random walker, not observed user behavior. Comparing the Markov model's predictions with actual user navigation patterns would test whether the model captures real behavior or merely a mathematical abstraction.

## 7.5 Future Directions

Several extensions of this work are planned or under consideration:

- Personalized transition matrices that incorporate user preferences and history, weighting transitions toward concepts the user has not yet visited or that align with their AYYA360 profile.
- Temporal Markov analysis incorporating the time series of concept visits to identify temporal patterns in semantic navigation.
- Integration with the Active Inference engine to use the Markov Navigator as a policy component — the system would not merely suggest next steps but actively guide users through narrative paths that minimize their expected free energy (i.e., paths that are simultaneously informative and relevant).
- Cross-platform semantic bridges connecting SWARP's Common Lexicon with external knowledge graphs (WikiData, ConceptNet) to extend the Markov blanket while maintaining semantic coherence.

## 8. Conclusion

SWARP demonstrates that the Free Energy Principle and Active Inference framework can be productively applied not only to neuroscience and robotics but to the design of knowledge platforms. The Common Lexicon, instantiating a Markov blanket-like boundary, provides a rigorous foundation for semantic coherence. The Markov chain analysis reveals that this foundation possesses emergent mathematical properties — a spectral gap of 0.034, seven subclusters, and a clustering coefficient of 0.588 — that are both scientifically informative and practically useful.

The Markov Navigator transforms these mathematical properties into a navigational system that respects both rigor and human meaning. By discovering narrative paths latent in the graph structure

rather than imposing them from above, the platform aligns its navigation architecture with its philosophical commitment to emergent, self-organizing coherence.

The story of SWARP is, in the end, a story about taking theoretical principles seriously enough to build with them. Friston's insight that living systems minimize free energy is not merely cited in the documentation — it is implemented in the code. Campbell's insight that human lives follow narrative arcs is not merely referenced — it is embedded in the navigation. The result is a platform where the mathematics serves the meaning, and the meaning justifies the mathematics.

## **Annotated References**

### **Foundational Theory**

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *11*(2), 127–138. doi:10.1038/nrn2787

Friston, K. (2013). Life as we know it. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, *10*(86), 20130475. doi:10.1098/rsif.2013.0475

Friston, K., FitzGerald, T., Rigoli, F., Schwartenbeck, P., & Pezzulo, G. (2017). Active Inference: A process theory. *Neural Computation*, *29*(1), 1–49. doi:10.1162/NECO\_a\_00912

Parr, T., & Friston, K. J. (2019). Generalised free energy and active inference. *Biological Cybernetics*, *113*(5-6), 495–513. doi:10.1007/s00422-019-00805-w

Buckley, C. L., Kim, C. S., McGregor, S., & Seth, A. K. (2017). The free energy principle for action and perception: A mathematical review. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *81*, 55–79. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2017.09.004

### **Complexity Science and Adaptive Systems**

Gunderson, L. H., & Holling, C. S. (2002). *Panarchy: Understanding transformations in human and natural systems*. Washington, DC: Island Press.

Bak, P., Tang, C., & Wiesenfeld, K. (1987). Self-organized criticality: An explanation of 1/f noise. *Physical Review Letters*, *59*(4), 381–384. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.381

Kauffman, S. A. (1993). *The origins of order: Self-organization and selection in evolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., ... & Sugihara, G. (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, *461*(7260), 53–59. doi:10.1038/nature08227

### **Graph Theory and Network Science**

Barabási, A.-L., & Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, *286*(5439), 509–512. doi:10.1126/science.286.5439.509

Watts, D. J., & Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *Nature*, 393(6684), 440–442. doi:10.1038/30918

Chung, F. R. K. (1997). *Spectral graph theory*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.

Brandes, U. (2001). A faster algorithm for betweenness centrality. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 25(2), 163–177. doi:10.1080/0022250X.2001.9990249

Lovász, L. (1993). Random walks on graphs: A survey. In D. Miklós, V. T. Sós, & T. Szőnyi (Eds.), *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty* (Vol. 2, pp. 353–397). Budapest: János Bolyai Mathematical Society.

Shi, J., & Malik, J. (2000). Normalized cuts and image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 22(8), 888–905. doi:10.1109/34.868688

Golub, G. H., & Van Loan, C. F. (2013). *Matrix computations* (4th ed.). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

## **Markov Chains and Stochastic Processes**

Norris, J. R. (1997). *Markov chains*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Levin, D. A., Peres, Y., & Wilmer, E. L. (2009). *Markov chains and mixing times*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.

## **Narrative and Mythology**

Campbell, J. (1949). *The hero with a thousand faces*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays*. Austin: University of Texas Press.

## **Information Theory and Bayesian Methods**

Pearl, J. (1988). *Probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems: Networks of plausible inference*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann.

Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423. doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x

## **Systems Thinking and Organizational Design**

Schank, R. C. (1982). *Dynamic memory: A theory of reminding and learning in computers and people*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Scholte, T. (2022). *Sociocracy 3.0: A practical guide to evolving agile and resilient organizations*. URL: <https://sociocracy30.org>

## **Systems Viability and Organizational Dynamics**

Van Campen, C. (2011). *The hidden force: The power of aesthetics in society*. The Hague: The Netherlands Institute for Social Research (SCP).

## Data Sovereignty and Decentralization

Verborgh, R., & De Wilde, M. (2022). Solid: A platform for decentralized social applications based on Linked Data. URL: <https://solidproject.org>

## Appendix A: Technical Specifications

Component	Specification
Runtime	Node.js with TypeScript
Server framework	Express 5
Client framework	React 18 with Vite
Database	PostgreSQL 14+ with Drizzle ORM
Styling	Tailwind CSS with shadcn/ui
AI models	OpenAI GPT-4o, GPT-4o-mini, GPT-5
Authentication	OpenID Connect (Replit Auth)
Payments	Stripe + PayPal
Languages supported	Dutch, English, German, French, Spanish
Lexicon size	175 concepts, 33 domains, 6 levels, 633 edges
Eigenvalue method	Power iteration with Hotelling's deflation (top 15)
Centrality algorithm	Brandes' betweenness centrality
Path finding	Breadth-first search
Analysis cache TTL	10 minutes
Route modules	50+ specialized Express route files

## Appendix B: Eigenvalue Spectrum (Top 10)

Index	Eigenvalue $\lambda_i$	Spectral Gap from $\lambda_1$
1	1.0000	0.0000
2	0.9664	0.0336
3	0.9502	0.0498
4	0.9434	0.0566
5	0.9136	0.0864
6	-0.8897	1.8897
7	0.8765	0.1235

8	0.8650	0.1350
9	0.8335	0.1665
10	-0.8201	1.8201

## Appendix C: Meta-Narrative Paths

#	Narrative	Path	Distance
1	Theory to	Free Energy → Oscillation → Seed Garden → Marketplace	3
2	Chaos to Order	Surprisal → Kairotic Moment → Coherence	2
3	Individual to	Agent → Coherence → Harmony Balance → Consent	3
4	Myth to Action	Monomyth → Wu Wei → Oscillation → Forge Action	3
5	Mysticism to Technology	Sefirot → Kairotic Moment → AIDEN	2
6	Oscillation to	Oscillation → Seed Garden → Marketplace	2
7	Free Energy to	Free Energy → Agent → Coherence → Harmony Balance → Consent	4
8	Kairotic Moment to Seed	Kairotic Moment → Oscillation → Seed Garden	2
9	Panarchy to	Panarchy → Oscillation → TOA-Triade → Coach	3
10	Knowledge to Care	Knowledge Base → Chronotope → Wu Wei → Oscillation → TOA-Triade → Health Diagnosis	5

*Corresponding author: Hans Konstapel, SWARP Platform. This article is part of the SWARP Scientific Foundation and is available through the platform at /wetenschappelijk-artikel.*

*Date of analysis: March 2026*

*Software and data availability: The Markov engine (server/markov-engine.ts), API endpoints, and the complete Common Lexicon are integrated components of the SWARP platform. The semantic network data (175 nodes, 633 edges) is available through the public API at /api/lexicon/network.*