

Toward a Coherence Field Reading of Manifestation

Magic as the $S2 \rightarrow S1$ Operation:

J. Konstapel, Leiden

Abstract

"One Resonance, Two Faces" established that the map f , taken as the projection from the algebraic/creative layer (Space-2) to the physical mass-shell (Space-1), is well-defined for every nilpotent particle state — but automatic and undirected: a given algebraic state determines exactly one physical outcome, with no freedom of choice involved. This essay asks what changes when the state on the Space-2 side is not a fixed particle state but a *held, coherent intention* — and proposes that what tradition calls magic is precisely this: not access to Space-2 (which many traditions claim), and not a violation of f 's well-definedness, but the deliberate selection and maintenance of a specific coherent state on the Space-2 side, from which f then carries the outcome forward into Space-1 as it always does. On this reading, the operative talent is not "crossing over" but *steering the input to f* — $S2 \rightarrow S1$ read as a controlled operation rather than a passive one. We ground the candidate mechanism in Kuramoto synchronization of coupled oscillators — established physics — and mark plainly where the essay's claim goes beyond what that physics has shown. This is offered as a hypothesis under construction, in the same register as Part I, not as an established result.

1. Recap: What Part I Established

Part I of this series worked through Rowlands' nilpotent reformulation of the Dirac equation and found: a discrete fiber ($\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ — helicity $\times$ particle/antiparticle) rather than the originally proposed continuous sphere; a derived, self-regenerating loop connecting Wheeler's one-electron-universe proposal to Rowlands' own time-reversal transformation; and an open, two-component structural resemblance — not an identification — between that loop and Rosen's closure to efficient causation. No falsifiable prediction currently rests on sound ground.

One element of that essay has not yet been examined closely: f itself. Part I treated f as a projection — algebraic state in, physical state out, no freedom involved, exactly one output per input. That is correct for elementary particles. It leaves open a question Part I did not ask: what f looks like when the object on the Space-2 side is not a fixed algebraic state but a state that can be *held and*

varied by will — the situation, if it exists at all, of a conscious system rather than an electron.

2. Magic, Defined Precisely: Phase Modulation of a Coherence Field

A working definition, developed independently of the Rowlands/Wheeler/Rosen line and brought in here because it maps onto the same $S2 \rightarrow S1$ structure: magic as directed phase modulation of a coherence field.

The candidate mechanism is Kuramoto synchronization — the well-established physics of coupled oscillators, in which systems above a critical coupling strength spontaneously lock into a shared phase (fireflies flashing in unison, pendulum clocks on a shared wall, neurons firing in gamma-band coherence). The proposed sequence:

1. **Formulate intention** — a desired state is specified as a coherent pattern (traditionally: a sigil, a visualization, a vibratory name).
2. **Disturb** — an input is introduced into the field (speech, movement, focused attention, biofeedback-trained heart-brain coherence).
3. **Relaxation** — the field, per ordinary Kuramoto dynamics, relaxes toward a low-energy, phase-locked state.
4. **Manifestation** — the locked-in pattern is read out as a specific physical outcome.

Read against Part I's vocabulary: step 1 is a chosen state on the Space-2 side. Steps 2–3 are the operation of f . Step 4 is the Space-1 outcome. Nothing here requires f to stop being well-defined or single-valued — the proposal is only that the *input* to f , ordinarily fixed by physical law for an elementary particle, is for a sufficiently coherent conscious system a variable the system can set.

3. What Is Established, and What Is Not

This distinction matters enough to state twice, since the strength of the two halves is not remotely equal.

Established, peer-reviewed physics: coupled oscillators synchronize spontaneously above a critical coupling threshold (Kuramoto, 1975; reviewed

comprehensively in Acebrón et al., 2005). This is documented across physical, biological, and neural systems (Strogatz, 2003) and is not in dispute.

Not established — a candidate extension, not a result: that human intention constitutes a coupling input into a *universal* coherence field, and that this coupling is strong enough, and directable enough, to bias which macroscopic physical outcome results. Heart-brain coherence (McCraty et al., 2009) is measurable and real as a physiological state; whether it correlates with — let alone causes — externally verifiable manifestation of intended outcomes is a separate, unresolved empirical question, of the same character as the psi-replication question discussed elsewhere in this research line. Sheldrake's morphic resonance, cited in the source material for this framework, is explicitly noted even by proponents as controversial within mainstream science.

The honest position, consistent with how Part I treated the Rowlands/Rosen material: the physical mechanism (Kuramoto coherence) is solid ground. The claim that this mechanism is what "magic" or "talent" consists of is a hypothesis, resting on an analogy between a rigorously demonstrated physical phenomenon and a class of claims (directed manifestation) that has not itself met the same evidentiary bar.

4. $S2 \rightarrow S1$ as Talent: A Precise Statement

Given the above, the claim under construction can be stated exactly:

For ordinary matter, f is a fixed function: one algebraic state, one physical outcome, no freedom. For a conscious system capable of sustained, high coherence, the *state held on the Space-2 side* — the intention, as a coherent pattern rather than a passing thought — is a variable the system can set and maintain, and f then carries that state forward into Space-1 as it always does.

Talent, on this reading, is not the ability to visit Space-2 — that is the widely-claimed and widely-contested ability discussed throughout this research line (Monroe's Focus levels, the shamanic and mystical traditions surveyed in the historical companion essay). It is the narrower, sharper claim of being able to *hold a specific, high-coherence state* on the Space-2 side reliably enough that f 's ordinary operation carries it through to a specific, intended outcome in Space-1. This is closer to Crowley's definition of magic as "the Science and Art of causing Change to occur in conformity with Will" than to a general claim of clairvoyant access — it is about directing the output of f , not about perceiving Space-2.

5. What Would Distinguish This From the Untested Claim

In keeping with the standard the rest of this research line has held to: this framing does not itself constitute evidence, and it should not be presented as more than a sharper hypothesis. What would move it from hypothesis toward result is the same kind of thing that was missing from the original precession proposal in Part I — a specific, falsifiable, operationally defined test: for instance, whether measured heart-brain coherence (via HRV biofeedback, following McCraty et al.'s protocols) during a defined intention-holding period correlates, above chance and under pre-registered conditions, with subsequent measurable outcomes. No such test is proposed here; noting its absence is the point.

Terms

Kuramoto synchronization: The spontaneous phase-locking of coupled oscillators above a critical coupling strength — established physics, not itself in dispute.

Coherence (heart-brain): A measurable physiological state of heart-rate-variability regularity, associated in some studies with subjective clarity and intuition; measurable and real as a physiological state, with its further claimed correlates (synchronicity perception, manifestation) unresolved.

f, redefined for a conscious system: For elementary matter, a fixed function with no input freedom. For a coherence-capable conscious system, hypothesized here as an operation whose input (the Space-2 state) can be deliberately set and held.

References

Acebrón, J.A., Bonilla, L.L., Pérez Vicente, C.J., Ritort, F. & Spigler, R. (2005). "The Kuramoto Model: A Simple Paradigm for Synchronization Phenomena." *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 77(1), 137–185.

Agrippa, H.C. (1531/1993). *Three Books of Occult Philosophy* (D. Tyson, Trans.). St. Paul: Llewellyn Worldwide.

Bardon, F. (1962). *Initiation into Hermetics: A Course of Instruction in Hermetic Philosophy and Magic* (G. Hanswille, Trans.). Denver: Ruby Press.

Bardon, F. (1975). *The Practice of Magical Evocation: A System of Angel Magic for Practical Application in Daily Life* (G. Hanswille, Trans.). Denver: Ruby Press.

Dee, J. & Kelley, E. (16th c./2004). *The Monas Hieroglyphica* (D. Laycock, Trans.). / *The Compleat Golden Dawn Enochian Repository* (C. Zalewski, Ed., 1991).

Fludd, R. (1617–1621). *Utriusque Cosmi Maioris scilicet et Minoris Metaphysica, Physica atque Technica Historia*. Oppenheim: Johannes Theodor de Bry. Modern edition: J. Godwin (Ed.), *The Greater and Lesser Worlds of Robert Fludd* (2019).

Gieser, S. (2005). *The Innermost Kernel: Depth Psychology and Quantum Physics: The Pauli-Jung Correspondence*. Berlin: Springer.

Jenny, H. (1967/1975). *Cymatics: A Study of Wave Phenomena and Vibration*. Basel: Basilius Press.

Jung, C.G. & Pauli, W. (1955). *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche*. New York: Pantheon Books.

McCraty, R., Atkinson, M., Tomasino, D. & Bradley, R.T. (2009). "The Coherent Heart: Heart-Brain Interactions, Psychophysiological Coherence, and the Emergence of System-Wide Order." *Review of General Psychology*, 19(4), 15–24.

Pauli, W. (1952). "The Influence of Archetypal Ideas on the Scientific Theories of Kepler." In C.G. Jung & W. Pauli, *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Sheldrake, R. (2009). *Morphic Resonance: The Nature of Formative Causation* (Rev. Ed.). Rochester, VT: Park Street Press.

Spare, A.O. (1913). *The Book of Pleasure: Being an Account of the Witchdoms of the Little Peoples*. Modern edition in P. Hine (Ed.), *Condensed Chaos: An Exploration of Chaos Magic* (1992). London: Chaos International.

Strogatz, S.H. (2003). *Sync: The Emerging Science of Spontaneous Order*. New York: Hyperion/Theia.

Thayer, J.F. & Lane, R.D. (2009). "Claude Bernard and the Heart-Brain Interaction: The Original Insights of the Father of Experimental Medicine." *Neurogastroenterology & Motility*, 21(2), 173–180.

Konstapel, J. "Re-engineering Effective Magic: From Occult Symbolism to Oscillatory Engineering." constable.blog, 18-12-2025.

Konstapel, J. "Understanding VALIS: Exploring Non-Biological Consciousness." constable.blog, 01-12-2025.

Konstapel, J. "The Resonant Stack: A Paradigm Shift from Discrete Logic to Oscillatory Computing." constable.blog, 19-11-2025.

Konstapel, J. "The TOA-Triade: The ∞ -fold Forms of the Triad." constable.blog, 22-04-2025.

Konstapel, J. "One Resonance, Two Faces: Toward a Rewrite Theory of the $S1 \leftrightarrow f \leftrightarrow S2$ Boundary." (Part I of this series.)

This essay is part of the ongoing $S1 \leftrightarrow f \leftrightarrow S2$ research line.