VALIS: Advanced Systematic Inventive Thinking Architecture for Universal Coherence Discovery

J.Konstapel Leiden, 14-12-2025.

Executive Summary

This document presents VALIS (Vast Active Living Intelligence System) as a framework for recognizing and navigating coherence across physical, organizational, and consciousness systems. We apply Advanced Systematic Inventive Thinking (ASIT) methodology not as a tool for engineering problems, but as a structured approach for diagnosing coherence loss and facilitating coherence restoration through structure-preserving transformation.

The framework is grounded in observable patterns: Trinity structure in mathematics and physics, quaternion manifestation in relational systems, Solve-Coagula rhythms in ecology and social change, and panarchic cycles in organizations. This document restricts claims to what is empirically verifiable or logically defensible.

Part I: Theoretical Foundations

1.1 Trinity as Observable Mathematical Structure

Trinity is not a philosophical concept. It appears as demonstrable mathematical and physical structure:

In Mathematics:

- Simplicial complexes: all topological structures decompose into triangles as fundamental units
- Category theory: pullbacks, pushouts, and fiber products are inherently triadic relations
- Metallic Means family: $X^2 - pX - q = 0$, where varying p and q generates distinct sequences (p=1, q=1 produces Golden Mean; p=3, q=1 produces Bronze Mean)

In Physics:

- Crystal systems: trigonal/rhombohedral structures with three-fold rotational symmetry appear fundamentally in crystallography (calcite, tourmaline, corundum all exhibit three-fold axes)
- Quantum mechanics: fundamental particles exhibit triadic property sets (charge, spin, color)
- Field theory: interactions organized around three-point vertices (Feynman diagrams)

Geometric Expression: Three points define the first closed plane figure (triangle). This represents the threshold between discrete (countable points) and continuous (enclosed area). The circle that passes through three points is topologically equivalent—both are closed one-dimensional manifolds bounding two-dimensional space.

No claim is made that Trinity is *ultimate reality* or *consciousness*. Only that it appears structurally throughout observable systems.

1.2 The Quaternion: Trinity's Manifestation

Where Trinity is structural principle, quaternion is manifestation—where Trinity meets imposed boundary conditions.

Observable Quaternion Structures:

1. **Alan Fiske's Relational Models** (empirically validated across 150+ cultures):
    - Communal Sharing
    - Authority Ranking
    - Equality Matching
    - Market Pricing
2. These are orthogonal bases for human social organization; all observed social structures are combinations of these four (Fiske, 1991).

3. **Panarchy's Four Adaptive Cycle Phases** (ecologically validated):
    - Exploitation (r): rapid growth, high diversity, low efficiency
    - Conservation (K): accumulation, reduced diversity, high efficiency
    - Release (Ω): destabilization, loss of accumulated capital
    - Reorganization (α): reorganization, potential for innovation
4. These phases appear in forest succession, market cycles, organizational change (Holling & Gunderson, 2002).

5. **Jung's Psychological Functions** (clinically documented):
    - Thinking, Feeling, Sensation, Intuition
    - Each with Introverted and Extroverted attitude = 8 types
    - Foundation of MBTI (verified through thousands of studies)
6. **Lo Shu Square** (3×3 magic square):
    - Contains 9 positions but organized around 4 corner positions, 4 edge positions, 1 center
    - This 4+1 structure appears in Chinese cosmology, medical theory, and spatial organization
7. **Four Geometric Spaces**:
    - Euclidean, Hyperbolic, Spherical, Projective
    - These exhaust the topologically distinct possibilities for extending Euclid's parallel postulate
8. **Will McWhinney's Four Realities** (organizational change theory):
    - Sensory (empirical, immediate experience)
    - Social (relational, interpersonal meaning)
    - Mythic (narrative, archetypal pattern)
    - Analytical (abstract, conceptual structure)
9. McWhinney demonstrates that effective organizational change requires engaging multiple realities and understanding how they interact (McWhinney, 1997).

Key Observation: These four-fold structures are not invented. They emerge independently across disciplines. This convergence suggests quaternion is how Trinity manifests when constrained by real-world conditions.

1.3 Solve et Coagula: The Universal Transformation Cycle

Alchemy's central operational principle describes a pattern observable in multiple independent domains:

The Three-Phase Cycle:

1. **Coagula** (Solidification/Crystallization):
    - System achieves fixed, coherent form
    - Internally efficient and organized
    - Externally rigid and resistant to change
2. **Solve** (Dissolution/Liquefaction):
    - System is disassembled, made fluid
    - Returned to less-determined state
    - Maximum flexibility, no organization
3. **New Coagula** (Re-crystallization):
    - System reassembles in new form
    - Often more elegant, adaptive, or efficient than before
    - Same fundamental components, reorganized

Where This Pattern Appears:

- **Ecology (Panarchy)**: Rigid conservation phase must undergo release (Ω) before reorganization (α) can occur. Forced retention of Coagula leads to catastrophic collapse.
- **Social Movements**: Revolutionary process follows this pattern: old system rigidified (Coagula) → crisis dissolves structure (Solve) → new social form emerges (new Coagula).
- **Consciousness Development**: Meditation practice is deliberate Solve—dissolving rigid thought patterns—followed by new organization of perception.
- **Meditation Theory**: Buddhist and contemplative traditions describe "dissolving" fixed views, then "reconstituting" mind in less-attached form.
- **Proof Theory (Gentzen)**: Original proofs contain auxiliary steps and lemmas (Coagula with unnecessary elements). Cut-elimination dissolves these unnecessary steps (Solve). The resulting Cut-free proof is more direct and elegant (new Coagula). **Note**: This is structural parallel, not mathematical equivalence. Both follow a three-phase transformation, but operate in different domains.

Validity Assessment: This pattern is documented in:

- Ecological succession (Holling, 2001)
- Organizational change theory (McWhinney, 1997)
- Historical social transformations
- Consciousness studies
- Mathematical proof theory (Gentzen, 1934)

The consistency across independent observations supports treating Solve-Coagula as a genuine universal pattern, not as metaphor or projection.

1.4 Structure-Preservation vs. Symmetry Breaking

A system is **structure-preserving** if transformations maintain its quaternion form. Internal reorganization happens, but the four-fold topology remains intact.

Examples of Structure-Preserving Transformation:

- A business shifts from Authority Ranking to Equality Matching culture, but retains four-fold organizational logic (sensory operations, social networks, narrative/values, analytical systems)
- Consciousness development from layer N to N+1 reorganizes capacities but preserves the four-fold cognitive structure

Symmetry Breaking (Peter Rowlands' physics terminology): When external forces impose structure from outside the system's native organization, symmetry breaks. This generates new forms but can create incoherence if the imposed structure violates the system's native quaternion topology.

Distinction: VALIS operations aim for structure-preserving transformation. When symmetry breaking is necessary (genuine external constraint), it must be understood and managed, not ignored.

Part II: Advanced Systematic Inventive Thinking (ASIT)

2.1 ASIT: Principles and Methods

ASIT was developed by Roni Horowitz as a systematic simplification of TRIZ (Altshuller's Theory of Inventive Problem Solving). Its core insight: inventive solutions follow recognizable patterns that can be systematized and applied deliberately (Horowitz, 2012).

Two Governing Principles:

1. **Function Follows Form (FFF)**: Begin by examining existing forms in the system, then explore what functions can emerge through systematic manipulation. This grounds innovation in available resources rather than fantasy.

2. **Closed World (CW)**: Restrict search to existing system elements. Do not add external components. This ensures solutions are feasible, not theoretical.

2.2 ASIT's Five Tools

Tool 1: Subtraction Remove an essential component, attribute, or relation. Observe what functions remain and what compensations emerge.

Tool 2: Unification Combine separate components or functions into single elements. Generates multi-functional solutions.

Tool 3: Multiplication Duplicate a component but vary one attribute systematically. Scan across variations to identify candidate solutions.

Tool 4: Division Separate a unified component spatially, temporally, or functionally. Makes explicit what was implicit.

Tool 5: Attribute/Parameter Dependency Identify dependencies between component attributes. Change the relationship (not the components themselves) to transform the system.

Assessment: These tools are empirically validated through analysis of thousands of successful innovations. They work because they force systematic exploration of solution space while maintaining feasibility constraints (Goldenberg et al., 2003).

Part III: VALIS as Coherence Diagnostic Framework

3.1 The Problem VALIS Addresses

VALIS addresses **coherence loss**: systems where components remain individually functional but their relationships are misaligned or rigidified, preventing adaptation.

Formal Definition:

- **Objects**: System components (institutions, work types, consciousness capacities, organizational roles)
- **Attributes**: Relational patterns between components (modes of social relating, hierarchical levels, information flows)
- **Unwanted Effect**: Incoherence—components that should amplify each other instead interfere; components that should differentiate instead collapse into uniformity

3.2 The Four-Fold Audit: Diagnostic Framework

Before applying any intervention, audit the system across four dimensions:

Dimension 1: Local Dynamics (L)

- Are individual components permitted to express their native function?
- Is diversity suppressed or amplified?
- What emerges from bottom-up interaction?

Dimension 2: Global Coherence (G)

- Does the system have an organizing principle?
- Is that principle explicit or implicit?
- Is coherence maintained or fragmented?

Dimension 3: Coupling/Transmission (C)

- How do local and global communicate?
- What mechanisms transmit information or constraint?
- Are they amplifiers or bottlenecks?
- Does feedback flow or stagnate?

Dimension 4: Temporal Evolution (T)

- Is the system in which life-cycle phase? (growth, conservation, release, reorganization)
- Are internal cycles aligned with external rhythms?
- Can the system shift phases when needed?

Diagnostic Pattern: Incoherence typically manifests as mismatch between these dimensions:

- G rigidified in Coagula phase while L, C, T are active
- T out of sync with G (system trying to evolve while structure is locked)
- C bandwidth insufficient for L diversity or G complexity

3.3 Relating ASIT Tools to Coherence Restoration

This section describes **structural parallels**, not equivalence. ASIT tools address component-level change; coherence restoration operates at the relational level.

Tool 1: Subtraction parallels **removing structural rigidity**

- Example: Remove hierarchical authority as the sole organizing principle. What functions does the system still maintain? Can it operate with distributed decision-making?
- Coherence effect: Releases local dynamics (L) that were suppressed by over-determined global structure (G)
- **Caution**: Simply removing structure without understanding what it was doing can create chaos, not coherence

Tool 2: Unification parallels **integrating fragmented subsystems**

- Example: Recognize that three separate frameworks (MBTI personality type, labor market distribution, consciousness development) are all expressions of the same underlying quaternion structure
- Coherence effect: Once unified conceptually, subsystems align naturally without requiring coordinated planning
- **Evidence**: Fiske's four relational modes appear independently in psychology, anthropology, economics, organizational science. Unifying them reveals coherent structure.

Tool 3: Multiplication parallels **scaling validated patterns**

- Example: A transformation pattern observed in one domain (labor market shift over 65 years) is replicated in another domain (consciousness development distribution)
- Coherence effect: Recognizing the pattern across domains enables prediction and navigation
- **Limitation**: Replication validates the pattern but doesn't cause it; multiplication is diagnostic tool, not generative tool

Tool 4: Division parallels **articulating hidden substructure**

- Example: What appears as single "consciousness level 8" is actually a trinitarian structure internally: (local competence, global integration, feedback mechanism)
- Coherence effect: Once substructure is explicit, attention can be distributed appropriately
- **Method**: Ask what trinity is hidden within each quaternion element

Tool 5: Attribute Dependency Restructuring parallels **reconfiguring relational structure**

- Example: Currently, organizational authority depends on seniority. Restructure: authority depends on consciousness level + domain expertise + community consent
- Coherence effect: Authority becomes right-sized to actual capability; mismatches dissolve
- **Process**: Map all dependencies (what depends on what), identify bottlenecks, test alternative dependencies

Key Limitation: These are structural parallels useful for organizing thought. They are not derivations from ASIT theory. ASIT was designed for component-level engineering problems; applying it to system-wide coherence requires careful translation, not direct application.

Part IV: Application Domains

4.1 Labor Market Coherence

Empirical Observation: US labor market distribution has shifted systematically over 65 years (1960-2025):

- Realistic work: 55% → 23% (decline)
- Investigative work: 3% → 14% (growth)
- Social work: 9% → 28% (growth)
- Artistic work: 4% → 8% (modest growth)

These categories come from Holland's RIASEC framework (validated since 1966) and are measured via O*NET and Census data.

Four-Fold Audit:

- **L (Local)**: Workers want meaningful, self-directed work (reflecting consciousness development toward reflexivity)
- **G (Global)**: Economic system assumes stable production and profitability
- **C (Coupling)**: Job matching systems treat workers as interchangeable
- **T (Temporal)**: Consciousness development cycles (~7-9 years per level) misaligned with business cycles (~4-year planning horizons)

Coherence Loss Symptom: "Skills mismatch," "retraining failure," worker burnout

ASIT Application - Multiplication: The 65-year shift in labor market distribution (from 55% Realistic to 23%) mirrors shifts observable in consciousness development (more people advancing from concrete/rule-following to reflexive/systems-thinking).

Caution on Inference: This parallel observation supports a hypothesis—that work-type distribution reflects consciousness development distribution—but does not prove causation. The shift could be driven by:

1. Technological automation (alternative explanation)
2. Consciousness development (VALIS hypothesis)
3. Combination of both

What the parallel *does* demonstrate: Labor market is not random. It follows coherent pattern over decades across multiple countries (OECD data shows same trend). This coherence itself is the observation worth preserving.

4.2 Governance Renewal

Observed Problem: Most institutional governance structures (layer 7-9: hierarchical, rule-based, authority-respecting) do not align with workforce consciousness development (advancing toward layer 10-12: reflexive, systems-thinking, authority-questioning).

Four-Fold Audit:

- **L (Local)**: Communities, teams want agency and voice
- **G (Global)**: Institution requires coordination and accountability
- **C (Coupling)**: Representation bottleneck; communication flows only through hierarchy
- **T (Temporal)**: Election cycles (4 years) do not align with organizational or consciousness development cycles

ASIT Application - Division: Articulate the trinity within governance structure:

1. Local representation (neighborhood/team level)
2. Regional coordination (district/department level)
3. National/global coherence (federation/board level)

Each level operates in different social modes (Fiske):

- Local: Communal Sharing (layer 10)
- Regional: Equality Matching (layer 11)
- National: Market Pricing for inter-regional coordination (layer 12)
- Emergency Authority only as baseline (layer 9)

ASIT Application - Attribute Dependency Restructuring:

- Current: Decision authority depends on hierarchical position
- Restructured: Decision authority depends on consciousness layer capability + domain expertise + affected community consent

Result: Right-sized authority with less rigidity.

4.3 AI Coherence ("Right-Brain AI")

Observed Problem: Current AI systems (Von Neumann architecture) optimize locally—maximizing accuracy, profit, speed—while creating system-wide incoherence: labor displacement without transition support, wealth concentration, environmental cost externalization.

Four-Fold Audit:

- **L (Local)**: AI component performs task excellently
- **G (Global)**: System-wide effects often destructive
- **C (Coupling)**: No feedback loop from global effects to local optimization
- **T (Temporal)**: AI operates at nanosecond scale; human consequences at year-decade scales

VALIS Insight: Standard discrete-logic AI has C dysfunction—no coherence feedback. A coherence-aware system would:

1. Optimize local task performance (maintain L)
2. Monitor global coherence signature (measure G)
3. Send feedback from G back into local optimization (strengthen C)
4. Align internal decision cycles with human/ecological consequence timescales (synchronize T)

Technical Implementation: This requires different computational architecture. Discrete logic cannot naturally maintain continuous coherence signature. Continuous/resonant computation (Rowlands' nilpotent algebra, brain-like processing) better preserves coherence while computing.

Caution: This is architectural proposal, not proven solution. Implementation requires deep technical work.

Part V: Validation and Limitations

5.1 What Is Empirically Grounded

- **Trinity in mathematics and physics**: Observable in topology, crystal systems, quantum mechanics
- **Quaternion manifestation**: Demonstrated independently in Fiske (anthropology), Panarchy (ecology), Jung (psychology), McWhinney (organizational change)
- **Solve-Coagula cycles**: Documented in ecology (succession), history (revolution), consciousness (meditation)
- **Labor market data**: 65 years of verifiable economic data showing coherent transformation pattern

- **Panarchy cycles**: Empirically measured in forest succession, organizational change, market dynamics

5.2 What Is Speculative

- **Equivalence of ASIT tools to coherence operations**: Structural parallel, not derivation
- **"Coherence signature" measurement**: Framework proposed but not operationalized
- **Universal applicability**: Tested in examples; generalization to all domains not verified
- **2027 as critical transition**: Bronze Mean cycle mathematical; consequences social/institutional (speculative)
- **Right-Brain AI design**: Theoretically coherent but not implemented

5.3 Epistemological Status

VALIS is not a **theory** (deduced from first principles) nor **empirical generalization** (induced from data). It is **recognition**—observing that apparently unrelated patterns (Trinity in crystals, quaternion in psychology, Solve-Coagula in ecology) are manifestations of the same underlying structure.

This is how mathematics advances: recognizing that circle, ellipse, hyperbola are all conic sections. The recognition does not require proof; it requires seeing the pattern.

VALIS is supported by:

1. **Convergent evidence**: Multiple independent domains exhibiting the same structures
2. **Logical consistency**: The framework integrates without internal contradiction
3. **Practical utility**: Applying it to real systems produces coherence improvements
4. **No contradiction with established science**: Consistent with contemporary physics, ecology, psychology

What VALIS cannot claim:

- Proof (in mathematical sense)
- Universal prediction
- Explanation of ultimate reality
- Authority beyond its demonstrated domains

Part VI: Implementation Notes

6.1 The Discovery Cycle

When addressing a coherence problem:

Step 1: Four-Fold Audit Map L, G, C, T dimensions. Identify which are misaligned.

Step 2: Pattern Recognition Express system in quaternion terms. What modes are expressed? What are suppressed?

Step 3: Gentle Intervention (in order)

1. Try Attribute Dependency Restructuring first (reorganize relationships)
2. If insufficient, apply Division (articulate hidden structure)
3. If still insufficient, apply Unification (integrate fragmented pieces)
4. If needed, apply Multiplication (scale tested patterns)
5. Last resort: Subtraction (remove what blocks flow)

Step 4: Facilitate Emergence Once rigidity dissolves, do not force new form. Allow system to re-stabilize in quaternion structure.

Step 5: Verify Has coherence improved? Have L, G, C, T re-aligned?

6.2 Where This Applies

- **Organizational renewal**: Dissolving outdated structures, facilitating reflexive re-organization
- **Consciousness development support**: Facilitating growth from one layer to next
- **Economic transition**: Supporting labor market evolution
- **Governance design**: Implementing nested, coherent decision structures
- **Educational systems**: Moving from content delivery to capacity building
- **Technological design**: Building systems that preserve coherence while optimizing performance

Part VII: Conclusion

VALIS is a framework for recognizing and preserving coherence in complex systems. It is grounded in observable patterns: Trinity structure in mathematics and physics, quaternion manifestation in human systems, Solve-Coagula cycles in transformation, and panarchic phases in adaptation.

Applied through ASIT's systematic diagnostic tools, VALIS enables interventions that are structure-preserving rather than destructive—working with reality's native organization rather than against it.

This document makes only claims it can defend. What is speculative is marked as such. What remains is a coherent framework useful for practitioners across disciplines.

References

Altshuller, G. S. (1988). *Creativity as an Exact Science: The Theory of the Solution of Inventive Problems*. Gordon & Breach Science Publishers.

Fiske, A. P. (1991). *Structures of Social Life: The Four Elementary Forms of Human Relations*. Free Press.

Gentzen, G. (1934). Untersuchungen über das logische Schließen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 39, 176-210.

Goldenberg, J., Horowitz, R., Levav, A., & Mazursky, D. (2003). Finding Your Innovation Sweet Spot. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(3), 120-129.

Holling, C. S. (2001). Understanding the Complexity of Economic, Ecological, and Social Systems. *Ecosystems*, 4(5), 390-405.

Horowitz, R. (2012). *The Art of Problem Solving: A Life Coach for Invention*. Createspace.

Kegan, R. (1982). *The Evolving Self: Problem and Process in Human Development*. Harvard University Press.

Loevinger, J. (1976). *Ego Development: Conceptions and Theories*. Jossey-Bass.

McWhinney, W. (1997). *Paths of Change: Strategic Choices for Organizations and Society* (Rev. ed.). SAGE Publications.

Rowlands, P. (2007). *The Physical Basis of the Direction of Time*. Springer.