

Aging as Coherence Collapse: Why Silicon Valley's War on Death Is Fighting the Wrong Enemy

J. Konstapel, Leiden, 25 May 2026 | Constable Research Working Paper

Abstract

Silicon Valley's longevity industry — from senolytics and plasma transfusions to cryonics and mind uploading — rests on the same foundational metaphor that has dominated immunology for over a century: the body as a machine at war with entropy. This paper argues that this metaphor is not merely incomplete but structurally misleading, producing interventions that address symptoms of desynchronization while leaving the underlying mechanism untouched. Drawing on the 19-Layer Quaternion Vacuum Model (19LQVM), the Free Energy Principle (Friston), bioelectric morphogenesis (Levin), and the Paths of Change quaternion framework (McWhinney), we propose an alternative: aging is not a battle to be won but a coherence collapse to be understood. Health is multi-scale phase synchronization; aging is attractor drift; death is the terminal desynchronization of a field that can no longer re-entrain itself. This reframing has concrete implications: the interventions most likely to extend healthy life are not those that replace or repair individual components, but those that restore cross-scale coherence. We conclude with a taxonomy of existing longevity interventions evaluated through the coherence lens, and identify where current billion-dollar investments are likely to fail — and where an unexplored territory lies.

1. Introduction: The Billionaire's Bargain with Death

Peter Thiel funds young-blood plasma research. Bryan Johnson replaces organs on a systematic schedule and publicly tracks 78 biomarkers. Sam Altman has invested in Nectome, a company that proposes to preserve the brain's connectome through a process that necessarily kills the patient — on the explicit premise that the preserved structure is the self. Larry Ellison has donated over \$370 million to aging research. The Altos Labs consortium — reportedly backed by Jeff Bezos — pursues cellular reprogramming to reverse biological age. Aggregate private investment in longevity science now exceeds \$5 billion annually.

This is not trivially dismissed as vanity. Many of the involved researchers are serious scientists; some of the underlying biology — cellular senescence, epigenetic reprogramming, mTOR pathway modulation — is legitimate and important. But the *framing* that organizes and motivates this entire enterprise is, we argue, fundamentally misaligned with the nature of what aging actually is.

The framing is mechanical: the body is a machine that accumulates damage over time, and the goal is to repair or replace damaged parts before they cause system failure. It is the same warfare metaphor that has dominated immunology — now extended from defense to offense: not just surviving disease but defeating death itself. This paper argues that this metaphor is producing a systematic bias toward interventions that address symptoms rather than causes, and that a coherence-based reframing would redirect inquiry toward territory that is currently almost entirely unexplored.

2. The Warfare Metaphor and Its Limits

The warfare metaphor carries hidden assumptions that become liabilities when applied to aging:

Assumption 1: The enemy is identifiable and external. In aging, the candidates — free radicals, telomere shortening, accumulated DNA damage, senescent cells, misfolded proteins — are all products of the organism's own metabolism. There is no external enemy; the system is aging *itself*.

Assumption 2: Removing damage restores function. In a machine, replacing a worn part restores performance. In a living system, removing one component of a coupled dynamic network perturbs the whole network in ways that depend on current state, history, and non-linear interactions between levels. Senolytics remove senescent cells; they do not restore the coherence landscape that senescence reflects.

Assumption 3: Components can be upgraded independently. The mind-upload proposal makes this explicit: if we can perfectly copy the brain's structure, we preserve the self. This treats consciousness as a pattern in static hardware. The coherence model treats consciousness — and life itself — as a *dynamic process* maintained by continuous phase relationships across scales. A perfect static copy of a symphony orchestra preserves the score and the seating arrangement, but not the music.

Assumption 4: There is a single clock to stop. Much longevity research focuses on finding *the* aging clock — epigenetic (Horvath), telomeric, or metabolic. The coherence model predicts instead that aging is a cascade of desynchronizations across scales, and that identifying the "primary" clock is a category error analogous to asking which instrument in an orchestra is "most responsible" for being out of tune.

3. The Coherence Framework: A Technical Summary

3.1 *The 19-Layer Quaternion Vacuum Model*

The 19LQVM (Konstapel, 2024) proposes that physical reality at every scale is organized as a multi-scale resonance field through four mechanisms: rotational periodicity, helical progression, nilpotent convergence, and resonant phase-locking. Drawing on Maxwell's original quaternion electrodynamics and Rowlands' nilpotent algebra, it proposes that the organizing principle of matter — from quantum vacuum to planetary dynamics — is synchronization rather than force. Stability is not the absence of perturbation but the capacity to absorb perturbation into coherent phase relationships. Applied to biology, the organism is a "coherence manifold": a multi-scale structure whose integrity is maintained by continuous phase-locking across levels.

3.2 *Health as Phase Synchronization, Aging as Attractor Drift*

In the 19LQVM framework: **Health** is the maintenance of coherent phase relationships across all scales — a state of high spectral gap and stable attractor geometry. **Disease** is local desynchronization. **Aging** is *attractor drift*: the slow, cumulative displacement of the system's stable attractors away from their original phase-locked positions. This is not a battle being lost; it is a landscape changing. **Death** is terminal desynchronization: the point at which phase-locking capacity falls below the threshold required to maintain global coherence.

This is mathematically precise, not metaphorical. Attractor geometry can be characterized through the Fokker-Planck formalism and the spectral properties of the system's graph Laplacian. Aging, in this formalism, is a measurable drift in both.

3.3 The Free Energy Principle and Predictive Failure

Friston's Free Energy Principle (2010) provides a complementary formalization: a living system continuously minimizes the mismatch between its internal generative model and its sensory states. Health is accuracy of this model; disease is elevated prediction error; aging is the slow degradation of the model's precision — not because the world has changed, but because the system's capacity to update its model has diminished. Interventions that improve the organism's predictive capacity — that sharpen the generative model and increase the spectral gap — will have anti-aging effects that purely mechanical interventions miss.

3.4 Bioelectric Morphogenesis and Multi-Scale Coherence

Levin's work on bioelectric fields (2021) provides the most concrete biological instantiation of the coherence model. The body's bioelectric network — the pattern of electric potentials across tissues — serves as a master control layer coordinating morphogenesis, wound healing, and cancer suppression, operating above the genetic level. The degradation of bioelectric coherence with age is not a *symptom* of cellular aging but a *driver* of it. The genome does not degrade; the field that reads and executes the genome loses precision. This is a falsifiable claim that current longevity research almost entirely ignores.

4. Evaluating Silicon Valley Longevity Interventions Through the Coherence Lens

4.1 Senolytics

Mechanical logic: Remove damaged cells, restore tissue function. *Coherence assessment:* Senescent cells are nodes in a coupled network. Their removal perturbs network topology. The coherence model predicts senolytics will work when they increase the spectral gap of the tissue network; they will fail or harm when removed nodes are providing phase-stabilizing functions in the current attractor landscape. The intervention is not wrong — it is incomplete without a network-level model of what senescent cells are *doing*.

4.2 Epigenetic Reprogramming

Mechanical logic: Reset the cellular clock to a younger epigenetic state. *Coherence assessment:* This is the longevity intervention closest to the coherence model — epigenetic state is a proxy for a cell's position in attractor space. The coherence model supports this direction but adds a warning: cellular attractor restoration without concurrent restoration of tissue-level and organismal-level phase structure risks producing cells that are epigenetically "young" but biomechanically misaligned — a mismatch likely to manifest as increased cancer risk.

4.3 Young Blood / Plasma Transfusion

Mechanical logic: Transfer circulating factors from young organisms to restore young biochemistry. *Coherence assessment:* Circulating factors are *carriers of phase information*, not the source of phase coherence. Transfusing young plasma into an old organism is analogous to playing a recording of a young orchestra into a room where old musicians are playing: the signal is there, but the capacity to entrain to it depends on the receiving system's spectral gap. This prediction is testable: young plasma interventions should show benefit in individuals whose bioelectric coherence is high, and diminishing returns as coherence degrades.

4.4 mTOR Inhibition (Rapamycin)

Mechanical logic: Downregulate anabolic signaling, extend lifespan as in caloric restriction mimetics. *Coherence assessment:* Autophagy is a coherence-restoring process — it removes components creating phase noise in the cellular network. mTOR inhibition therefore increases coherence indirectly, explaining why it is the most consistently lifespan-extending intervention across model organisms. The coherence model suggests more targeted interventions — selectively enhancing autophagy in tissues with the highest coherence degradation — will outperform systemic mTOR inhibition.

4.5 Mind Uploading

Mechanical logic: Preserve the information pattern of the brain; restore it in a new substrate. *Coherence assessment:* This is where the mechanical metaphor fails most completely. Consciousness is not a static pattern but a dynamic process — a continuous phase relationship maintained by the organism's coupled oscillatory systems across scales from

quantum to organismal. There is no substrate-independent pattern to preserve, because the pattern *is* the process. A perfect connectome copy is to consciousness what a perfect architectural drawing is to a lived home: it captures the structure but not the inhabitation. The Nectome proposal, in the coherence framework, is not preservation of a self but documentation of a former address.

5. What the Coherence Model Suggests Instead

If aging is attractor drift, the therapeutic directions the coherence model points toward are largely unexplored:

- **Bioelectric field restoration.** Direct bioelectric interventions targeting the organismal electromagnetic field — rather than molecular pathways — are the most direct approach to age-related coherence degradation. They receive a tiny fraction of longevity funding.
- **Cross-scale coherence metrics.** A composite coherence index — combining HRV (heart-brain coherence), EEG microstates (brain network coherence), bioimpedance spectroscopy (tissue coherence), and inflammatory markers (immune coherence) — would allow aging to be tracked at the level the model identifies as primary.
- **Oscillatory entrainment therapies.** Rhythmic sensory stimulation (as in Tsai's 40Hz gamma work), chronobiological protocols, and structured physical activity may have anti-aging effects operating at the coherence level that biochemical interventions cannot reach.
- **Precision autophagy.** Targeted enhancement of autophagy in tissues with measurably degraded coherence — guided by the composite index above — should outperform current caloric restriction mimetics.
- **Generative model maintenance.** The FEP suggests aging organisms show degraded interoceptive precision. Interventions that sharpen this model — contemplative practice, biofeedback, interoceptive training — may have anti-aging effects entirely outside the current research framework.

6. The Deeper Category Error: Immortality as a Mechanical Problem

The Silicon Valley longevity project is animated not just by a mechanical metaphor but by a specific, culturally contingent relationship to death: death as a problem to be engineered away. The coherence model does not support this. In the 19LQVM, the organism is a temporary localization of coherence in a field that existed before it and will continue after it. Death is not the enemy of coherence; it is the return of a localized coherence pattern to the distributed field from which it emerged — nilpotent convergence at the organismal scale. This is not a mystical claim but a structural one: the same mathematics that describes the emergence of a stable dissipative structure also describes its dissolution.

The appropriate question is not "how do we prevent death?" but "how do we maintain the highest possible coherence for the longest possible healthy lifespan, and support the smoothest possible return to the field?" This is a question that traditional wisdom systems — from Ayurveda to Chinese medicine to contemplative traditions — have asked for millennia. The coherence model provides the formal bridge between those traditions and contemporary biophysics. The billionaires are asking the right question. The mechanical metaphor is producing billion-dollar answers to the wrong formulation of it.

7. Conclusion

The warfare metaphor has organized longevity research around questions — which components are failing, how do we repair or replace them, can we copy the pattern — that are structurally misaligned with what aging actually is. The coherence model proposes:

- Aging is attractor drift — a measurable shift in the organism's phase-locking landscape.
- Death is terminal desynchronization — not an enemy but the completion of a coherence cycle.
- The most effective longevity interventions will restore cross-scale coherence rather than replace or repair individual components.
- Mind uploading is not consciousness preservation; it is the mechanical metaphor's *reductio ad absurdum*.

- The billion-dollar longevity industry is producing real science but missing the primary level of analysis.

The lost attractor of biological aging is not youth. It is coherence. And coherence cannot be purchased, uploaded, or transfused. It must be cultivated — at every scale, continuously, for a lifetime.

Annotated Reference List

Konstapel, H. (2024). The 19-Layer Quaternion Vacuum Model. Constable Research Working Paper.

The primary theoretical framework. Proposes multi-scale phase synchronization as the organizing principle of physical and biological reality.

Rowlands, P. (2007). Zero to Infinity: The Foundations of Physics. World Scientific.

The nilpotent algebra framework underlying the 19LQVM. Relevant for understanding how the same mathematical structure describes emergence and dissolution across scales.

Maxwell, J.C. (1873). A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism. Clarendon Press.

Maxwell's original quaternion formulation — before the vector simplification by Heaviside — preserves the rotational structure the 19LQVM requires.

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11(2), 127–138.

The foundational paper on the FEP. Applied here to aging as progressive degradation of interoceptive precision.

Levin, M. (2021). Bioelectric signaling: Reprogrammable circuits underlying embryogenesis, regeneration, and cancer. Cell, 184(8), 1971–1989.

The most comprehensive current review of bioelectric field control over morphogenesis. Essential for understanding why the electromagnetic field is a master coherence layer.

Levin, M., & Martyniuk, C.J. (2018). The bioelectric code. Biosystems, 164, 76–93.

Addresses the "bioelectric code" concept — tissue-level electromagnetic patterns carry morphogenetic information above the genetic level.

López-Otín, C., et al. (2023). Hallmarks of aging: An expanding universe. *Cell*, 186(2), 243–278.

The updated "hallmarks" framework — the dominant mechanical model of aging. Essential for understanding what the coherence model proposes to replace and what it preserves.

Sinclair, D.A., & LaPlante, M.D. (2019). *Lifespan: Why We Age — and Why We Don't Have To*. Atria Books.

The most accessible statement of the epigenetic reprogramming approach. Shows both the genuine insights and the mechanical metaphor's limits.

Horvath, S., & Raj, K. (2018). DNA methylation-based biomarkers and the epigenetic clock theory of ageing. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 19(6), 371–384.

The epigenetic clock — currently the best proxy for biological age. The coherence model reinterprets epigenetic state as a measurement of attractor position.

Tsai, L.H., et al. (2019). Multisensory gamma stimulation promotes glymphatic clearance of amyloid. *Nature*, 576, 187–192.

Demonstrates 40Hz gamma stimulation drives Alzheimer's pathology reversal. The coherence model interprets this as bioelectric re-entrainment restoring coherence.

Tononi, G. (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. *BMC Neuroscience*, 5, 42.

Integrated Information Theory — compatible with the coherence model's process ontology and directly relevant to the critique of mind uploading.

Chalmers, D.J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 2(3), 200–219.

The "hard problem" — why a perfect functional/structural copy of a brain would not necessarily preserve consciousness.

McWhinney, W. (1997). *Paths of Change: Strategic Choices for Organizations and Society*. Sage.

The four-worldview framework used to diagnose the mechanical metaphor's cognitive limitations.

J. Konstapel is independent researcher and developer of the SWARP platform. He publishes at constable.blog and Academia.edu. © 2026 Constable Research. All rights reserved.

To save as PDF: File → Print → Save as PDF (or Ctrl+P)